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## NOTES

## ON ARISTOTLE'S POLITICS.

## BOOK I. 1. I .

## 

The order of the first paragraph is disturbed by the repetition of the statement that every community aims at some good. The meaning will be clearer if drawn out in a technical form :

Every community aims at some good:
Every city is a community ; and therefore
Every city aims at some good.
Upon which rests a second syllogism with added determinants:
Whereas all communities aim at some good, the highest aim at the highest good:
The city is the highest community; and therefore The city aims at the highest good.
Compare the opening of the Nicom. Ethics, i. 1. § 1,-



Similarly the Metaphysics begin with a general proposition,

 $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega$.

The connexion of what follows in § 2 , if there be any, is not easy to trace: 'But a community is a complex organisation;' Or, ' But we must not suppose the different forms of communities to be the same; Or, the agreement described in the first sentence may be contrasted with the difference of opinion in the second;vol. I .
' We are all agreed about the end of the state, but we are not equally agreed about the definition of the ruler.'


The starting-point of Aristotle's enquiry here, as in many other passages, is a criticism of Plato. See Politicus, 259 C, фavepò



This criticism is further worked out in ii. c. 1-5; cp. especially, c. $2 . \S \S 2-8$, where Aristote shows that the state is composed of dissimilar elements. An opposite view is maintained, or appears to be maintained by Socrates in Xen. Mem. iii. 4. § r 2 , where he
 where the good oikouopos is said to be the good orpar7yós. This is a paradoxical way of insisting on the interdependence or identity of different callings ; Aristotle rather dwells upon their diversity.

 $\kappa$ к.т.



sc. $\pi$ oגctuкīs, to be supplied either from the previous part of the sentence, or from the word modertxòv which follows :-‘According to the principles of the science which deals with this subject.' Cp. i. 8. §7, Oàartav roaúrny, where roaúr $\eta \nu$ is to be explained from idiceias which precedes: and in the same chapter, § 9, totaúr力 кгі̂ots, where rotain (meaning 'in the sense of a bare livelihood') is gathered from aìró ${ }^{2}$ uros and $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta i i^{i} \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \bar{\eta} s$ in the previous section;





idea of an 'imperfect' state, like that contained in Plato's Laws, has to be gathered from the whole preceding passage.

## 

1. 3. 

i. e. the method of analysis which resolves the compound into

 $\mu \epsilon \rho o s \tau \iota \eta \nu$.
iф $\quad \eta \eta \mu$ év $\quad$, 'which we have followed,' not merely in the Ethics, as Schneider and others; for the same expression occurs N. E.
 refer to them, but 'generally' or 'in this discussion.' The $\mu$ ' $\theta 0$ oos, like the $\lambda$ óyos in Plato, goes before and we follow. Cp. De Gen. Anim. $3.75^{8}$ a. 28 , and note on c. $13 . \S 6$.




 the state which he is going to distinguish in this book; or 2) to the different kinds of rule mentioned in the preceding paragraph (Bernays, Susemihl): in the latter case it is paraphrased by $\pi \in \rho \boldsymbol{i}$ $\tilde{\epsilon} \kappa a \sigma \tau 0 \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\rho}^{\rho} \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$, in the next clause. (For the vague antecedent to тoítol cp. supra c. 2. §§ 2,12 , etc., etc.) Aristotle treats of 'the kinds of rule' in Book iii. cc. 7, 8, and in the fourth and sixth books.
kai, according to the first explanation $=$ 'as about the state so about the elements of the state,' according to the second, $=$ 'about kinds of government as well as about other things.' $\quad \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ è $\nu$ rois
 кaì év roúross at the beginning of the next paragraph, c. 2. § r.

The argument is to the effect that if we analyse forms of government into their parts, or into their kinds, we shall see that they differ in something besides number-e.g. in the nature of the authority exercised in them, or in the character of their magistracies, or in the classification of their citizens. (Cp. iv. 4. § 7 ff .) That states consist not only of their elements, but have in them something analogous to the principle of life in the human
frame, is a truth strongly felt by Plato (Rep. v. 462 D), less strongly by Aristotle (infra c. 2. § 13 ).



Aristotle does not mean that politics are to be studied in the light of history; but rather that the complex structure of the state is to be separated into the simple elements out of which it appears to be created. Yet the two points of view are not always distinguished by him; and his method of procedure is often historical (e. g. in Book v) as well as analytical.
 สัтерои.

Aristotle, like Plato (Symp. 186), attributed sex to plants, male and female being combined in the same plant. The analogy of plants and animals is drawn out; De Gen. Anim. i. c. $2_{3}$.
2. 2. taûta $\begin{gathered}\text { oteiv, } \\ \text {, }\end{gathered}$
sc. тà $\pi \rho \rho o \rho \dot{\omega} \mu e v a \dot{\imath} \pi \dot{o}$ тov̂ âp $\chi o v \tau o s$, another instance of the vague antecedent (c. 1. § 2 and c. 2. § I2).

Evidently an instrument that could serve other purposes than
 15. § 8. The Delphian knife is described by Hesychius as $\lambda a \mu$ -
 front.' The name is in some way connected with the sacrifice at Delphi, and is said in the appendix to the Proverbiorum Centuria, 1. 94 (p. 393 Schneidewin) to have passed into a proverb directed against the meanness of the Delphians in taking a part of the sacrifices and in charging for the use of the sacrificial knife. (See Goettling, Commentatio de Machaera Delphica, Jena, 1856.) We may agree with Schlosser in thinking that the matter is unimportant.
 'Among barbarians women are slaves. The reason is that all barbarians are equally slaves : there is no ruling principle among them such as gives the true relation of husband and wife, of master and slave; they are all upon a level.' Cp. infra, cc. 12, 13.
 2. 5.

Compare Wallace's Russia (p. 90. ed. 8). 'The natural labour unit (i. e. the Russian peasant family of the old type) comprises a man, a woman, and a horse.'
fis $\pi$ â $\sigma a \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \mu$ épav.
2. 5 .
'For wants which recur every day,' and therefore can never be left unsatisfied.

дцока́тгоия.
2. 5 .
'Sitting in the smoke of one fire' is read by MSS. of the better class, $\mathrm{P}^{4}, \mathrm{Ls}^{\mathrm{s}}$, corr. M${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$, William de Moerbek ; ópokánovs by the rest (Susemihl). The meaning of the latter word 'fed at the same manger' is better suited to the context.

There was a time when the $\kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ or village community had an important place in Greek life. Cp. iii. 9. § 14, where it is joined


 lasted into historical times in Xtolia, Acarnania, Arcadia, and even in Laconia. During the life of Aristotle himself the villages of Arcadia had been united by Epaminondas in the city of Megalopolis (cp. note on ii. 2. §3).
$\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{r}$. To be taken with the words which follow: ' When they began no longer to regard only the necessities of life.'


'The tie of relationship is still acknowledged in the village, which in its most natural form is only a larger family or a colony of the family.' (There should be a comma in the Greek after
 кад $0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu$, being really an explanation of $\dot{a} \pi \sigma o x i a$.) The form of the village community is most natural, not when composed of individuals combined by chance, say, for the purposes of plunder or self-defence, but when the family becoming enlarged leaves its original seat and finds a new home. The expression ú $\pi o x$ ia oikias is not strictly accurate, for the village might grow up on the same spot.

Cp. Cicero de Officiis, i. 17, 'Nam cum sit hoc natura commune animantium, ut habeant lubidinem procreandi, prima societas in ipso conjugio est: proxima in liberis: deinde una domus, communia omnia. Id autem est principium urbis et quasi seminarium reipublicae. Sequuntur fratrum conjunctiones, post consobrinorum sobrinorumque; qui cum una domo jam capi non possunt, in alias domos tanquam in colonias exeunt. Sequuntur connubia et affinitates, ex quibus etiam plures propinqui. Quae propagatio et soboles origo est rerum publicarum.'



 $8 \lambda_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \mathrm{c}$ " $\mathrm{O} \mu \mathrm{\eta} \rho \mathrm{\rho os}$,


 кaì Toìs $\beta$ iovs t $\omega \hat{\nu} \theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}$.

The argument is as follows: The rise of the village from the family explains also the existence of monarchy in ancient Hellas. For in the family the eldest rules. This rule of the eldest in the family is continued into the village, and from that passes into the state. In support of his opinion Aristotle quotes what Homer says of the Cyclopes (a passage also quoted by Plato, Laws 680, in a similar connexion), and he further illustrates it by men's ideas about the Gods, to whom they attribute a regal or patriarchal form of government, such as their own had been in primitive times.
rà $\begin{gathered}\text { ét } \\ \nu \eta \\ \text { here as in ii. } 5 \text {. § } 2 \text { (see note in loco), a general term for }\end{gathered}$ barbarians.

## íx $\beta_{a \sigma ı \lambda \epsilon v o \mu e ́ v \omega \nu} \gamma$ à $\rho \sigma v \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$.

Aristotle is here speaking of one kind of monarchy, which may be called the patriarchal. In iii. 14. § I 2 , he attributes the rise of monarchy to the benefits conferred on the inhabitants of a country in peace or war by distinguished individuals, whereas in this passage he assigns to it a patriarchal origin. Both accounts
have probably a certain degree of truth in them. And doubtless in history either form of monarchy may have taken the place of the other; a series of undistinguished kings may have been interrupted by the hero or legislator, and the hero or legislator may have transmitted his power to his posterity. Cp. also iv. 13. § 12.

Sià тì̀ auyyévecav.
Either 'the relation of the members of the $\kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ ( $\gamma \dot{\prime}$ vos) to one another,' or 'to the original oikia.'

Odyssey ix. 114; again alluded to in Nicom. Ethics x. 9. § I3,

及iovs $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$.

This is especially true of the Greeks, who limited the divine by the human; in other mythologies the idea of a superior being who could not be conceived, led to extravagance and grotesqueness. And even among the Greeks, the light of fancy was always breaking in, though not in such a manner as to impair the harmony of the poetical vision.

тé̀clos $\pi$ ò̀ts.

2. 8. 

Opposed to $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ (§5).

2. 8.
'The state is created for the maintenance of life, but when once established has a higher aim.'
ouva partly derives its meaning from $\gamma \mathbf{y} \boldsymbol{\nu} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$, 'having a true being' opposed to 'coming into being' (cp. oùvia and yéverss).

2. 8.

By Aristotle the end of a thing is said to be its nature ; the best and alone self-sufficing development of it. From this transcendental point of view the state is prior to the individual, the whole to the part (\$12). But he is not always consistent in his use of language ; for while in this passage he speaks of the state as the end or final cause of the oikia, in Nic. Ethics viii. $12 . \S 7$ he also speaks of the oixia as prior to the state and more necessary


[^0]'If the original elements of the state exist by nature, the state must exist by nature.' But is the argument sound? are not two senses of the word nature here confused?

i.e. because it is the end, the fulfilment, the self-sufficing, the good: yet there is another sense of the word фúrs, which is not applicable to the state.

Lit. ' For the alien, who is by nature such as I have described, is also a lover of war.'

The margin of one MS. supported by the old Latin Version (which gives 'sicut in volatilibus') reads $\pi \in \tau \epsilon$ evois. $\pi e \tau 0 i$ is is the reading of one late MS., $\pi$ errois apparently of all the rest. In support of the last a very difficult epigram of Agathias (Pal. Anthology, ix. 482) is adduced in which the term ásvg occurs in the description of a game played with dice and similar to our backgammon; the game is not however called $\pi$ etroi, nor does the description answer to the game of $\pi \epsilon \tau \tau 0 i$. The word $\tilde{a} \delta v \xi$, when applied to a game, may mean either 'exposed' or 'blocked,' and so incapable of combination or action. With iv $\pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau v o i s, a ̆ u v \xi$ might be interpreted of birds of prey which fly alone, the solitary opposed


But neither $\dot{e} \nu$ retrois nor $\dot{e} \nu$ revelvois can be precisely explained.
乡uyoù $\tau v \gamma \chi \dot{a} \nu \omega \nu)$ shew that the copyists were in a difficulty. We can only infer that whether applied to birds or to the pieces of a game, the word $a \llbracket u \xi$ is here used as a figure representing the solitude of a savage who has no city or dwelling-place.
2. 10. Biớr.

Either 1)*'why,' or 2) 'that.' In either case the reason is supplied from what follows (§ 11):-' Man has the faculty of speech, and speech was given him that he might express pleasure and pain, good and evil, the ideas which lie at the basis of the state.'

roir $\omega \nu$, sc. 'of these perceptions,' or rather ' of those who have these perceptions.' For the vague antecedent see note on § 2.

In idea the state is prior to the family, as the whole is prior to the part, for the true or perfect family cannot exist until human nature is developed in the state: but in time, and in history, the family and the village are prior to the state. The state is $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon$
 Categ. c. 12, $14 a, 26$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2. } 13 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Referring either x ) to $\delta \mu \omega \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \omega s:-$ When the powers of the hand are destroyed ( $\delta \dot{\circ} \phi \theta a p \varepsilon i \sigma a)$ it will only be such in an equivocal sense;' or 2) *to |  |
| :---: |
| $\sigma$ |
|  |$\rho \lambda_{i} \theta_{i \nu \eta}$ 'it will be like a stone hand.' Cp. Sir J. F. Stephen's Liberty, Equality, Fraternity, p. 128, 'A man would no more be a man if he was alone in the world, than a hand would be a hand without the rest of the body.'



 in § 12. 'That the state exists by nature and is prior to the individual is proved by the consideration that the individual is not self-sufficing; he is therefore a part, like every other part, relative to the whole and so implying it.'

2. 14.

Compare the old scholastic aphorism derived from Aristotle that 'the man who lives wholly detached from others must be either an angel or a devil;' quoted by Burke, 'Thoughts on the causes of the present discontent,' vol. i. p. 340 , edit. 1826.

|  | 2. 15. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 'True, the political instinct is implanted in all men by nature : yet |  |
| he who brought them together in a state was the greatest of benefactors': or 2 ) with a less marked opposition: 'The political instinct |  |
|  |  |
| is natural; and he who first brought men together [and so developed it] was the greatest of benefactors.' |  |
| Here as elsewhere Aristotle presupposes a given material, upon |  |
| hich, according to the traditional Greek notion, the legislator |  |



 datives of the instrument. It seems strange at first sight to speak of $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s$ and à $\rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$ as capable of a wrong direction. We might rather have expected Aristotle to have distinguished $\phi$ póvoris from what in Nic. Eth. vi. 12. §9, is called $\delta$ etvór刀s, (an intellectual capacity which may receive a good direction and become ф $\rho$ óvors ; but may also when receiving a bad direction become $\pi a v o v p \gamma i a)$ and $a \rho \epsilon \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$, from what in the same passage of the Ethics is spoken of as mere $\phi \nu \sigma i k \eta \dot{d} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$ (Nic. Eth. vi. 13. §§ 1 and 2 ) or in the Magna Moralia
 סíkaıa к.т. $\lambda$., which may become injurious unless directed by reason
 of certain words from a good to a neutral sense or from a technical to a general one is common in Aristotle; and in the fluctuating state of philosophical language may be expected to occur. We must not suppose that he always employed words in the same senses; or that he had a scientific vocabulary fixed by use and ready on all occasions.
2) Bernays and others translate ' Man is by nature equipped with arms or instruments for wisdom and virtue;' i.e. Man has a natural capacity which may be developed into ф $\rho o r^{\nu} \eta \sigma t s$ and $\mathfrak{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$, or may degenerate into their opposites. This gives an excellent meaning and agrees in the use of words as well as in thought with the passage in the Ethics referred to above. But the construction of the dative in the sense of 'for' after ${ }_{\circ}^{\circ} \pi \lambda a{ }^{\prime} \not{ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \chi \omega \nu$ is impossible. Or if 3) the datives are taken with фivea, a construction which is quite possible, the words $\begin{array}{r}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi \lambda a \\ \tilde{\epsilon} \\ \chi \\ \omega \nu \\ \nu\end{array}$ become pointless. In this uncertainty of the construction the general meaning is clear ; viz., that 'man has intelligence and an aptitude for virtue, gifts which are in the highest degree capable of abuse.'
 words; for it is not virtue and knowledge which can be turned to the worst uses (cp. Rhet. i. I355 b. 4) but the finer nature which is alone capable of virtue. Cp. Goethe's Faust, Prologue in Heaven, where Mephistopheles says, 'Er nennt's Vernunft und braucht's allein nur thierischer als jedes Thier zu sein;' and Nic. Eth. vii. 6.
 Repub. vi. $495 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$, where it is said that the best, i.e. the greatest natures, if they are ill educated, become the worst:-каi éк тoúrov $8 \dot{\eta}$





' But the virtue of justice unites men in states (i.e. is the quality opposed to the lawlessness which makes men lower than the beasts), and executive justice is the ordering of political society and the decision of what is just.'

In this passage $\delta i k \eta$ is the 'administration of justice': $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \sigma i v \eta$, 'the virtue of justice': to סikaıov, 'the principle of justice to be applied in each case.'
 סoú $\lambda \omega \nu$ кпì è $\lambda \epsilon v \Theta_{\xi}^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$.
$a^{*} \theta_{i s}=$ 'in turn.' 'As the state is made up of households, so the household in turn is made up of lesser parts; and a complete household includes both slaves and freemen.' Of these elements of the household Aristotle now proceeds to speak.



Not finding common words which express his idea, Aristotle gives new senses to $\gamma а \mu \kappa k^{\prime}$ and $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu 0 \pi о \iota \eta \tau \kappa \kappa \dot{\eta}$. In ordinary Greek they would have meant 'of or referring to marriage,' and 'to the procreation of children': here he extends their meaning to the whole marital or parental relation. It was natural in the beginning of philosophy to make new words, or to give new meanings to old ones; cp. Plato, Theæt. 182 A , where he calls тotótךs an $\dot{\text { a }} \lambda$ дókotov övoua, and Nic. Eth. v. $6 . \S 9$, where the relation of husband and wife is termed by a periphrasis tò oikovo $\mu$ кò $\nu$ oikatov, or tò $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \nu v a i ̂ k a ~$ dixawv: cp. also c. 12. § I infra, where marpın' is used for what is here called тeкvoпourıкๆ. That Aristotle found many words wanting in his philosophical vocabulary, we gather from Nic. Eth. ii. 7. §§ 2,

3, 8, 11, De Interp. c. 2 and 3, and infra iii. 1. § 7, where similar remarks are made upon àvarengria, upon the anonymous mean of



'Let us assume the relationships, by whatever names they are called, to be three, those which I have mentioned.' $\mathrm{Cp} . \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ § I above. The passage would read more smoothly if ai were inserted before треis: 'let there be those three.'

Many traces of this sophistic or humanistic feeling occur in Greek Poetry, especially in Euripides: some of the most striking are coilected by Oncken, Die Staatslehre des Aristoteles, vol. ii. pp. 34-36:-

Eurip. Ion, 854-856,-



ib. Helena, 726 ff ,,-




 rò̀ עoû̀ $\delta$ ó́.
ib. Melanippe, fr. 515,-


Philem. apud Stobæum,-


ib. fr. 39,-



3. 4. Biaıoy yáp.

Either 1）$\left.{ }^{*}=\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \iota ~ o r ~ s i m p l y ~ 2\right) ~ ' b r o u g h t ~ a b o u t ~ b y ~ v i o l e n c e ~ ; ' ~$ Bia may be opposed either to фíats or vómos or both．

 oikovoцıки̂v．

The first six words $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ．．．r＇́ $\chi^{\nu} a t s$ are read as in Bekker supported by some MSS．There is also MS．authority for the omission of $\delta \epsilon ;$ and for the omission of both $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ and iv．

Retaining Bekker＇s reading，we must either r）＊translate，as in the text，making the apodosis to $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$ où begin with кai $\dot{\eta} \kappa \tau \eta \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ ； or 2）$\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ after $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon$ may be regarded as marking the apodosis；or 3）the sentence may be an anacoluthon；as frequently after érei in


$\tau \alpha i s \dot{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\mu} \nu a \iota s \tau^{\prime} \chi^{\chi} \nu a \iota s:$ The arts which have a definite sphere， such as the art of the pilot，or of the carpenter，contrasted with the ill defined arts of politics or household management，cp．c．13，

 reading oűt $\kappa$ ai $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ oiкоvo $\mu \kappa \bar{\varphi}$ has been proposed on the authority of the old translation（Moerbek）＇sic et yconomico．＇But r $\hat{\omega} \nu$ oiкоуоцик $\omega$ is more idiomatic and has the support of the greater


каі 訳 $\sigma \pi \in \rho$ ố $\rho \gamma a \nu o \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ o ̉ \rho \gamma a ́ \nu \omega \nu . ~$
4． 2.
Not＇instead of＇but＇taking precedence of＇：－the slave is in idea prior to the tool which he uses．He is an instrument，but he is also a link between his master and the inferior instruments which he uses and sets in motion．

For the use of $\pi \rho \dot{\rho}$ cp．the proverb quoted in c．7．§ 3 doû入os $\pi \rho \dot{o}$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ o ́ \rho \gamma a ́ \nu \omega \nu(D e ~ P a r t . ~ A n i m . ~ i v . ~ 10, ~ 687 ~ a . ~ 2 ~ I) . ~ . ~$

[^1]



It was said that a possession is an instrument for maintaining life, and there seems to be no reason why both ктijuara and öpyava should not be regarded as different aspects of wealth (cp. infra
 and Plato Politicus 287 D , who feels the difficulty of specialising the notion of an opyavov: 'there is plausibility in saying that everything in the world is the instrument of doing something'). But here the term instrument, used in a narrower sense, is opposed to a possession, and regarded as a mere instrument of production. A parallel distinction is drawn between production and action, and the slave is described as the instrument of action. But he is also spoken of as the 'instrument preceding instruments' ( $\$ 2$ ), words which rather indicate the minister of production. Aristotle passes from one point of view to another without marking the transition.

He wants to discriminate the household slave from the artisan; but in the attempt to make this distinction becomes confused. The conception of the slave on which he chiefly insists is that he is relative to a master and receives from him a rule of life : c. 13. §§ 12-14. He therefore differs from the arcisan.
rà $\lambda_{\varepsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a, ~ e . g . ~ i n s t r u m e n t s ~ s u c h ~ a s ~ t h e ~ s h u t t l e, ~ e t c . ~}^{\text {. }}$
 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \pi p \hat{p} \xi \mathrm{c} \nu$.

- Life is action, and therefore the slave, i.e. the household slave, is the minister of action, because he ministers to his master's life.'




4. 5. $\quad$ D $\omega$ s ixeivov.

The master although relative to the slave has an existence of his own, but the slave's individuality is lost in his master.

Here as elsewhere Aristotle distinguishes between reasoning and
facts, the analogy of nature supplying the theory, the observation of the differences which exist among mankind, the fact. Cp. infra vii. $1 . \S 6$, and Nic. Eth. i. $8 . \S \mathrm{I}$; ix. $8 . \S 2$; x. $1 . \S 4$, and Plato (Polit. ${ }_{27} 8 \mathrm{D}$ ), who speaks of the 'long and difficult language of facts.' The verbal antithesis of $\lambda$ oroos and $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \gamma o v$, which in Thucydides is often merely rhetorical, enters deeply into the philosophy of Aristotle. There is however no real opposition between them any more than between the a priori and a posteriori reasoning of modern philosophers, which are only different modes of proving or of conceiving the same fact.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5. } 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

' From their very birth,' or, with a logical turn, 'to go no further



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5. } 3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

'As ruler and subject, they may be said to have a work or function-the one to command, the other to obey, apart from any other work or function.'

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5. } 3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the division of quantity into continuous and discrete, cp. Categ. 6. I, p. 4 b. 20, and Nic. Eth. ii. 6. §4. The human frame would be an instance of the first, musical harmony or a chorus or an army of the second. The $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota s$ may be said to partake of the nature of both in being one body and having many offices or members.



1) The connexion is as follows: 'This principle of a superior is found in living beings, but not confined to them. *It is derived from the universal nature, for it pervades all things, inanimate as well as animate' (so Bernays). It is remarkable that Aristotle recognises a common principle pervading alike organic and inorganic nature.
2) Or ${ }^{2} \mathrm{k}$ is partitive ; see Bonitz, Index Arist. 225 b. 1 I ff. 'Out of all the kingdom of nature this is found [especially] in living beings' (Stahr, Susemihl). But according to this interpretation,
the addition of $\mu \bar{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma r a$ after $\dot{\varepsilon} v \pi \pi \alpha^{\prime} \rho \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, suggested by Susemihl, appears to be indispensable to the meaning.
oiov àp $\mu$ vias.
Either 1)* 'as in musical harmony there is a ruling principle determining the character of the harmony, or 2) 'as harmony is a ruling principle governing the combinations of sounds.' The first accords best with the common meaning of the word dppovia and with the use of the genitive.
5. 4. $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \times \omega \tau \boldsymbol{f} \rho a s$.
'Somewhat foreign to the present subject,' not in the sense of



i. e. 'the living creature, as soon as we begin to analyse it, is found to consist of soul and body.'

The opposition expressed by $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ in $\tau \dot{o} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \zeta \hat{\varphi} o \nu$ is as follows: ' not to speak of the whole of nature, but of the living creature only.'

For $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\tau} \sigma \nu$ (which is to be taken with ovvéorךкє) meaning either 'to go no further,' or 'as the first result of analysis,' cp. $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \tau \boldsymbol{\nu}$

 дıє $\phi$ әарме́vots.

Cp. Nic. Eth. ix. 9.§ 8 and Cicero Tusc. Disput. i. 14 ' num dubitas quin specimen naturae capi deceat ex optima quaque natura?'


5. 6. $\quad \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \dot{\gamma} \dot{a} \rho \psi v \chi \eta \eta^{\prime}$ к.т. $\lambda$.

Psychology, like logic, is constantly made by Aristotle and Plato the basis or form of politics. The individual is the image of the state in the complexity of his life and organisation, and the relations of the parts of the state are expressed and even suggested by the divisions of the soul, and the relations of mind and body.

## 



## tïrep kaì roîs eip

6. 8. 

I.e. for the animals, for the body, for the female sex, for ro


Sid kai àdov ígriv.
5. 9.

- Because he is by nature capable of belonging to another, he does belong to another.'


- The difference between the slave and the animal is that the slave can apprehend reason but the animal cannot; the use of them is much the same.'

Aristotle is chiefly dwelling on the resemblance between the slave and the animal : but in noting the difference, he has not duly subordinated it to the general tone of the passage. Hence an awkwardness in the connection.






' Nature would in fact like, if she could, to make a difference between the bodies of freemen and slaves . . . but her intention is not always fulfilled; for some men have the bodies and some the souts of freemen :' that is to say, they are deficient in the other half. The bodies of freemen and the souls of freemen are found indifferently among freemen and slaves: or, referring rois $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ to the freemen and rovis $8 \dot{e}$ to the slaves: 'the one (the freemen) may have the bodies only of freemen, i. e. the souls of slaves, the others (the slaves) may have the souls of freemen.'
èevét $\rho \omega \nu$ must be taken both with $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu a r a$ and $\psi u x a ́ s$.
Boùcrat expresses, first of all, 'intention' or 'design;' secondly, 'tendency.' The personal language easily passes into the impersonal. Cp. for the use of Boú̀ouau Nic. Eth. v. 8. § 14, Boóncrau $\mu^{\prime}$ và $\mu a ̈ \lambda \lambda o v$, sc. тò $\nu \delta \mu \sigma \mu a$, and infra c. 12. § 2. For the general

> vol. II.





The connection is,--'There is as great difference between souls as between bodies or even greater, but not in the same degree perceptible.' For the 'sight of the invisible' cp. Plat. Phaedr. 250 D , 'For sight is the keenest of our bodily senses, though not by that is wisdom seen,' and the words preceding.
 oi $\mu \dot{e} v$ and oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ are not subdivisions of $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon$, which is itself partitive, but there appears to be a pleonastic confusion of two con-
 pot of $\delta \dot{E} \delta o i i^{\prime}$ or. In other words the construction beginning with rives has varied into oi $\mu \hat{e} \nu — o i$ ©é.

'But a convention by which captives taken in war are made slaves, is a violation of nature, and may be accused of illegality like the author of an unconstitutional measure.' The more











$\delta$ тovê roùs $\lambda$ óyous, к.т. $\lambda$. Not 'makes the reasons ambiguous' (Liddell and Scott), but 'makes the arguments pass from one side to the other,' or, 'makes them overlap' or 'invade each other's





 § 15 . Virtue and power are opposed: but from one point of view the arguments cross over or pass into one another, because there is an element of virtue in power and of power in virtue. Cp. Plat. Rep. i. 352 ff.
$\Delta$ à yà roùro, к,r.... The translation given in the text nearly agrees with that of Bernays : the phrase toúrov $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu$ in § 4 refers, not to the rous $\lambda$ dorous of $\S 3$, but to the two positions which immediately precede ; the first, that justice is benevolence; the second, that justice is the rule of a superior. These two positions, according to Aristotle, have a common ground, which explains why such a difference of opinion can exist ( $\S 3$ ). This common ground is the connexion between $\mathbf{a}_{\rho}$ erì and pia; the point in dispute being whether the principle of justice is benevolence or power (§§ 3, 4). If these two propositions are simply kept apart and not allowed to combine, there will follow the silly and unmeaning result that the superior in virtue is not entitled to rule: 'but there is no force or plausibility in this' [and therefore they cannot be kept apart, but must be combined]. Aristotle is arguing from his own strong conviction, which is repeated again and again in the Politics, that the superior in virtue has a right to rule. He continues: 'There are others who maintain that what is legal is just; but they contradict themselves, for what is allowed by law may be in a higher sense illegal. Captives taken in war are by law usually enslaved, yet the war may be unjust, and the persons may be 'nature's freemen,' and unworthy to be made slaves. But all these views are untenable; and so Aristotle shews negatively that his own view (expressed in c. 6 . $\S \S 1$ and 3) is right, namely, that there is a slavery which is natural and just, because based on the superior virtue of the master, and therefore combining power and right; and that there is a slavery which is unnatural and unjust, because based on mere violence; also that the argument from the right of the conqueror is invalid.

The chief difficulties in this complicated passage are the following ：－
（1）The opposition of justice to virtue，which is，perhaps，only to virtue in the lower sense of the word．
（2）What is the meaning of sià y ${ }^{\text {à } \rho ~ r o u ̂ r o ~(§ ~ 4) ? ~ S e e ~ E n g . ~ t e x t . ~}$
（3）Is єüvoua a）a principle excluding slavery（Bernays），or $b$ ） justifying slavery，as existing for the protection of the inferior
 The thesis that＇justice is benevolence＇is held by Aristotle to be not inconsistent with slavery，that is，with the just rule of a superior．
（4）Do the words סıactávtшע $\chi \omega$（is $=a)^{*}$＇being kept apart and not combined，placed in bare opposition，＇or $\bar{b}$＇being set aside？＇ Both uses of 8uirrac日a are justified by examples；in support of the former we may quote Ar．de Caelo，ii．13， 295 a．30，öre tà $\sigma$ тocरeía
 $\S \S 2,8$ ；and this meaning agrees better with the context．
（5）Do the words ärcpos 入óyou refer a）to one of the two preceding propositions，or $b$ ）to a further alternative？It is doubtful whether they are Greek，if taken in the sense of＇the latter，＇or＇one of these two propositions．＇It is better to trans－ late＇the other view，＇which is explained by what follows，is ov סei k．r．ג．，being the view which denies the natural right of the superior in virtue to rule，and which here as elsewhere，iii． 13.25 ， is regarded by Aristotle as absurd．（See discussion of this passage in the Transactions of the Cambridge Philological Society，Vol．II．）

No philosopher is known to have asserted that סıкaьoovim is єข้̃oıa．Aristotle in Nic．Eth．viii．1．§ 4，9．§§ 1－3 notes some resemblances between סixasoovir $\eta$ and $\phi i \lambda i a$ ：and we may cite as parallel the Christian maxim，＇Love is the fulfilling of the law．＇

## 

＇There are some again who identify law and justice．＂＂Oג由s may be taken either 1）with ri日＇adt，＇they maintain in general terms，＇i．e．holding to some general notion of justice；or 2$)^{*}$ with àreरópevon，＇holding absolutely to a kind of justice．＇

## 

＇But in the same breath they say the opposite，＇i．e．they are
compelled by facts, if they think for a moment, to contradict themselves. The language is slightly inaccurate; for it is not they who contradict themselves, but the facts which refute them.



Either one or two distinct grounds are alleged: I$)^{*}$ the cause of war may be unjust, and then the slave ought not to be a slave; or 2) the cause of war may be unjust, and also the slave, being a Greek, ought not to be a slave.



 B, C, where Plato indignantly prohibits Hellenes from becoming the owners of other Hellenes taken in war.

6. 7.

Theodectes was a younger contemporary, and, according to Suidas, scholar of Aristotle. During the earlier portion of his life he bad studied rhetoric under Isocrates, and is said by Dionysius to have been one of the most famous of rhetoricians. His works are often quoted by Aristotle, e.g. Rhet. ii. 23, 1399




 See Bonitz.
 סoî̀ov кal è $\lambda \in \dot{v} \theta \in \rho o \nu$.
' When they speak of Hellenes as everywhere free and noble, they lay down the principle that slave and free are distinguished by the criterion of bad and good.'

##  6. 8.

Not 'nature sometimes intends this and sometimes not,' for
she always intends it; nor ' nature always intends this, but often cannot accomplish it,' which does violence to the order of the words mod入ákis ov̀ $\mu$ évror: but 'this nature often intends, when unable to accomplish it,' $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ ákis adhering to both clauses.

$\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \quad \sigma \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \sigma s$, sc. the objection to slavery with which chapter 6 commenced, ö́九 8 è кaì oi ràaurtia фáoкovres.

'And that men are not by nature, the one class [all] slaves and the other [all] freemen, is evident,' repeating ört. Aristotle had maintained at the end of chapter 5, örı pèv roívv cïc̀ фúget
 opposite of his former statement; but he does not explain in what way the two statements are to be reconciled with one another. ' Nature has divided mankind into slaves and freemen, but she has not consistently carried out the division ; and there are slaves and freemen who were not the creation of nature.'

The words eioi kai are inserted before oik eioiv by Bekker, (ed. 2) ; 'if there are some who are by nature slaves and some who are by nature freemen, there are some who are not.' The change has no authority, and is not required by the sense.
 deotóscu.
'Such a distinction has been made in some cases, and in these it is expedient that one should serve another rule '; $\omega v$ is substituted for ois, that it may be in regimen with rệ $\mu \dot{\mu} \nu$.

## 

'And consequently the master over his slaves,' i.e. if they and he are fitted, the one to serve, the other to command.

 autóv, $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$. The qualification contained in the last three words shows the contradiction of Aristotle's position.

фavepòv $\begin{aligned} \text { à kaì ék roútur. }\end{aligned}$
7. 1.

Aristotle returns to the thesis with which he commenced; 'From these considerations, too, i.e. from the natural and permanent difference of freemen and slaves, our old doctrine (i. 1. § 2) that the rule of a master differs from that of a king or statesman, the art of governing a family from the art of governing freemen,' is clearly proven.

## 

' Slaves have various duties, higher and lower, and therefore the science which treats of them will have many branches; and there is a corresponding science of using slaves, which is the science of the master; yet neither is implied in the terms master or slave; who are so called not because they have science, but because they are of a certain character.' Yet the two propositions are not inconsistent: Plato would have said that the master must have science, and not have denied that he must be of a certain character.

Aristotle clearly uses the word $\pi \rho \dot{o}$ in the sense of precedence as supra c. 4. § 2, öpyavò mpò ópүávov. Such a hierarchy among servants as well as masters is not unknown in modern society.

But compare iv. 6. $\S 6$, where he says that the rich having to 7. 5 . take care of their property have no leisure for politics.
 Öpevtıर⿱㇒廾.

The passage is obscurely expressed. The writer means to say that the art of acquiring slaves is not to be identified either with the art of the slave or of the master: it is a kind of war (vii. 14. §21) or hunting. The words oiov $\dot{\eta}$ Bisaia imply that Aristotle is not disposed to justify every mode of acquiring slaves from


 The awkward manner of their introduction leads to the suspicion that they are a gloss, suggested by the passage just cited. The sense of oiov is explanatory and so corrective; not, as Bernays,
'for example, the art of justly acquiring slaves approximates to the art of war or hunting;' for this would apply equally to every mode of acquiring slaves, and the meaning given to $\tau$ ts is feeble; but 'I mean to say,' or 'I am speaking of the just mode of acquiring slaves which is a kind of war or of hunting.' (See Bonitz, Index Arist., s.v. oiov.)


' We have been speaking $(\dot{\eta} \nu)$ of the possession of slaves which is a part of property, and according to our usual method of resolving the whole into its parts, we will now proceed to consider
 c. $1 . \S 3$.

Aristotle proceeds to show that the art of money-making is not the same with the management of the family; it is only subordinate to it. But subordinate in what way? Bearing in mind his own distinction of instrumental and material, he argues that it provides material to the household, but is not the same with household management.
 first of all, whether tillage is a part of the management of a household; or rather whether we must not include all the various ways of providing food,' which are then described at length.

The digression which follows is intended to contrast xppuatıoriкो in all its branches with oikovou«vi, and to prepare for the distinction between the natural and unnatural modes of acquisition.

The sentence is irregular, the clause $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \bar{o}+\nu$ к.r..入. following as if $\stackrel{\text { éctı }}{ }$ tov̀ xpquatıorıкov̀ $\theta e \omega \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a t$ without $\epsilon i$ had preceded. The
 $\mu$ épos $\pi$.

' The question has been asked, Is the whole provision of food a part of money-making?-But then we should remember that there are several kinds of food.'

8. 5.
ràs paotíuas к.r.т. 'For their convenience and the obtaining'; the words may also be regarded as a hendiadys, 'for the opportunity of obtaining.'

According to the common notion the life of the hunter precedes 8.6. that of the shepherd; Aristotle places the shepherd first, apparently because the least exertion is required of him. The remark arises out of the previous sentence, in which he divided the lives of men according to the facility with which they obtained food. Cp . Mill, Polit. Econ., Preliminary Remarks.
Qá入atrav toaúmp.
8. 7.

aùtóфutov.
8. 8.

Either I)* 'immediately obtained from the products of nature' $=\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ aùrŋ̂s $\uparrow \hat{\eta} s$ фvigeas, or 2 ) $=$ aùrovpyóv, ' by their own labour.'

Bernays reads $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \bar{\delta} \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ without MS. authority, but there is no need to make any change. The meaning is that they supplement the extreme poverty (èdofécratov) of one kind of life by another: the two together give them a comfortable subsistence.
$\sigma к \omega \lambda \eta к о т о к \epsilon \hat{i}$.
8. 10.
 токеi. The term 'vermiparous' is not strictly correct: for all animals are either viviparous or oviparous. But Aristotle appears not to have been aware that the larva of the insect comes from an egg.

8. 10.
 т ̂̀p kataunviou, фúrus, Hist. Animal. passim. (See Bonitz, Index Arist, p. 838 a. 8 ff.)





Aristotle is tracing the design of nature in the creation of animals and plants, first at their birth, secondly at their maturity. She has provided food taken from the parents in various forms for the young of animals at or about the time of their birth, and, after they are born, she has provided one to sustain the other, plants for the sake of animals, animals for the sake of man. The principle that the lower exist for the sake of the higher is deeply rooted in the philosophy of Aristotle. The belief that the animals are intended for his use is natural to man because he actually uses a small part of them. Yet Plato would remind us (Politicus 263 D) that 'a crane or some other intelligent animal' would have a different account to give of the matter.

Compare Butler, Analogy, Pt. I., ch. vii.: 'It is highly probable, that the natural world is formed and carried on merely in subserviency to the moral, as the vegetable world is for the animal, and organized bodies for minds.' Yet how far the idea of design is applicable to nature, how far we can argue from a fact to an intention, and how far such a conception, whether in ancient or modern times, has enlightened or has blinded the minds of philosophical enquirers,-are questions not easily determined.

The opposition is between the young of animals before and after birth, answering imperfectly to кaтà ті̀ע $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \nu \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu \in \sigma \omega$, and
 § Ir. There is no necessity for omitting (with Göttling and
 $\mu e ́ v o r s$, in all MSS. and confirmed by Moerbeke who has 'genitis.' For the use of $\gamma \in \nu o \mu \mu^{\prime} \nu o u s='$ after they are born' cp. Nic. Eth.



Cp. Plat. Soph. 222 C , where hunting is the genus of which war is a species: and Laveleye (Primitive Property, c. 7, p. 100, English trans.), who speaks of the warlike character of hunting tribes, citing this passage.

##  <br> 8. 13.

In this sentence two clauses are compressed into one:-' one kind of acquisition is according to nature, and this is a part of household management.'
 not with oikovo $\mu \kappa \bar{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ (Bernays) but with k $\kappa \eta \tau \kappa \kappa \hat{\eta} s$, as is shown by the




$\delta \delta \epsilon i$ is a confused expression referring grammatically to eloos ${ }^{\kappa} \tau \eta \tau \kappa k \hat{s} s$ or $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oikovoukiǹs $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho o s$, but in sense to the property with which this art of acquisition is concerned. It it needless to read with Bernays кa $\theta^{\circ}$ o $\delta \hat{i}$, for the inexact antecedent is common in Aristotle.
 is either the same as to $i \pi d \dot{\rho} \rho \in \epsilon \nu$, i. e. $\delta=\kappa \pi \dot{\eta} \mu a r a$ understood from
 genitive $\varpi \nu$ being substituted by attraction for the nominative
 that the words $\Phi_{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma x i$ would be better away: they read awkwardly, and, if this were a sufficient reason for rejecting them, might be deemed spurious.

8. 14 .

Solon, Fr. xii. 7 7 Bergk. The line is also found in Theognis


8. 15.

A slight inaccuracy; either I$) \pi \lambda o u ̛ \tau \varphi$ understood $=\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \chi \nu \eta$ rov̀
 of the other arts: or vaguely $=$ 'in the other arts': or 3) $\tau \hat{\eta}$ кurà $\phi \dot{v}$ $\sigma \Delta \nu$ ктगुrux̂ may be supplied from the beginning of the sentence.



Life, according to Aristotle, is subject, like the arts, to a limit, and requires only a certain number of implements.

Cp．the passage in the Republic（i． 349,350 ）in which it is shewn from the analogy of the arts that the just and the wise do not aim at excess．Here as elsewhere＇the good is of the nature of the finite，＇whereas evil is undefined．Cp．also Nic．Eth．ii． 6.
 тeтeparnévov：and Mill，Polit．Econ．，Preliminary Remarks，＇the definition of wealth as signifying instruments is philosophically cor－ rect but departs too widely from the custom of language．＇

Sc．because provision has to be made for the uses of life．

＇Owing to which，＇or＇to the nature of which，＇＇there appears to be no limit，＇etc．

So Plato divides ктךтıkウ̀ into $\theta_{\eta \rho \epsilon \cup \tau ı k \grave{\eta}}$ and ả入入akтıкй，Soph． 223 ff ．

Cp．Adam Smith＇s＇Value in use＇and＇Value in exchange＇； Wealth of Nations，Book i．c．4，though the order of the two ideas is inverted．For to Aristotle the value in use or teleological value is the truer and better，to Adam Smith as a political economist the value in exchange is prior in importance．

Sc．тoîs àvөpómots．


 ＇different persons want different things；＇and he assumes the idea
 stand this explanation．A fair meaning may be elicited from the text，as it stands ：－1）＊＇In families they shared in all things alike； when they were dispersed they had many things as before，but not all the same＇：or 2）кai éт＇f $\omega \nu$ may be taken more simply：＇they shared in many things as before，and had many other things as well＇；i．e．the enlargement of society gave rise to new wants．The
word ixourowouv= кousà eixov is not equally applicable to both clauses ; in the second clause some other word like eixoy or ikrôvro is wanted.



 кєєшрьб $\mu$ évol, ' mankind after their dispersion.'
\& $\nu$ in the words which follow is to be connected with tà $\mu \epsilon \tau а \delta \sigma \sigma \epsilon!$.
 9. 5.
kai which is found in all the MSS., though omitted in William de Moerbeke, merely emphasizes the whole clause 'As moreover some barbarian nations still do.' There is no need to introduce $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ after kai without MS. authority, as Bernays has done.
 9. 6.

Lit. 'to fill up what was wanting of the self-sufficingness intended by nature;' or 'to fill up what nature demanded in order to make


кałà $\lambda$ doov. 'In a natural way '; 'as might be expected.' ..... 9. 7.
 ..... 9.7.
' When the supply began to come more from foreign countries,' etc.
 ..... 9. 7.
'Of necessity there arose a currency.'




' Money belongs to the class of things which are in themselves useful and convenient for the purposes of life,' although there may be circumstances under which it is a mere sham ( $\lambda \bar{j} \rho o s)$; see § r .


 $\pi \lambda c i ̂ \sigma t o y ~ \pi o u \eta ́ \sigma e l ~ к e ́ p d o s . ~$

Aárepov cilos, i.e. 'other' than what Aristotle before called ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu$ eibos $\kappa т \eta \tau \kappa \bar{j} \mathrm{~s}$ (c. 8. § $\mathbf{1 3}$ ) which he had not yet distinguished from капт $\lambda \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$. He admits that the simpler forms of exchange are necessary; but he also supposes that there are two uses to which the art of moneymaking may be applied, the one, the storing up of the necessaries of life, which he approves, the other, retail trade which he condemns. A prejudice against money, which is further developed in the condemnation of usury (c. $10 . \$ \S 4,5$ ) underlies the whole tone of thought. We may note that кamךגıк', though here applied to trade in general, carries with it the disparaging association of shopkeeping.

9. ir. For the story of Midas see Ovid, Met. xi. 90-145. It is obvious that Midas would have suffered equally if his touch had produced food or clothing or any other article of commerce. In his account of money Aristotle seems to be perplexed between its usefulness and its uselessness, and between the good and bad consequences which flow from it.

Money is the element, i.e. the instrument of exchange. It is also the limit or end of it. Exchange is not possible without money and seeks for nothing beyond it.

There is no limit to the art of making money any more than to medicine or other arts; for we want to have as much health and wealth as we can. But there is a limit if we regard wealth as only a means to an end, i.e. to the maintenance of a household. The passage is not very clearly expressed, owing partly to the double meaning of the word $\pi$ tpas, ( I ) ' limit' or 'measure,' as opposed to the infinite or indefinite änctpov, and (2) 'end' as opposed to 'means.' Aristotle probably intends to say that the art of money making is unlimited, having no other end but wealth, which is also unlimited; whereas in the art of household management, the limit or end is fixed by natural needs.

There is another confusion in this chapter. Aristotle tries to make a difference in kind between the legitimate and illegitimate use of exchange, but the difference is really one of degree. Trade is not rendered illegitimate by the use of coin, which is natural and necessary. The source of the confusion is that he never regards exchange on the great scale as the saving of labour, but only as the means of creating superfluous wealth.





'The art of money-making, like the other arts, is limited in the means, but unlimited in the end ; as the physician seeks health without limit, so the money-maker seeks wealth without limit.' Yet the analogy is defective; for there is no accumulation of health in the same sense in which there may be an accumulation of wealth. The physician stands really on the same footing with the manager of the household; for both equally seek to fulfil to the utmost their respective functions, the one to order the household, the other to improve the health of the patient, and there is a limit to both. The opposition of means and ends is also questionable; for the end may be regarded as the sum of the means, and would not an unlimited end, if such a conception is allowable, imply unlimited means, or the unlimited use of limited ?
 $\mu к \overline{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{E}$ ёpov.
Lit. 'the art of household management which is not concerned with money-making has a limit; for this (sc. $\dot{o}$ roooṽos $\pi \lambda o \hat{v} r o s$, the unlimited making of money described above) is not its business.'

##  <br> 9. 15.

'For the two uses of money-making being concerned with the same thing, namely coin or wealth, they run into each other.'
 emendation of Bernays ékaré $\rho q$ т $\bar{\eta} \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$ is unnecessary.


$x \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \omega s$ кזŋ̀ats. 'For acquisition belongs to the same use of $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \kappa \eta^{\prime}$, ' i.e. in all acquisition chrematistic is used in the same way, though the ends differ, for the end in the one case is external, i.e. the supply of the household, in the other case, mere accumulation.



Even good men desire pleasures, and therefore wealth, just because these (roût') depend on wealth. Cp. roûro, $\$ 15$, referring to $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau เ \sigma \tau \iota к \eta$.

I. e. whereas the virtue of courage, the art of medicine or of military command have severally ends of their own, they are perverted to the unnatural end of money-making.




 of the d ${ }^{\text {mopla, implying tie answer to the question: ' whether the }}$ art of money-making is the business of the manager of the household and of the statesman or whether [this is not the case, but] the possession of wealth must be presupposed ? [We reply, the latter.] For as the art of the statesman receives men from nature, even so must nature, that is to say land or sea or some other element, provide them with food.'



 sition with rì $\phi \nu \sigma \tau \nu$, or 2) accusatives after mapaooiva. In the first case $\gamma_{\bar{\eta} \nu}$ and $\theta a \dot{\lambda} \lambda a r t a \nu$ are an explanation of rì $\phi \dot{\eta} \sigma t y$. In the second case $\tau \rho o \phi \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{y}$ is a remote accusative, ' nature gives land and sea for the supply of food.' The latter way of taking the words is
forced. Nature is here said to provide food, but no real distinction can be drawn between the provision of food by nature and the acquisition or appropriation of it by the labour of man, cp. § 3 .

 'to order them,' i. e. the things which nature gives [for the use of the household]; or $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa$ roúr $\omega \nu=$ 'from what is given by nature.' taùтa Sadeiva, 'to set in order,' i. e. to select and arrange the things necessary for the household.

10.2.
'Were this otherwise '(as in the translation) i.e. ' if the duty of the manager of a household consisted in producing and not in using, then he would be equally concerned with money-making and with medicine. And so he is to a certain extent concerned with both, but unlike the physician or the maker of money only to a certain extent, whereas they pursue their vocations without limit.'

10. 3 .

About health as well as about wealth.

roito refers to some general idea, such as 'the means of life,' to be gathered from rà $\chi \rho^{\dot{\eta}} \mu a \tau a$ in the preceding sentence.

10. 3.
 or that from which the offspring parts, i.e. milk, white of egg, etc.:

 c. 8. § 10 .
 ऽ ${ }^{\circ} \omega \nu$.

Fruits and animals are the gifts of nature and intended for the subsistence of man (cp. c. 8): hence ( $\delta$ ofo), with some equivocation, the trade in them is said to be natural.

10. 5 .
 ḑ̧̧ia yoùv ci tókov tekoùaa rotoûton tókov.
vol. II.

Cp. also Shakspere's Merchant of Venice, Act i, Scene 3,-'A breed of barren metal.'

It has been customary, since Bentham wrote, to denounce Usury Laws on the ground I) that they are ineffectual, or worse, 2) that they are unjust both to lender and borrower, because they interfere with the natural rate of interest. But in primitive states of society, as in India at the present day, they may have been more needed and more easy to enforce. In a simple agricultural population where the want of capital is greatly felt, and land is the only security, the usurer becomes a tyrant; hence the detestation of usury. The other and better side of usury, that is to say, the advantage of transferring money at the market rate from those who cannot use it to those who can, was not understood by Aristotle any more than the advantage of exchanging commodities. Cp. Plat. Rep. viii. 555 E ; Laws v. 742.

$\mathbf{I}^{*}$ ) 'To speculate about such matters is a liberal pursuit; the practice of them is servile.' In modern language 'a gentleman may study political economy, but he must not keep a shop.' Cp.









Or again 2) 'Speculation is free; but in practice we are limited by circumstances;' i.e. speculation on such matters may go to any extent or take any direction, but in practice we must restrict ourselves to the necessities of the case, e.g. the nature of the soil, climate, neighbourhood, etc. § 5 infra may be quoted in

 connects with ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho 0 \nu$ which follows: ' experience of live-stock is one of the useful parts of money-making.'
SYNOPSIS OF THE VARIOUS DIVISIONS OF $\kappa \tau \eta \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$, in c. 11 . §§ 1-4.

11. 3. vavкえлрia, фoprøyia.
vavкえ̀рia='commerce by sea,' форттyia='commerce by land.' The word $\boldsymbol{\text { auk } \lambda \eta p i a}$ may also be taken in the narrower sense of 'owning of ships'; and фootpria in the sense of 'carrying whether by sea or land.' But this explanation of the words does not afford so natural a division.



It is not certain whether in this sentence Aristotle is speaking of trades in general without reference to the three previous divisions, or, of the divisions themselves, commerce by sea being the more profitable, commerce by land the more secure mode of trading. The opposition of $\tau \grave{a} \mu \hat{\mu} \nu$. . $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ favours the more general application of the words.



In these words Aristotle is illustrating 'the third or mixed kind of chrematistic,' which is concerned not only with fruits of the earth and animals, but with other products dug out of the earth and manufactured by man.
gion, 'mining again is not a simple art, but already-or, not to speak of other species-contains in itself many subdivisions.'





The connexion is with the word kationov in § 5. Aristotle, although he declines to go into the particulars of these arts, gives some general characteristics of them.

In the sentence which follows, the clause inei ${ }^{\circ}$ ' $\operatorname{tariv}$ skips the
 vious subject. In another author we might suspect a gloss. But there are many such dislocations in Aristotle's Politics; e.g. iii. 4. §§ if-13. For the meaning cp . Rhet. i. 4. 1359b. 3 I ,


11. 7.
 slight emphasis, and sometimes with a word interposed, e.g. кai $\pi \lambda \propto u ́ \tau \varphi \delta^{\delta} \dot{\eta}$, Nic. Eth. iv. 1. § 6.

11. 8.

Thales is referred to in the Nic. Eth. vi. 7. § 5 and by Plato in the Theaetetus ( p .174 A ) as a type of the unpractical philosopher. ' But even he could have made a fortune, if he had pleased.'

11. 8.

Cp.§ı2. The device attributed to Thales is only an application of the general principle of creating a monopoly.

11. rr .
I. e. he bought up all the iron when it was very cheap, and having a monopoly sold it rather, but not very, dear.

## дорана Өá $\lambda \epsilon \omega$.

11. 12. 

ö papa, which is the reading of all the MSS., is used in the metaphorical sense of 'idea' here required, only in Pseudo-Demosthenes, 1460. 26, perhaps a sufficient authority for the meaning of a word.

* єü $\rho \eta \mu a$ (Camerarius) : $\theta \epsilon \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \mu a$ (Coraes) : $\delta \rho \hat{\mu} \mu a$ (Prof. Campbell) may be suggested. Cp. Plat. Theaet. 150 A.


12. I .

The apodosis is lost ; the suppressed thought that 'all three parts are concerned with man' is resumed in the next chapter.

12. 1.






 implied. All other differences, such as titles of honour, are temporary and official only,' The construction of $\zeta \eta r$ rî may be similarly explained. Or both may be taken impersonally.
"A $\mu a \sigma t s$, who made his foot-pan into a god, as he had himself been made into a king, cp. Herod, ii. 172. The connexion is as follows: 'Among equals, where one rules and another is ruled, we make an artificial distinction of names and titles, but this is not the case in the relation of husband and wife, because the distinction between them exists already and is permanent.'

Resuming the words in § I yovauós $\mu e ̀ \nu ~ m o \lambda \iota \tau i k \omega \hat{\omega}$, and adding the distinction that the relation between husband and wife, unlike that between ruler and subject in a $\pi$ òstreia, is permanent ( $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon)$. This permanence of relation between husband and wife makes it rather an 'aristocratical' than a 'constitutional' rule, and in Nic. Eth. viii. $10 . \S_{5}$ and Eud. Eth. vii. $9 . \S_{4}$ it is so described.

Supply dं $\rho \in \tau \dot{\eta} \tau \tau s$ before $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{u} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$-assisted by oviò $\epsilon \mu_{i a}$ in the
 $\tau \in \chi \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$. The words $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ тooò $\bar{\tau} \omega \nu$ are used inaccurately 'of such halits,' meaning the habits which have virtues like these.


'Both require virtue, and of these virtues there will be different kinds since the natural subject differs [from the natural ruler]'; or, with Bernays, 'corresponding to the difference in the subject classes,' cp. infra clause 7. But why only in the subject?-a difficulty which seems to have been felt by those copyists or editors who, supported by Moerbeke, insert à $\rho \chi_{0} \dot{\boldsymbol{r}} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ каі before à $\rho \chi^{\prime} \mu \dot{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$. Better: ' There will be differences of virtue in the ruling and subject classes, similar to those which [we have already noted to exist] in the natural subject.'


1) '*And this is immediately suggested by the soul': or 2) 'And this, without looking further, is the leading or guiding principle in the soul.' There is a rule of superior and inferior, not only in states, but in the soul itself.

The verb iфi $\quad$ miva in this passage is taken passively by Bonitz,
'and this distinction was indicated in the soul.' Cp . Theophrastus,
 But in most other examples of its use the word must be, or is better, construed actively, and it is safer to take it so in this passage. Cp. supra c. 5. §§ 2-6.




 $\dot{\eta} \theta$ tixàs àp $\varepsilon$ ás.

By inserting è $\pi \epsilon i$ before $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon$, altering tà $\pi \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ä $\rho \chi$ оугa into
 lower down, Bernays has ingeniously fused the whole train of thought with its many involutions, into a single consistent sentence. But in such a complex passage, an anacoluthon seems more probable, and Bernays' alterations are considerable and unsupported by MS. authority. Cp. Nic. Eth. iii. 5. § 17 , for a similar passage, which has also been arranged so as to form a continuous sentence ; also c. $8 . \S 3$; c. $12 . \S 1$; iii. $9 . \S 6$, and note. The

 aìர̀ $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \sigma \sigma \tilde{v} \eta$ к.т...
'Moral virtue is to be attributed to all these classes and [as they differ in character so] their virtues differ.'

## 

13. 10. 

In the Meno of Plato (p. 73), Socrates argues for the necessity of some general definition of virtue against Gorgias, who, being unable to apprehend such a general idea, confuses the whole of virtue with its parts. Either from an imperfect recollection of the passage or perhaps also from the party spirit which made him or his school professional adversaries of Plato (see note on ii. 4. § 2), Aristotle takes a view of his meaning which, when compared with the context, is seen to be untenable. For the Platonic Socrates is maintaining what Aristotle is elsewhere quite ready to
allow,-that there must be a common idea of virtue; this Gorgias the Sophist in the infancy of philosophy is unable to understand, and in reply can only enumerate separate virtues. The tendency in the Aristotelian writings to refer to Plato, the mention of Gorgias, and the opposition between the general idea of virtue and the particular virtues sufficiently prove that the passage in the Meno is intended.

Aristotle is contrasting the lot of the slave and of the artisan. The slave is in one respect better off than the artisan because he is directed by a master, whereas the artisan has no intelligence but his own by which to guide his life. He too is a slave without the advantages of slavery. Thus Socialist writers, like Lassalle and others, in recent times have contrasted unfavourably the lot of the modern operative with that of the mediæval serf. We may note in modern times the civilizing influence of domestic service on the homes and manners of the poor. Many a household servant in England has received an impress from a master or mistress, and in Aristotle's language, 'has derived a virtue from

 Aristotle contrasts the duties of the artisan, which are rendered to the community, with the duties of the slave, which are rendered to the individual.

These strange words may be translated literally: 'But not in so far as he possesses an art of the master such as would direct the slave in his particular employment;' i. e. it is not as the teacher of a craft but as a master that he imparts virtue to his slave.

The slave is relative to the master. His virtues are all received from him, and cannot be imparted by any chance instructor. Nor does the master instruct him in any art. But the artisan stands in no relation to another; he has a separate art ( $\$ 13$ ) which he exercises independently. He is without any ennobling influence external to himself, whereas the slave is inspired by his master.

 $\dot{\eta}$ roìs $\pi$ aiòas.

These words may mean: either r)* 'who do not allow us to converse with slaves,' or 2) 'who do not allow to slaves the gift of reason.' In either case there is a reference to Plato, Laws, vi. 777, 778.





This is one of the many promises in the Politics which are unfulfilled. Cp. iv. 15. §3, a passage which is sometimes quoted in this comnexion. But the reference is only to the office of


## BOOK II.

 ßочдоцє́vшע.
 closely with $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ' ' and that our object in seeking for a new state is not at all to make a display of ingenuity; but to supply defects in states which are known to us, both in those which are actually existing and also in theoretical states like that of Plato.' $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta o k \hat{\eta}$ and $\delta о к \bar{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ are dependent on $\overline{i \nu a .}$

1. I. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi\llcorner\beta a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a L \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\theta} \theta \circ \delta \partial \nu$.
' To undertake' or 'take upon oneself,' a curious and idiomatic use of the word, found also in Plato and Thucydides. See Bonitz (Liddell and Scott), s.v.
 $\pi$ о́л $\epsilon \omega$.
cis $\delta \tau \hat{\eta} s$ is required by the sense and is supported by the old Latin Translation. All the Greek MSS. however read loór $\overline{\text { L }}$.
 § II; c. 7.§ I), or 'in the state which is described by Plato.'

The comments of Aristotle on Plato's Republic and Laws, contained in this and the following chapters, can hardly be dealt with properly in single notes. They are full of inaccuracies and inconsistencies. But the nature of these comments, which throw great light on the character of ancient criticism in general, will be best appreciated when they are brought together and compared with one another in a comprehensive manner. I have therefore reserved much of what has to be said about them for an essay 'On the

Criticisms of Plato in Aristotle.' Both in the essay and in the notes I have been much indebted to Susemihl.



$\delta i{ }_{i} \hat{\eta}^{\prime}$ airiav, sc. unity.

- The argument of Socrates does not show that these enactments are to be approved for the reason which he gives [viz. as tending to unity]; and, regarded as a means to the end which he attributes to the state, unless some new explanation of them is offered, they are impossible.' Bernays places a comma after $\pi \rho o$ s, which he
 $\delta \stackrel{\xi}{\epsilon} \tau \iota$ (Herod. iii. 74). The construction is thus made simpler; but the adverbial use of $\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ}$ hardly ever occurs in Aristotle. - Moreover, the end, viz. unity, which he attributes to the state upon his own showing is impossible.'

The first of these propositions, rò $\mu i a \nu$ ötı $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \epsilon i \nu a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ is discussed in the remainder of this chapter,-the second at the commencement of chapter 3.
$\dot{\omega} \mu^{\mu} \nu$ etpprat $\nu \bar{v} \nu$, 'as it is described in his book,' or 'as it is actually described.' Cp. infra c. 5. § 23, $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ yє oủס̀̀̀ $\delta \iota \omega \dot{\rho} \iota \sigma \tau a \iota$.
$\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \in \hat{\imath} \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} v$. Sc. $\tau \dot{o} \tau \epsilon \lambda o s$, or generally 'what Plato means by unity.'

For the use of $\delta i \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ in the sense of '*to interpret,' cp. Herod.

 the more common sense of 'to distinguish,' i.e. how we are to distinguish or define unity and plurality (cp. iii. 13. § 6: єi $\delta \dot{\eta}$ тò $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ aj $\ell \theta$ -


The equality among citizens which is elsewhere (iii. 16. $\AA_{2}$; iv. 11. §8; vii. $8 . \S 4$ ) said to be the true and natural principle, is not inconsistent with a difference of character and of pursuits.



The clause öтау $\mu \grave{\eta}$ к.т. $\lambda$. may be a description either 1$)^{*}$ of the

EOvos, 'when the inhabitants of a country are not yet distributed in viliages'; or 2) of the $\pi$ ó 1 s, ' when they are no longer dispersed in villages.' According to r), the Arcadians are placed below, according to 2 ), above the ordinary condition of village communities.

1) Taking the first rendering, we may compare Plato's Sympo-
 'Apkádes ínò $\Lambda a k e \delta a t \mu o \nu l(\omega \nu$. But Arcadia was also the most backward state in Hellas, the type of primitive simplicity. Hence, without referring to the dispersion of the Mantineans by the Lacedaemonians (Xen. Hell. v. 2. 6) it is possible that Aristotle is speaking, not of their actual, but of their primitive and traditional state. 2) On the other hand he may be using the Arcadians as an
 condition, when centralized in Megalopolis by Epaminondas, with the ruder life of earlier times. They would certainly have furnished the latest illustration of a ovvoikıoss. We may paraphrase ' When they are not scattered in villages, but, like the Arcadians, have a central city.'

It may be argued on the other side that Aristotle would not have used the Arcadians who were the most backward of Hellenes, as the type of a civilized, but of a semi-barbarous, nation.

To Aristotle the $\bar{\epsilon} \theta_{\nu o s}$ is a lower stage than the môts. He had no idea of a nation in the higher sense; nor did he see how ill adapted the Greek mónts was to the larger order of the world, which was springing up around him, or how completely it had outlived its objects.

## 

The state like the nation is not a mere aggregate, but has an organic unity of higher and lower elements.
 єй $\quad$ тає тро́тероу.

Euclid in his 6th Book uses àvrınemovévac to express the relation of reciprocal proportion. Probably the ethical significance of the term among the Pythagoreans was derived from its mathematical
use. Cf. Nic. Eth. v. 5. § i, and Alex. Aphrod. on Met. i. 5, $\tau \bar{\eta} s$
 (Scholia in Arist. Ed. Berol. 539 b. 12.)
 the Ethics in the Politics, as he quotes the Politics in the Rhetoric (i. 8, I366a. 21). But probably the references have been interpolated.



These words are a reflection on the proposed arrangement, not unlike the satirical remarks of Socrates in the Memorabilia (i. 2.§9), and in the Republic ii. 374. But the connexion is imperfectly drawn out:-Aristotle, while making this reflection upon the inconvenience of the practice, admits in the next sentence that the alternation of rulers and subjects is in some cases the only arrangement possible. To Plato it seemed essential that the division between rulers and ruled should be permanent, like the division of labour in the arts, between one craftsman and another. Aristotle says, 'yes, if possible,' but this permanence is not always attainable, for where there is equality and freedom among the citizens, they must rule in turn (vii. c. $9 ; \mathrm{cp}$. also infra, c. $11 . \S$ I3).

$$
\text { दُ̀ oís } \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \nu \nu a r o ̀ \nu . . \hat{\epsilon} \xi \text { ảp } \rho \hat{\eta} s . \quad \text { 2. } 6 .
$$

'However desirable it may be that the same should rule, yet, if they cannot, but justice requires that all, being by nature equal, should share in the government, then they must rule by turns.'
 apxท̂s.
is roíous, sc. among those who are naturally equal and have a right to share in the government.
$\mu \mu \overline{i \sigma} \theta a t$, 'to imitate,' i.e. to come as near as we can to 'this principle of succession,' dependent on $\beta \in \lambda \tau \iota \sigma$.
 yielded to them;' or, without supplying eikovav, nearly the same meaning may be obtained. Cp. Book iii. 6. § 9, a passage which



#### Abstract

  




1) The equalisation of rulers and ruled is attained in two ways: a) by succession; b) by the variety of offices which the same person may hold,-that is to say, instead of going out of office, he may pass from one office to another, from higher to lower and conversely; the alderman may become a common councillor or the common councillor an alderman. Or, 2) the words are a pass-
 that the State consists of dissimilars. 'There is a further variety; not only do they come into and go out of office, as if they were no longer the same persons, but they have different offices.'



- When each man can speak of his own wife, his own son, or his own property, the clear conviction which he entertains may tend to produce unity, but this is not the meaning of those who would have all things in common ; they mean "all," not "each." '




The absolute unity of 'all' in the sense of 'each' is not what Plato intended, and is in fact impracticable. The unity of all in the abstract, i.e. of the whole state, excluding individuals, does not tend to harmony. Sucha unity is really inconceivable; a state without individuals is a $\mu$ áravo cibos. (Nic. Eth. i. 6. § Io.) The term 'all,' like the term 'one,' is ambiguous, and has a different meaning when applied to the state and to the individuals of whom the state is composed.
máves kal á $\mu \phi$ órepa. The fallacy is that these words may mean 'all' or 'both,' either in a collective or individual sense.
$\pi є \rho \iota r \frac{1}{\text { каi äprıa. The fallacy consists in assuming that odd and }}$ even are the same because two odd numbers when added together
are even: e.g. the odd numbers, $5+7=12$, which is an even number; or that five is both odd and even, because it is composed of three which is an odd and two which is an even number. See Arist. Sophist. Elench. c. 4. 162 a. 33. Cp. infra c. 5. § 27 , où

kai èy roîs $\lambda$ óyous к.т. $\lambda$. 'For the word $\pi$ ávees is fallacious, and indeed the use of this and other analogous terms is a source of contentious syllogisms in arguments.' kai, 'not only in this instance, but in arguments generally.'
The fallacy referred to is that of $\sigma \dot{v} \theta_{\epsilon \sigma t s}$ and $\delta a i p e \sigma t s$. cp . Soph. Elench. c. 20. 177 a. 33 ff.
 3. 4.

Either, 'only so far as comes in the way of,' or, 'is the business of each,' or, with a slight difference of meaning, ' only so far as it



кaì ờrou où $\mathbf{~ \omega ̀ ~ e ́ x ~ e ́ a ́ c t o v . ~}$
3. 5.
'Every man will have a thousand sons, and these do not properly belong to him individually, but equally to all.'



 principle [of common parentage], each one says of the citizen who fares ill or well, "he is mine," whatever fraction he himself may be of the whole number ; I mean that (oiov) he will say, "he is mine," or, "his," and this will be his way of speaking about each of Plato's thousand citizens.' The words have a reference to Plat. Rep. v. 463

 thousand of all the rest: he gives a thousandth part of his affection to each and all of the thousand persons who are the objects of it. Or, to put the matter in another way: we may suppose the citizens to be conversing with each other: they say, ' $m y$ son is doing well,' or, 'is not doing well,' being each of them a thousandth part
of the whole, and those of whom they speak being likewise each of them a thousandth part.

A different view of this passage has been taken in the Text.
 is supposed to appropriate the youth who is doing well, and to dis-

 be remembered that, according to Aristotle, the true children are liable to be discovered by their likeness to their parents.
$\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \chi^{2 \lambda} i \omega \nu$, as if Plato had made his state to consist of a thousand citizens; cp. infra c. $6 . \S 5$. This is only an inference from Rep. iv. 423 A , in which Plato says that the ideal state, even if consisting of no more than a thousand soldiers, would be invincible.

' In Plato's state they are all " mine" : in ordinary states there are many sorts of relationship, and the same person may be a father or a brother or a cousin of some one or other ; there are likewise remoter degrees of affinity, and remoter still the tie of fellow wardsman or fellow tribesman. Even a distant cousinship is preferable to that shadow of a relationship which supersedes them all.'

The variety of human relations as ordinarily conceived is contrasted with the monotony of Plato's society in which the state and the family are identified.

 tice better? for it is better to have a cousin of your own than to have a son after Plato's fashion.'

 тàs одоо́т刀таs.
 wopilcra, who is speaking, however, not of Upper, but of Lower Libya.


' Crimes of violence are worse in the republic of Plato because they are attended with impiety, and they are more likely to be committed because natural relationships are undiscoverable.' Aristotle here mixes up Plato's point of view and his own. He does not remark that Plato having abolished family relations is not really chargeable with the occurrence of offences which arise out of them. Perhaps he would have retorted that the natural relationship could not be thus abolished.
 $\lambda \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \tau, \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu i a \nu$.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ is opposed to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu$, though not parallel with it $=$ 'but in the other case,' as if $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \nu$ without $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \zeta \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ had preceded. Or a comma may be placed after $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \nu$, and $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \zeta \zeta_{0} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ may be separated from it. 'And when offences take place, in the one case men having knowledge of them, the customary expiations may be made, in the other case they cannot.'


 каì тò èpâr $\mu o ́ v o \nu$.

The instance quoted, narpi mpós vióv, shews that the reference is to Rep. iii. 403, but Aristotle has been hasty or forgetful in his citation. Plato does not say that he will allow the practice of lovers to prevail between father and son, or brother and brother, but that the endearments of lovers shall be only such as might be practised without offence between members of the same family. ro $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \hat{a} \nu$ evidently in the lover's sense of the word.

## 

4. 4. 

' If the legislator desire to keep the inferior classes in a state of weakness, and communism is a source, not of strength, but of weakness, then it is better adapted to them than to the guardians that is, according to Aristotle's view of communism, not Plato's. Cp. vii. $9 . \S 8 ; c .10 . \S 13$ where he argues that the legislator should VOL. II.
destroy as far as possible any tie of race among the slave population. And the traditional policy of slave-holding countries has been to deprive the slave of education and of family rights.
4.4. Tooứrous.


Supply toivauriop (from the preceding) miss airias $\delta i n \not \eta$, viz. unity.









Socrates wishes to have the city entirely one: now such a unity is either attained or not attained: if attained like that of the lovers in the Symposium (called here éporikoi $\lambda$ óyou), p. 192, it would be suicidal. But it is not attained, for he only succeeds in creating a very loose tie between his citizens.
$\dot{\omega}_{\delta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\rho} \omega \tau \tau \nu$, a rare construction after $\lambda \epsilon ́ y \in \omega$. Cp. Plat. Meno

${ }_{\text {j̀ }}$ Tòv $\begin{gathered}\text { êva. ' If they are to be absorbed in one another, both }\end{gathered}$ individualities cannot subsist, though one may.'



 The latter word has two constructions, i) with rivà for subject, and oiкetópqra as object ; 2) with $\pi a r$ tepa, vióv for subjects, and the

4. 9 . Tó te î̀،ov каi тò àyampóv.
deaanๆtov, 'that which is to be cherished or valued,' like dyannròs




 pare the English 'dear.' Or, more simply, ajamŋròv may also be taken as answering to $\phi$ theiv: ' men love an object which is naturally to be loved.'
4. 10 .

Aristotle is referring to the case of the citizens who pass from one rank to another. Those who are raised to the condition of the guardians and those who are degraded from it have both lost the natural relationships of brothers and sisters, parents and children. But the natural relations still exist although the names of them have disappeared; and therefore they are now less likely to be respected. Here again Aristotle is confusing his own point of view with that of Plato.
mapà roîs $\phi u ̛ \lambda a \xi \iota y$ must be explained as a confusion of rest and motion, lit. 'those who [having been transferred from the other citizens] are now among the guardians.' The words eis roùs ä́ $\lambda \lambda$ ous $\pi$ oגitas have been explained as a pleonasm $=$ 'in relation to the
 them brothers.' But the use of eis in a different sense in two successive lines is objectionable. It is possible that the words eis rous ${ }_{a} \lambda \lambda$ dous moditas are an error of the copyist, who may have repeated the words of the previous line. The omission of eis (which is wanting in Moerbeke and in two good MSS., Ms. P1, but inserted as a correction in one of them, and found in all the rest) is the best way of amending the passage.

кà̀ $\dot{a}$ éкéiva $\chi \omega p i s$,
5. 2.

SC. тà $\pi \in p i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu a ~ к a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \gamma v v a i ̂ k a s . ~$

5. 2.

These words are a statement of the general question which is afterwards subdivided into three cases, though the carelessness of the language might at first sight lead to the inference that Aristotle is putting the third case only. Hence Bernays has been led, un-
necessarily, to alter the reading. The change made by him of $\tau$ into $\gamma^{z}$ and of кaì into kaтà impairs the parallelism of кт $\eta \boldsymbol{\sigma \epsilon t s}$ and
 cases are: 1) the soil divided, produce common: 2) soil common, produce divided: 3) soil and produce alike common.

*Om as in i. 2. § 6 , a vague expression for BápBapoc and generally opposed to monecs or "Eג入ques: also any loosely organised people, ii. 2. § 3; applied to the more general divisions of Hellas, vii. 7. §4. The cases of Sparta, infra § 7 , and of Tarentum, vi. $5 . \S$ Io, are not in point, even if their practice could be regarded as communism.

If the land were cultivated by serfs there would be no disputes among the cultivators, for having no property, they would have nothing to quarrel about.

Either* 'fellow-travellers' or 'fellow-settlers in a foreign city.' Whether the кoเvшvia were formed for the purposes of business or only of companionship is not determined. With the words $\sigma \chi^{\epsilon 80} 0 \nu$ ràp к.т. $\lambda$. supply $\pi \rho о \sigma к \rho o v o v a$.



 тоเท่ซovสเข.

Either I), 'for the division of labour will give rise to no complaints,' i. e. will prevent complaints, ímúcíctat being taken as the nominative to ou monjoourtv: or 2) regarding (as the words $\pi \rho o{ }^{2}$ $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o u s$ and the following clause $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu$ seem to indicate) ai $\mu \dot{\mu} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \mu \mu \bar{i} \lambda c a t$ as nom. absolute, or the construction of the sentence as changing, we may translate, 'Every one having a distinct occupation, men will not complain of one another.'

## 

'But where there is virtue there will be in practice community of goods among friends.'

ітоүсүрани́́vov. 5. 6.
'Sketched out or faintly indicated.' For ímorpá申ıı, cp. De Gen.





$\chi_{\dot{\omega} \rho a}$ as opposed to $\pi \dot{o}^{\prime} \iota s:-$ ' When on a journey in the country, they take the produce in the fields.' The apodosis (i.e. some such words as $\chi \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \tau a t$ є'申oठious) is omitted. Cp. Xen. Respub. Lac. 6,













 gevous.

> 5. 8.
> ' Of such an unselfish character as to place their property at the service of others.'

> 5. 9.
> Cp. Nic. Eth. ix. 8 ; Rhet. i. 11. § 26 ; Plato's Laws, v. 731 E.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { тิ้̂ тooớт } \omega \text {. } \\
& \text { 5. } 9 .
\end{aligned}
$$

'Not only money, but anything towards which there can be an excess of love.' Cp, note on i. 1. § 2 .

in the relation of the sexes, and is very far from allowing his guardians to indulge in sensuality.







The flow and regularity of this sentence remind us of the opening of Book vii, noticed by Bernays. Cp. for a similar regularity supra c. 1.

Mankind quickly become enamoured of socialistic theories, especially when they are interspersed with attacks on existing institutions. Cp. Plat. Rep. v. 464, 465; iv. 425.

A similar unwillingness to ascribe to institutions what is due to human nature may be remarked elsewhere: e.g. c. 7. § 8, ët $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ є ${ }^{\prime \prime}$






To what Aristotle may be alluding is not very clear. He may have remarked that there were more quarrels among Pythagorean sects, as well as among friends who had become fellow-travellers, than among other men. A similar reflection has often been made on the religious communities of later times. Or he may be referring to disputes arising in 'guilds' or 'clubs,' or partnerships in business. סadєpoнévous is to be repeated with кєктдиє́vous. The meaning is that the owners of common property are comparatively few, and that therefore their quarrels, though relatively more frequent, do not so often come under our notice.
 Miau đoleî̀.

Aristotle takes up a position half way between the communism
of Plato and the existing practice of states. He would have men lend or give to their neighbours more than they do, but he would not enforce by law a community of goods; he would unite them by education, but would not destroy family life.



This remark more truly applies to Crete, where the common tables were provided at the public expense (c. 10.§7), than to Sparta, where he who could not afford to contribute to his mess lost the rights of citizenship (c. 9. §§ $30-32$ ). Still in both there was a common mode of life; and an element of communism was introduced by the legislator. Compare also the remarkable description of the effect of Lacedaemonian training (iv. 9. §§ 6-9) in producing the same simple habits of life both among rich and poor ; and Xen. De Rep. Laced. 6. §§ r, 3, 4.
 хрш̄итаи $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma к о \nu \tau \epsilon ร$.
ò $\begin{gathered}\text { cunjkrat, lit. ' they have not been put together,' implying that }\end{gathered}$ no comparison has been made of them, nor inference drawn from them. In other cases the inference has been drawn, but not applied to a practical use. As in Pol. vii. 10. § 7, and Metaph. xi.




 inventions of arts and laws to have been made many times over. Compare Plat. Laws iii. 677 A foll.

[^2]
aícà refers to some general subject gathered from tì̀ roavimp $\pi \pi_{i-} \lambda_{-}$ reiav. The neuter is supported by $\tau \dot{a} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\delta}$, which follow.

1)* 'Which already,' i.e. as a matter of fact, without having recourse to Plato's ideal, the Lacedaemonians are actually carrying out; or 2 ), 'which at this very time the Lacedaemonians are trying to carry out [as though they had fallen into desuetude]' (Schneider). For the use of $\nu \hat{\nu}$ compare ii. 8. 6.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \chi$ epoùra according to 1 ), (as often in Plato. See Ast's Lexicon) is used pleonastically $=$ 'do carry out.' So $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \chi \epsilon \rho \eta-$


 rexuítas kaì roòs ä̀入ovs пo入itras.
I)* The emphasis is on roìs $\mu \grave{\iota} \nu$ and rò̀s $\delta e ́$. 'He makes one class to consist of the guardians, who are a sort of garrison, and he makes husbandmen, [or, 'to these he opposes the husbandmen'] and the artisans and the rest of the citizens.' 2) Bernays translates, For he makes the guardians a sort of garrison and the husbandmen and the artisans and the others, citizens [held in check by the garrison], naking a pause at roùs äd $\lambda$ ous. Cp. Rep. iv. 419. But the opposition between $\phi$ poupois and moגiras is harsh. For the $\phi \rho o u p o i$ or $\phi$ inakes had a special right to the name citizens, whereas the husbandmen, as is implied in $\$ \xi_{2}^{2}, 28$, are hardly to be reckoned in the State at all. Cp. c. 6. $\$ \S 2,3$. Yet it may be argued on the other hand, that Aristotle has only an imperfect recollection of Plato; that he 'snatches' at the word фpoupoivras, and puts into the mouth of Socrates an objection which really proceeds from Adeimantus, though afterwards paradoxically admitted by Socrates himself. Nor is it possible to set any limits to the misinterpretations of Plato passing under the name of Aristotle. The first way of taking the passage is confirmed by c. $8 . \S 2$ infra:




Here, again, the antecedent to raîra is to be gathered generally from the context, $=$ 'whether these communistic institutions are equally necessary for the inferior and for the superior classes,' \&c. Cp. note on i. 2. § 2.
ขй $\gamma \epsilon$.
5. 23.
'As far, at least, as his book shows.' Cp. supra c. 2. § i.

5. 23 .
 matters connected with these, what is to be their government, what their education, what their laws, nothing has been determined.' A repetition of § 18 . The emendation $\dot{a} p \chi \chi^{\prime \mu} \hat{e}^{\prime} \omega \nu$ (Congreve) is unnecessary and out of place; for Aristotle has already disposed of the subject class in $\$ 22$, and at $\$ 24$ he returns to speak of the members of the state generally.
 5. 24.

Sc. tis oixovouñet; or more generally, 'What then'? Two cases are supposed: i) what if wives are common and possessions private; and 2) what if possessions and wives are both common.



The language is not exact; пo८єī $\theta a \iota \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi a \rho a \beta o \lambda \eta \nu=$ to argue from the comparison of the animals. ois: sc. rois $\theta$ npiots.
' The rulers must always be the same; for they cannot change 5.26. the metal or quality which is infused into their souls by nature.' But then Plato supposes the whole ruling class to be guardians, divided only as young and old into warriors and counsellors (as in the state described in vii. 9.85 ) ; and he provides for exceptional merit by the transfer from one class to another. The actual governing class are men advanced in years (Rep. vii. 536 ff .), and Aristotle himself acknowledges (vii. 14. §5) that the division of functions between young and old is natural, and that the young wait their turn and do not rebel against such an arrangement.



This passage, like many others in the Politics, involves a miscon-
ception of Plato's meaning. The literalism of Aristotle prevents him from seeing that Plato does not really take away the happiness of individuals in affirming that the happiness of the state must be considered first. He takes it away that he may afterwards restore a larger measure of it. He is only insisting that the doctrine of the priority of the whole to the part, which Aristotle holds in common with him (cp. Pol. i. 2. § ${ }_{3}$ ), should be carried out in practice. Compare also Rep. iv. 420 B, C, and Politics vii. 9. § 7, (rò $\mu \mathrm{i} v$

 Aristotle appears to coincide with Plato in the doctrine which he here repudiates.

Aristotle means to say that the even number may exist in the whole though not always in the parts (cp. note on c. $3 . \S 3$ supra); but happiness must always exist in both.
 mòıteias eipqeev, §4) as if he were the chief speaker in the Laws, though he is not introduced at all. The Laws are quoted as Plato's in c. 7. § 4.

The list which follows is a very inadequate summary of the subjects contained in the Republic. Probably the metaphysical and imaginative portions of the work appeared to Aristote $\pi$ orn rıai нетафорai (Met. c. 9. 99 I a. 22) and alien from politics.


'And a third class taken from the warriors,' ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \circ \pi=\lambda є \mu 0 \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu)$.


Yet Plato has expressly foretold, emphasizing his words by the declaration of an oracle, ' that when a man of brass or iron guards the State it will then be destroyed' (Rep. iii. 415, and supra c. 5 . § 26), by which he clearly means that the third and fourth classes
are to be excluded from office. Nor would he have thought for a moment of a shoemaker, or agricultural labourer, exercising political rights. On the other hand, it is true to say that Plato has nowhere defined the position of the lower classes: he has thus evaded the question of slavery to which Aristotle was keenly alive. He acknowledges the difficulty of this question in the Laws v. 776 ff .

тois $\tilde{\xi} \xi \omega \theta \in \nu \quad$ dóyous.
6. 3.
I.e. with digressions, such as the attack upon the poets (Books ii and iii), the theory of knowledge ( v , vi, vii), the doctrine of immortality ( x ). To Aristotle these appear irrelevant, though naturally entering into Plato's conception of the state, which includes philosophy and religion as well as politics.



This statement is far from accurate. The truth is that in the Laws of Plato a nearly equal space is given to the constitution and to legislation ; the latter half of the fifth book, the sixth, seventh, eighth, and a portion of the twelfth book being devoted to the constitution ; the ninth, tenth, eleventh and the remainder of the twelfth to legislation.




iteipav mòıreiav, sc. the Republic. The idea of good, the rule of philosophers, the second education in dialectic, the doctrine of another life, are the chief speculative elements, as the community of property, and of women and children, are the chief social or practical elements, of the Republic which vanish in the Laws (Laws v. 739). The spirit of the Republic is more ideal and poetical, of the Laws more ethical and religious. Plato may be said to 'bring round the Laws to the Republic' in the assimilation of male and female education, in the syssitia for women, in the assertion of the priority of the soul to the body and of her fellowship with the gods; in the final revelation of the unity of knowledge to
which he introduces his guardians at the end of the work (Laws xii. 965 ff.).
6. 5. $\quad$ rì $\mu \dot{\mu} \nu \chi^{\iota \lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \nu$.

Cp. note on c. $3 . \S 5$, supra.

This and the noble passage in the Nic. Eth. i. 6. § I (roorávrous


 ö $\sigma \iota \nu \pi \rho o r \iota \mu \hat{\mu} \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{d} \lambda \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon}\left(a \nu^{\circ}\right)$ are a sufficient confutation of the idle calumnies spread abroad in later times respecting the quarrels of Plato and Aristotle, which only reflect the odium philosophicum of their respective schools. Cp. note, i. 13. § 10.

A strange remark: Aristotle himself mentions, apparently without surprise, that according to the ancient tradition the Spartan citizens had once numbered ten thousand, and he has himself testified that the country could support thirty thousand hoplites and fifteen hundred cavalry (c. $9 . \S \S 16,17$ ). Nor were the 5000 or rather 5040 citizens to be maintained in idleness, for each of them had to cultivate his lot.

Even the best state, according to Aristotle, is limited by the number of citizens who can readily act together and by other conditions. These conditions he accuses Plato of having disregarded. Cp. vii, 4. § 2, and 4. § 1 r.

Plato would not have admitted the impracticability of his ideal state. It might be hard to realise, but was not impossible, Rep. v. 471-474. In the Laws he resigns his ideal, though with reluctance, and acknowledging the conditions of actual life, he allows that there must be a second-best and even a third-best sample of states; Laws v. 739.



[sc. $\left.\dot{\eta} \pi \pi_{0} \lambda t \mathrm{~s}\right]$. The two passages mutually confirm each other and the comparison of them shows that neither here, with Muretus, nor in vii. $6 . \S 7$, with Bekker (2nd edition), do we need to substitute
 International Relations. The addition of $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu 0 \nu \omega \tau u \kappa \grave{\partial} \nu$ or $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \Delta \nu \dot{\omega}-$ repov in some MSS. after поגıт九кòv appears to be a gloss, probably suggested by vii. 2. § 16 .
The same criticism-that a state must have a foreign as well as a domestic policy, is made once more on Phaleas in c. 7.§ 14. Nations and cities can no more get rid of other nations and cities than man (except by going into the wilderness) can tear himself from the society of his fellows. Cp. Mazzini's forcible saying, ' Non-interference is political suicide.'
 $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$. a àme $\lambda \theta_{0} \hat{\sigma} \sigma \omega$.
' But if a person does not accept the life of action either for individuals or for states, still the country must be protected against her enemies.' In modern language, 'however much we may dislike war and the use of arms, there are cases in which the resistance to an enemy becomes a duty.'
$\dot{a} \pi \in \lambda \theta o v a \sigma \nu v$, i.e. 'lest they renew the attempt.'
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma a \phi \hat{\omega}_{\rho} \mu \hat{\omega} \lambda \lambda \sigma$.
Literally, 'Would it not be better to define the amount of property differently by defining it more clearly?'

e. 8.

It is doubtful whether these words are to be taken I) as an illustration of the want of clearness in Plato's definition, or 2) as a correction of it ; e.g. I)' this is only saying, "enough to enable a man to live well."' But this explanation seems to require that the
 'this however is too general' (Bernays), giving a sense to $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ( $=\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{\eta} \delta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{i})$ which is doubtful unless suggested by the context, as in Rep. iii. 410 E, Phaedo 63 D. 2$)^{*}$ ‘ By the confused expression "Enough to live upon with temperance," he means only "enough to live upon well or virtuously ; for this is the more general idea."'

## 6. 9. ï§єts aipetai.

The MSS. give d $\rho \epsilon \tau a i$, corrected by Bekker from a marginal note in a copy of the Aldine edition into aiperai. But the words $\tilde{\varepsilon} \xi \in \epsilon$ aipetai are unmeaning. It is possible that efges may be the true reading and apєrai the gloss or vice versâ. See note on text.

Another inaccurate criticism. For Plato expressly provides that the overplus of population should be sent to colonies (Laws v. 740).

'But this matter ought not to be regulated with the same strictness then and now; i.e. it ought to be regulated with greater strictness in the imaginary state of the Laws than in existing states.
6. II. $\pi$ apásuras.
'For whom there is no place at the banquet of life.'-Malthus.


$\tau \bar{\omega} \nu a ́ \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, 'the sterility of others,' i.e. of others than those who have children, implied in the word $\gamma_{\epsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu,- \text { ' the death of some }}$ of the children and the sterility of some of the married couples.'




IGous and avioovs are here used in slightly different senses, "Fous referring to the numbers of the families, divioous to the size of the lot. 'He thought that the number of the families should be the same, even although the original size of the lot was different.' That is to say he accepted the existing distribution of property among families, however disproportioned, and did not allow it to be afterwards altered.

Of Pheidon the Corinthian nothing is known; he has been identified with Pheidon the tyrant of Argos on the ground that Corinth lay in the Argive dominions (Müller, Dorians i. 7. § I5). But no evidence is adduced of this assertion. The word Kopivelos may have been a slip : (cp. for a similar or worse error, infra c. 11.
$\S \$ 2,15$; v. 12. §§ 12,14 ); but such a slip would be remarkable in a writer who has elsewhere called Pheidon tyrant of Argos, v. 10 . § 6 .

There is no adequate fulfilment of this promise to resume the question hereafter. But $c$. vii. 5 . § $\mathrm{I} ; 10 . \S$ I $; 16 . \S$ I 5 .

$$
\phi_{\eta \sigma i} \text { ràp dêiv к.r.入. }
$$

Aristotle is finding fault with Plato's vagueness :-'He says nothing but that the governors and governed should be made of a different wool.'
 6. 15.

Cp . Laws, v. 744 E , where the proprietor is allowed to acquire ( $\kappa \tau a \sigma \theta a t$ ) four times the value of his original inheritance. If we add in the original inheritance which was not acquired, the limit of property will be fivefold. There is no reason for supposing any mistake in this statement (Susemihl) or in c. 7. § 4.
 тро̀s oixovoнiav.

One of the homesteads is to be in the city, another on the border (v. 745 E ), the first to be the dwelling of the elders, the second of the son of the house (vi. 776 A ). A plan similar to the one which he condemns is adopted by Aristotle in vii. 10 . § i I : cp. note on text, in which the inconsistency of the two passages is pointed out.


6. 16. 

The normal idea of a mòıreia is that it consists of the free citizens who carry arms and are its natural defenders. Cp. iii.





 see also Ib. c. 17. § 4 ; iv. 13. § 7 ; and Nic. Eth. viii. 10. 6.

[^3]6. 17. Here the Spartan is spoken of as a mixed constitution; in iv. c. $9 . \S_{7}$, as a combination of aristocracy and democracy. So uncritical writers of the last century extol the English constitution as comprehending the elements of every other. It was thought by other nations as well as by ourselves to be an ideal which Europe should copy. But so far from being the fulfilment of a perfect design, it was really the growth of accident ; the merit lay not in any wisdom of our ancestors, but in the willingness of the people to conform to circumstances which was so wanting among the Spartans. . . With the criticisms of Aristotle on the Lacedaemonian constitution it is interesting to compare the very similar criticism of Plato in the












This is not really said, though in Laws (iv. 710 ff .) Plato sketches an imaginary tyrant who is to mould the state to virtue.

фépetv =' to vote for,' used here as in Plato and Demosthenes with the accusative of the person.





The general meaning is that the higher the qualification of the elected, the lower may be the qualification of the electors, or, vice versâ, the lower the qualification of the elected, the higher must be the qualification of the electors; they should balance one another.

There remain, however, some difficulties in reconciling the text of the Politics with the statements of Plato.
What Plato says in the Laws (756) may be shortly stated as follows: 'For those who are to be elected out of the ist and and classes, all are compelled to vote and are liable to penalties if they abstain from voting: for those who are to be elected out of the 3 rd class, only the three first classes are compelled to vote and are liable to penalties; for those who are to be elected out of the 4 th class only the two first classes.

The text of the Politics as given by Bekker (which is that of all the MSS.) does not agree with the corresponding passage of Plato and in one place at least is corrupt.

1) The words èk rov̀ $\tau \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \rho \tau o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \rho \tau \omega \nu$ can hardly be right if we are to get any sense out of the passage at all. Either $\tau o \hat{v}$ тetáprov or $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ teráprov must be omitted. Probably we should omit the latter, for rov̂ teєáprov agrees best with $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau o v ~ \tau \mu \mu \dot{\eta}-$
 the text from the preceding $\tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \omega \nu$. Either alternative is simpler than reading reттáp $\omega \nu$ (for $\tau \epsilon \tau a ́ \rho \tau \omega \nu$ ) as in and Ald, edition.
But 2) if we are to make the passage agree with Plato, we should further omit $\tau \rho i \not \tau \omega \nu \dot{\eta}$ before $\tau \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \rho \tau \omega \nu$. Cp. Laws, 756 D , where nothing is said about the third class.

Finally, we must allow that Aristotle may not have remembered or may have misunderstood the words of Plato. Such a supposition cannot be thought far-fetched, when we consider the numerous passages in which he has done unintentional injustice to his master, Pol. i. $13 . \S$ ro ; ii. $4 . \S 2$; ii. $5 . \S 27$; ii. $6 . \S 5$, etc.
 the class were compelled to vote. They are used as they are in Anal. Pr. ii. $\mathrm{I}_{5}, 6_{3}, \mathrm{~b} 26$ for the particular negative proposition, which is called by Aristotle indifferently $\tau \dot{\text { ò }}$ où $\pi a v \tau i$ and rò où $\tau u i^{\prime}$, from which of course we can logically infer nothing as to the particular affirmative.

[^4]©́ $\boldsymbol{x}$ roúrav. Whether the inference be true or false, it is difficult to elicit from the words which have preceded the grounds for maintaining that a polity should not be made up of democracy and monarchy. Strictly speaking they are only a more detailed statement of this proposition, not an argument in support of it.

In the passage which follows (örav $\dot{\epsilon} \pi(\beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta)$, Aristote is looking forward to the discussion of what he calls rodureia, or 'constitutional government,' which like the constitution of the Laws, falls short of the ideal state, but is in advance of most existing forms.
rouairns, ' a state similar to that in the Laws.'

Mixed constitutions are treated of in iv. cc. 7-9, but the promise seems hardly to be fulfilled in that place.




Cp. Mill's Representative Government, chap. ix (Should there be two stages of election?), 'The comparatively small number of persons in whose hands, at last, the election of a member of parliament would reside, could not but afford additional facilities to intrigue.' The double election of representatives is thought to be a safeguard against demurracy; it is really a source of danger and suspicion, and weakens the national interest in politics. It seems often to supersede itself. Thus the election of the President of the United States by Electoral Colleges has passed into a mere form of universal suffrage. The only case in which such elections succeed is where the electors have other important functions (like the American State Legislatures, to which the election of the Senate is entrusted), and therefore cannot be appointed under a pledge to vote for an individual.



iot $\omega$ mps is opposed both to philosophers and statesmen, as in
 and in Thucydides (ii. 48) to iarpós. 'i今tôtau' such as Phaleas
and Hippodamus; 'philosophers' such as Pittacus or perhaps Pythagoras; 'statesmen' such as Solon or Lycurgus (cp. infra, c. 12. § I).

7. 2.

A sentence apparently inconsequential but really a condensation of two propositions. 'Therefore Phaleas the Chalcedonian introduced this, sc. the regulation of property, he being the frst to do it.'

Nothing is known of Phaleas from other sources. The manner in which Aristotle speaks of him in this passage ( $\$ 2$ ф $\quad$ goi yíp, $\S 8$
 was not a legislator but the writer of a book; and this inference is further confirmed by c. 12. § 1 , in which Aristotle (?) places first, and in a class by themselves, the private individuals who had treated of laws, apparently meaning Phaleas and Hippodamus. Whether Phaleas was earlier than Hippodamus is uncertain. It is true that Hippodamus is described as the first of those not statesmen who treated of 'the best state,' c. $8 . \S$ I. But the stress
 was the first, not of political writers, but the first who treated of the perfect state' which would be consistent with the claim of Phaleas to be an earlier writer on the subject of politics in general,
We cannot argue with Grote (Pt. II. c. 6, vol. ii. p. $5^{23}$ ) that because Phaleas was the first who wrote or speculated about the equal division of land, therefore the legislation of Lycurgus or the ancient Dorian institutions may not have anticipated him in fact.
 'when in process of settlement.'

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 7. } 3 . \\
& \text { Cp. the Babylonian ' marriage-market' in Hdt. i. } 196 .
\end{aligned}
$$ rather captiously the remark of Plato 'that loss of fortune is a source of revolutions,' to which he replies that 'it is only dangerous when it affects the leaders of the state.'


Mr. Grote (iii. pt. ii. chap. 11, p. 179) thinks that these words refer only to the annulment of mortgages. But they clearly imply that Solon restricted or attempted to restrict the amount of land which might be held by individuals. Although there is no other evidence of this fact, the silence of antiquity cannot be taken as decisive against the statement of Aristotle, and is certainly no reason for explaining away the plain meaning of his words, whether he was correctly informed or not.

 tence. The preservation of the lot tended to maintain the equality of property; hence the transition from the one subject to the other.

The meaning is as follows:-Originally the Leucadian citizens had a lot which was their qualification for office. They were afterwards allowed to sell this lot, and still retained the right of holding office, when they had lost their qualification.
 oùiè $\partial$ ö $\phi \epsilon \lambda o s$.

So in modern times reflections are often made on the evils of education unless based on moral and religious principles. Yet it was a noble thought of an early thinker like Phaleas that there should be equal education for all.

кai тò $\mu i a \nu$ к.т. $\lambda$. 'Moreover there is no point in saying that it is one and the same, for it may be bad.'



The opposition here intended is between the inequality of property by which the many are offended, and the equality of honour which offends the higher classes.




The words $\kappa a i \not t \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \hat{i} \epsilon \nu$, though rather weak, are found in all MSS. and are therefore probably genuine. They are omitted however by Bernays, and have been variously corrected, kai ävev
 imtiv $\bar{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ (Schneider), too great a departure from the MSS.; dvemtiv́m

The general meaning is plain: 'And therefore, i.e. not only to still pain, but also to gain pleasure, they will desire pleasures to which no pains are annexed.' The three motives are, 1) necessity, 2) desire of things not necessary, 3) desire of painless pleasures.

'They will look for a cure from philosophy and go no further.'

Cp. the Story of Jason, who said $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu \eta \eta^{\circ} \boldsymbol{o ̈} \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \tau v \rho a \nu \nu o i ̂, ~ i i i . ~ 4 . ~ § ~ 9 ~$ and note. So Daniel Manin (quoted by Stahr) used to say of himself that 'he knew nothing except how to govern.' 'And as is the greatness of the crime, so is the honour given to the tyrannicide.'

7. 14.

A favourite idea of Aristotle. Cp. supra c. 6. §7.

7. 16.
 more general word $\pi 0 \varepsilon \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ being understood from $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.
' That your enemies should act as they would do if you had not so great an amount of property,' i.e. that your wealth should be no temptation. Cp. Plat. Rep. iv. 422, where he argues that trained warriors will be always too much for wealthy citizens.

Eubulus, by birth a Bithynian, was the tyrant of Atarneus in 7. 17. Mysia, and was succeeded by Hermias his slave, whose niece or adopted daughter Aristotle is said to have married; Eubulus revolted from Persia, and was besieged by Autophradates, the Satrap of Lydia. See Strabo, xiii. 610, Suidas s. v. 'Apıбrorènjs.
7. 19. $\delta i \omega \beta \epsilon \lambda i a$.

The diobelia was the ordinary payment of two obols for attendance on the assembly and the courts, and also for theatrical entertainments. These payments seem in the later days of Athens, and even during the Peloponnesian war, to have amounted to three obols, and some of them to have been as high as a drachma. They were also made much more frequently than in 'the good old times.' Cp. Schol. in Aristoph. Vesp. 684, where it is said on the authority of Aristotle in [the] Politics that the sum given was originally three obols, but afterwards varied at different times : also cp. Lucian Dem. Encom. $3^{6}$; Prooem. Dem. 1459, 27, a remarkable place; and other passages quoted by Boeckh, 'Public Economy,' Eng. Tr. vol. i. ed. r, pp. 296 ff.
7. 20. Tิ̂v oủv тoooit $\omega \nu$ d̀ $\rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ к.т. $\lambda$.
 vagucly implied in the previous sentences. äк conj. Scaliger, àpкє $\hat{\imath}$ Coraes.

 ті̀̀ тоо́тоу.

Bernays places a comma after einep, and omits the second $\delta \in i$, placing a кai before ка日átєp. 'But if this is so (i.e. if artisans are to be public slaves), those who are to be engaged in public works should be slaves.' Nearly the same meaning may be got from the text, *if we place a comma after eivat and remove the comma after épra̧ohévous: 'But if artisans are to be public slaves, those who are engaged in public works should form this class.'
 'some one else of the same name, about whom nothing is known, was Archon at Athens in the year 395.
8. I. Stobaeus has preserved some fragments of a work mepi modtreias, which bear the name of 'Hippodamus the Pythagorean' (Florileg. xliii. pp. 248-25I, xcviii. p. 534, Mullach. Fragm. Philos. Graec. vol. ii. p. 1i). But there can be little doubt that they are, as Schneider says, the pious fraud of some later writer. The
portions cited by Stobaeus will be enough to show the character of such performances. These fragments disagree in several points with the statements of Aristotle; such as the threefold division of the citizens into councillors, auxiliaries, and artisans (cp. the Republic of Plato), and the subdivision of each class into three other classes; the three principles of honesty, justice, utility, and the three instruments by which civil society is knit together, reason, habit. law. Of all this and of a good deal else, there is no trace in Aristotle, although the triplets are also found in Stobaeus. Considerable differences are not however inconsistent with the genuineness of the fragments. A more suspicious circumstance is the character of the philosophical distinctions, such as the opposition of ka入óv, \&iкaoov, and $\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon_{\rho} \rho \nu$, which could hardly have existed before the time of Socrates, and a certain later tone of thought.

## Hippodamus חєрi Mòtтeías.

' In my opinion the whole state is divided into three parts: one the "Good"-that is, those who govern the commonwealth by mind; another, those who rule by force; a third part, those who supply and furnish necessaries. The first class I call councillors; the second, "allies" or warriors; the third, artisans. To the two former classes belong those who lead a freeman's life: to the latter those who work for their living. The councillors are the best, the artisans the worst, the warriors are in a mean. The councillors must rule, the artisans must be ruled, while the warriors must rule and be ruled in turn. For the councillors settle beforehand what is to be done: the warriors rule over the artisans, because they fight for the state, but in so far as they must be guided, they have to submit to rule.
'Each of these parts again has three divisions: of the councillors there are I) the supreme council ; 2) the magistrates ; 3) the common councillors. The first has the presidency, and deliberates about all matters before they are carried to the assembly. The second comprises all those who are or have been magistrates. The third, the common councillors, are the mass of senators who receive the measures which the upper council have prepared, and vote upon and determine matters which come before
them for decision. In a word, the upper council refers matters to the common council, and the common council, through the general, to the assembly. In like manner there are three divisions of the warrior or military class: the officers, the fighters in the front ranks, and lastly the common herd of soldiers, who are the larger number. The officers are the class which furnishes generals and colonels and captains and the front rank of soldiers, and generally all those who have authority. The soldiers of the front rank are the whole class of the bravest, most spirited, and most courageous men; the common herd of soldiers are the remaining multitude. Again, of the class who work for their living, some are husbandmen and tillers of the ground; others mechanics, who supply tools and instruments for the needs of life; others traders and merchants, who export superfluous productions to foreign countries, and import necessaries into their own. The framework of the political community then is composed of such and so many parts; we will therefore proceed to speak of the harmony and unison of them.
' Now every political community exactly resembles a stringed instrument, in that it needs arrangement and harmony and touch and frequent practice. Of the character and number of the elements which form the arrangement of the state I have already spoken. The state is harmonized by these three things-reason ( $\lambda$ óvos), moral habit, law, and by these three man is educated and becomes better. Reason gives instruction and implants impulses towards virtue. The law partly deters men from crime by the restraint of fear, partly attracts and invites them by rewards and gifts. Habits and pursuits form and mould the soul, and produce a character by constant action. All these three must have regard to the honourable and the expedient and the just; and each of the three must aim at them all if possible, or, if this is not possible, at one or two. So will reason and habit and law all be honourable and just and expedient; but the honourable must always be first esteemed; secondly, the just; thirdly, the expedient. And generally our aim should be to render the city by these qualities as far as possible harmonious, and deliver it from the love of quarrelling
and strife，and make it at unity with itself．This will come to pass if the passions of the youthful soul are trained by endur－ ance in pleasures and pains and conformed to moderation；－if the amount of wealth is small，and the revenue derived from the cultivation of the soil；－if the virtuous fill the offices in which virtue is needed，the skilful those in which skill is needed，the rich those in which lavish expenditure and profusion are needed ；and to all these，when they have filled in due manner their proper offices， due honour be assigned．Now the causes of virtue are three： fear，desire，shame．The law creates fear，moral habits，shime （for those who have been trained in right habits are ashamed to do wrong）；reason implants desire．For it is a motive power，at once giving the reason and attracting the soul，especially when it is combined with exhortation．Wherefore also we must pre－ pare for the souls of the young guilds and common meals，and places of living and meeting together，military as well as civil， and the elders must be harmonized with them，since the young want prudence and training，the old，cheerfulness and quiet en－ joyment．＇

Aristotle＇s account of the character and attainments of Hippo－ damus may be compared with the passage in the Lesser Hippias of Plato（？）（ 368 A foll．），in which Hippias is described as acquainted with every conceivable art and science．The personal description of Hippodamus also bears an odd resemblance to the statement of Diogenes Laertius about Aristotle himself—Tpau入òs $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi \omega \eta \dot{\eta} \nu .$.
 кaì סaктv入ious кaì кovpạ（v．1．§ 2 init．）．

The quantity of the name Hippodẵmus，though unimportant， is a somewhat difficult question．In Aristophanes（Knights ${ }^{227}$ ） the $a$ is long，yet if the name be a compound of $\delta \bar{j} \mu o s$ ，it is hard to give any meaning to it．It has been thought that Aristophanes has altered the quantity for the sake of the joke．
Mention occurs of the＇In $\pi$ oóápetos àyopà at the Piraeus in Andoc． de Myst．§45，p． 7 ，Xen．Hell．ii．4．§ II，and Dem．（？）adv．Timoth． § 22，p．1190．A tradition is preserved by Strabo（xiv．653，is中aoiv），that the architect of the Piraeus was the architect of the
magnificent city of Rhodes. The scholiast on Knights 327 who supposes the Hippodamus of Aristophanes to be the person here mentioned, supposes him also to have designed the Piraeus at the time of the Persian War (kuàà rà M Mò̀ı́á); but he had probably no special means of information and only 'combined' the two facts that Hippodamus was the architect of the Piraeus and that Themistocles was the original author of the proposal to improve the harbour. Hippodamus is also called 'the Thurian' in Hesychius. The city of Thurii was founded in 445 b.c. and Rhodes was built in 406 b.c. If therefore Hippodamus was a Thurian and also the builder of Rhodes he must have designed not the original works of the Piraeus, but the improvements made at a later date, such as was the middle wall in the age of Pericles, b.c. 444. This latter date is more in accordance with the half Sophist, half Pythagorean character which is attributed to Hippodamus. It is also more in accordance with the words of Aristotle in vii. $11 . \S 6, \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu i \delta i \omega \nu$

 plan of arranging cities in straight streets was comparatively recent. Cp. for the whole subject C. F. Hermann de Hippodamo Milesio.

There is no reason for suspecting corruption. The eccentricity of Hippodamus consisted in combining expensiveness and simplicity : $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau o s$ is dependent on some such word as $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ to be supplied from кó $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$.
 ioíay.

The division of the land proposed in the Seventh Book (c. 10. § I I) is nearly similar to that of Hippodamus.
8. 4. Sıxaनtịplov ề tò xiptov.

Plato in the Laws also establishes an appeal, vi, 767 C . "The final judgment shall rest with that court, which has been established for those who are unable to get rid of their suits either in the courts of the neighbours or of the tribes.'
tìs $\delta$ è крi
8. 5 .

See infra note on $\$ \mathrm{I}_{4}, \mathbf{1} 5$. Though the principle of Hippodamus is condemned by Aristotle as unsuited to the Athenian popular courts of law, it prevailed in the more advanced jurisprudence of the Romans in which the judges were allowed to give a sentence of $n$. l. or non liquet, whence the Scotch verdict of 'not proven.' The ideas of Hippodamus certainly show great legislative ingenuity in an age when such a quality was extremely rare.



Aristotle intends to say that Hippodamus proposed this law as a novelty of which he claimed the credit, whereas it already existed at Athens and elsewhere. The meaning is clear, though the form of the sentence is not perfectly logical: "*But this law actually exists in Athens at the present day,' and this is considered as sufficient proof that it existed at the time of Hippodamus. Or 2) without any opposition but with less point: 'And this law now exists at Athens.' Cp. Thuc. ii. 46.

I.e. 'They were to watch over the public interests and over the interests of persons who had no legal status.'

Aristotle, after his rather onesided manner of attacking an 8. io, ir. opponent, raises several $\dot{d} \pi$ opiau respecting the three classes of Hippodamus. 'How can the two inferior classes, who have no arms, maintain their independence? For many offices they are obviously unfitted: and if they have no share in the state how can they be loyal citizens? Granting that the artisans have a raison d'ére, what place in the state can be claimed by the husbandmen and why should they have land of their own? If the soldiers cultivate their own lands, there will be no distinction between them and the husbandmen; this, however, is not the intention of the legislator: if there are separate cultivators of the public lands, then there are not three, but four classes. The husbandmen are practically slaves who will be at the mercy of the warriors; and if so, why should they elect the magistrates? They will have no attachment to the state and must be kept down by force.'

To these a amopiat he finds no answer. He adds one or two more: 'How can the husbandmen produce enough for themselves and the warriors? And why, if they can, should there be any distinction between their lots and those of the soldiers?'

Either oikia is here used like oikos in the sense of 'property' or 'inheritance'; or $\gamma^{\epsilon} \omega \rho \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon!$ must be taken to mean 'maintains by agriculture.' (Cp. for a similar use of oikia Dem. de Falsâ Leg.
 $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, i. $8 . \S 6$, $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i a \nu \zeta \bar{\omega} \sigma a \nu \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma 0 \hat{\nu} \gamma \tau \epsilon$.) If neither of these explanations is deemed satisfactory, we must suppose a corruption of the text, which may be corrected by reading fis dio oikias (Bernays), or $\delta \dot{v} \sigma v y$ oixiaus. The old Latin translation 'ministrabit' has suggested the emendation $\boldsymbol{i} \pi o v p \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$. This is no better, or rather worse, Greek than $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \not{ }_{\gamma}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ in the sense given above.

'This in an arbitration is possible, even although the judges are many.'



 1) to the difference between the judges and the litigant or $2^{*}$ ) to the differences of the judges among themselves. In the first case $\dot{\eta} \dot{\sigma} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} o \nu \dot{\partial} \delta \dot{\theta} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a \sigma \sigma o \nu$ is a generalised statement of the words
 $\mu \nu a \hat{s}$. But in the second case the words are restricted to $\delta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta ı$ кa-

 in out of place, as an illustration of the general principle $\dot{\delta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \lambda \hat{\epsilon}^{\circ} \nu$ к.т. .. already stated.



That Hippodamus was speaking or political discoveries and not
of inventions in the arts, is clear from the context. Hippodamus' error was derived from the analogy of the arts, § 18 . We can easily understand the danger of rewarding discoveries such as were made in the conspiracy of the Hermae at Athens or in the days of the Popish Plot in England. Aristotle admits that there have been and will be changes in government, but he advocates caution and insists that law should be based on custom.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 8. } 18 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Every art and science is also a power to make or become; hence the word $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu$ s being the more general term is constantly associated with both $\tau \in \dot{\chi} \nu \eta$ and $\grave{e} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta$.

## 

8. 2 I .

This statement goes beyond the truth. For the traditions of families or clans are very slow in giving way, as e.g. in the constitution of Lycurgus or Solon, to a sense of the common good. It is rarely and for a brief space that nations wake up to the feeling of their own nationality, or are touched by the enthusiasm of humanity.
 катà $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \eta \eta \nLeftarrow \omega \overline{\omega ิ}$.
opoious has been altered by Bernays into jגíyous but without
 may be joined with kal roìs ruxóvrus $=$ ' no better than simple or




1)* If we take $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a$ as subject, $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \kappa \kappa \grave{j} \nu \quad \pi a \dot{\xi} \dot{\nu} \nu$ may be the remote object of $\gamma \rho a \phi \bar{\eta} v a t$, or the words may be governed by $\pi \epsilon \rho i$

 $\pi$ aiva is to be taken adverbially.





кıvinas，sc．$\dot{o} \pi 0 \lambda i r m s$ gathered from the previous sentence．









9．1．In this chapter Aristotle tacitly assumes or perhaps acquiesces in the popular belief that Lycurgus is the author of all Spartan insti－ tutions．He was supposed to be the founder of the Spartan constitution，as Solon of the Athenian，or as King Alfred of the ancient English laws．The Ephoralty is apparently attributed to him；yet elsewhere（v．11．§§2，3）Theopompus，a later king of Sparta，is said to have introduced this new power into the state．
 тo入ıreias．
 $\pi p o x \in!\mu \varepsilon \nu \eta s$ àvois，i．e．r）＇＇which is proposed to the citizens，＇$\pi$ onitraus
 themselves＇referring to $\nu о \mu о$ ध́тaь implied in $\nu \in \nu о \mu о \theta_{\epsilon} \tau \eta r a \iota: ~ c p . ~ \dot{\eta}$


＇Leisure or relief from the necessary cares of life．＇The construc－ tion is singular and rare in prose，yet not really different from tev rut $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \bar{y}$ какои of Soph．Oed．Tyr．1286．So Plat．Rep．ii． 370 C


 S九ate入ov̂atv．

Cp．Laws vi． 776 C，D：＇I am not surprised，Megillus，for the state of Helots among the Lacedaemonians is of all Hellenic forms of slavery the most controverted and disputed about，some approving
and some condemning it; there is less dispute about the slavery which exists among the Heracleots, who have subjugated the Mariandynians, and about the Thessalian Penestae.' Yet in this passage of Aristotle the Penestae are spoken of as constantly revolting from their masters.



 каї 'Apxí̀es.

The argument is that in Crete, where all the states had their Perioeci or subject class, no attempt was ever made to raise a servile insurrection when they went to war, because such a measure would have been contrary to the interests of both parties. The Cretans were the inhabitants of an island and there were no out-siders to

 prevailed among them, arising from their common necessity, of not raising the slaves in their wars with one another. The Argives and the other Peloponnesian states, when at war, were always receiving the insurgent Helots. But the Argive subject population, like the Cretan, were not equally ready to rise, and indeed were at times admitted to the governing body (cp.v. 3. § 7 , кai $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ "A $\rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$

 Aristotle incidentally observes that the Cretan slaves were comparatively well treated, although forbidden gymnastics and the use of arms.

The word 'perioeci' appears to have been used in Crete to denote generally an inferior class, who were not, as at Sparta, distinguished from Helots or slaves. This is confirmed by c. 10.
 oi $\pi$ крiocko. But compare also Sosicrates [B.c. 200-128] preserved in Athenaeus (vi. c. 84. fin., p. 263), rì̀ $\mu \dot{e} \nu$ koùj̀ dou入eiav oi Kpîres
 use of the term $\mu \nu o i a$ in Sosicrates is confirmed by the celebrated


 оккетаи.

9. 4. With these criticisms we may compare Aristotle's proposal (vii. $9 . \S 8$ and $\left.10 . \S \S \mathrm{I}_{3}, \mathrm{I}_{4}\right)$ in the description of his own state, that the husbandmen should be either slaves or foreign perioeci.

The singular $\mu$ epos is used by attraction with the singular a vip.
For the general subject, cp. Laws vi. 780 E.ff. : 'For in your country, Cleinias and Megillus, the common tables of men are a heaven-born and admirable institution, but you are mistaken in leaving the women unregulated by law. They have no similar institution of public tables in the light of day, and just that part of the human race which is by nature prone to secrecy and stealth on account of their weakness-I mean the female sex - has been left without regulation by the legislator, which is a great mistake. And, in consequence of this neglect, many things have grown lax among you, which might have been far better if they had been only regulated by law; for the neglect of regulations about women may not only be regarded as a neglect of half the entire matter, but in proportion as woman's nature is inferior to that of men in capacity of virtue, in that proportion is she more important than the two halves put together.

 i. 13. § 16 ; also Eur. Andr. 595,
ơơ äv, ti ßoùnottó rts,


Translated in the text, as by interpreters generally', 'in the days of their greatness,' i.e. in the fourth century в. c. after the taking of Athens when Sparta had the hegemony of Hellas. But is not the passage rather to be explained 'many things in their government were ordered by women'? (Schlosser). For why should
women be more powerful in the days of their greatness than in their degeneracy? To which it may be replied that the very greatness of the empire made the evil more conspicuous. According to the latter of the two explanations a a $\alpha x \bar{\eta} s$ corresponds to upxetv in what follows.
This use of the genitive is not uncommon: cp. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{i}$ orparâs Arist. Wasps 557 ; тò̀s $\grave{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathrm{i}$ t $\hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, sc. övras, Dem. 309. 10 .

For the conduct of the Spartan women in the invasion of $\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{r o}$. Fpaminondas: compare Xenophon, himself the eulogist of Sparta,

 31, who has preserved a similar tradition, oíX jitrov $\delta \dot{e}$ toútov


 кuì тò $\pi i ̂ \rho ~ \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$.
 $\pi$ преєіхоу $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$.

Fither i)* (For, unlike the women in other cities, they were utterly useless'; or 2) 'For, like the women of other cities, they were utterly useless; and they caused more confusion than the enemy.'

The employment of the men on military service, which rendered $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. i r. it more easy for Lycurgus to bring them under his institutions, is supposed to have caused the disorder of the women which made it more difficult to control them. Yet we may fairly doubt whether this notion is anything more than a speculation of Aristotle or some of his predecessors ( 中aci $^{\mu} \mu^{\prime}$ ), striving to account for a seemingly contradictory phenomenon. For there could have been no irustworthy tradition of the time before Lycurgus. It is observable that Aristotle, if his words are construed strictly, supposes Lycurgus to have lived after the time of the Messenian and Argive wars. Clinton, Fasti Hellenici, vol. i., p. 143 note $w$, considers the words xui Meronvious in § I I to be an interpolation. But this assumption of interpolation is only due to the exigencies of chronology. The testimony of Aristotle may be summed up as follows: on the one vol. II.
hand he favours the traditional date; for he connects the name of Charillus an ancient king with that of Lycurgus c. 10. § 2 : and on the other hand it is very possible that he may not have known, or may not have remembered the date of the Messenian Wars.

Grote (p. 2. c. 6, p. $5^{16}$, n. 3 ) defends the Spartan women against the charges of Aristotle and Plato (the фiлo丸áx $\omega v$ ) Laws vii. p. 806, reiterated by Plutarch (Ages. c. 31), and even supposes that 'their demonstration on that trying occasion (i.e. the invasion of Laconia) may have arisen quite as much from the agony of wounded bonour as from fear.' Yet surely Aristotle writing not forty years afterwards, who is to a certain extent supported by the contemporary Xenophon (vi. 5, 28 see above), could hardly have been mistaken about a matter which was likely to have been notorious in Hellas.

Sc. the women:* or 'these are the causes' (aival by attraction for raüra). The first way of taking the words gives more point to the clause which follows.

' We have not to consider whether we are to blame Lycurgus, or to blame the women ; but whether such a state of things is right.'

aìtì кaf' aírì must agree with modireià understood in àmpéteail
 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota t e i a v$ : or $a u \tau \eta \hat{\eta}$, which appears to have been the reading of the old translator (ipsius), may be adopted instead of airity.



1)     * The mention of avarice, or 2) the mention of women naturally leads Aristotle to speak of the inequality of property. The connexion is either 1) that avarice tends to inequality or 2) that inequality is produced by the great number of heiresses.
9. 14. Plutarch (Agis, c. 5) apparently ascribes to the Ephor Epitadeus the law which enabled a Spartan to give or bequeath his property as he pleased. Either Aristolle has followed a different tradition.
or the legislator is only a figure of speech for the institution (cp. supra, note at beginning of chapter).

$$
\tau \hat{\omega} \nu r^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \nu . \quad \text { 9. } 15 .
$$


$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\eta}$ каì $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho i a \nu$.
9. 15 .
' Or even a moderate one.' кai is here qualifying. 'Better have no dowries or small ones, or you may even go so far as to have moderate ones.'

9. 15.
viv, not 'now,' as opposed to some former time, but ' as the law stands.' See note on c. $5 . \S 23$ supra. Sô̂vac, sc. tivá.
' A man may give his heiress to ary one whom he pleases': i.e. heiresses may be married by their relatives to rich men, and the evil of accumulating property in a few hands will thus be increased. Herodotus, vi. 57 , says that the giving away of an heiress whom her father had not betrothed was a privilege of the kings of Sparta. There may have been a difference in the custom before and after the days of Epitadeus (cp. note on § 14), though this is not expressed by the particle $\nu \hat{v} \nu$.



 described in the previous sentence. For the use of ravin $\nu$ with a vague antecedent, cp. below тaúт $\nu \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \iota \rho \rho \theta \sigma \sigma \nu$ : also i. $2 . \S 2$.
$\mu i a \nu \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$.
9. 16 .

The battle of Leuctra (b.c. 37r) at which, according to Xenophon, Hellen. vi. 4. § $\mathbf{I}_{5}$, one thousand Lacedaemonians and four hundred out of seven hundred Spartans perished. The population of Sparta was gradually diminishing. In the time of Agis IV. reg. ${ }^{2} 40-248$ b.c. according to Plutarch (Agis, c. 5), the Spartans were but 700 , and only about 100 retained their lots.

Yet Herodotus (ix. 35) affirms that Tisamenus of Elis, the
prophet, and Hegias, were the only foreigners admitted to the rights of citizenship at Sparta. According to Plutarch, Dion was also made a Spartan citizen (Dio, c. 17).

The ancient number of Spartan citizens is variously given: here at 10,000 ; in Herod. vii. 234, at 8,000 ; according to a tradition preserved by Plutarch (Lycurg. c. 8), there were 9,000 lots which are said to have been distributed partly by Lycurgus, partly by Polydorus, the colleague of the king Theopompus.
 ठเóp $\theta \omega \sigma$ เv.

At Sparta the accumulation of property in a few hands tended to disturb the equality of the lots. The encouragement of large families, though acting in an opposite way, had a similar effect. According to Aristotle, depopulation and overpopulation alike conspired to defeat the intention of Lycurgus. Yet it does not seem that the great inducements to have families were practically successful ; perhaps because the Spartans intermarried too much.

Like Plato and Phaleas, the Spartan legislator is accused of neglecting population. (Cp. supra c. 6. §§ $\mathrm{I} 2, \mathrm{I} 3$, and c .7 . $\S \S 4-8$.) It is clearly implied in the tone of the whole argument (against Mr. Grote, vol. ii. c. 6) that there was an original equality of property, but that it could not be maintained; cp. ràs $\kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon / s$
 Laws 684 D.

Cp. Thuc. i. 131, etc. where we are told that Pausanias trusted







Ө. 20. кai עùv 8' év raís 'Auspiols.
'Ardpio is a proper name, probably referring to some matter in
which the Andrians were concerned. It is unlikely that Aristotle would have used the archaic word ädopia for pioitua or avaritaa.

 ixєiter $\hat{e} \lambda \dot{j} \lambda \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$.

The event to which Aristotle refers is wholly unknown to us, though the strange expression which he uses indicates the great


##  <br> 9. 20.

'So that in this way, as well as by the venality of the Ephors, together with the royal office the whole constitution was injured.'



The nominatives which occur in the next sentence, of $\mu \dot{e} \nu$ oiv




 Q. 22.

Nearly the same words occur in Demosthenes, c. Lept. § 119 , p. 489 , where speaking of the $\gamma \in \rho \frac{1}{}$


9. 23 .

It is not known how the Ephors were elected. Possibly in the same way as the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \rho o \nu \tau e s$ (vide note on § 27 infra), which Aristotle likewise calls $\pi a \iota \delta a \rho t \omega \delta \eta$ s. Plato, Laws iii. 692 A , says that the
 mean that the election to the Ephoralty was almost as indiscriminate as if it had been by lot.

As in the funeral oration of Pericles, the Spartan discipline is $\mathbf{9} .24$. everywhere described as one of unnatural constraint. There was no public opinion about right and wrong which regulated the lives of men. Hence, when the constraint of law was removed and they were no longer ápxónevoc but äp $\begin{gathered}\text { overs, the citizens of Sparta seem }\end{gathered}$
to have lost their character and to have fallen into every sort of corruption and immorality. The love of money and the propensity to secret luxury were kindred elements in the Spartan nature.


' But when men are so educated that the legislator himself cannot trust them, and implies that they are not good men, there is a danger.' The remark is resumed and justified in $\S 30$ ( ${ }^{\circ} \tau \iota \delta^{\prime}$ o vounérns, к.т....), by the general suspicion of their citizens which the Spartan government always showed, and also (\$ 26) by the circumstance that the Gerontes were placed under the control of the Ephors.


The discussion about the Ephors and Gerontes is a sort of dialogue, in which objections are stated and answers given, but the two sides of the argument are not distinctly opposed.



For the mode of the election cp. Plut. Lycurg. c. 26 : 'The election took place after this fashion: When the assembly had met, certain persons selected for the purpose were shut up in a building near at hand, so that they could not see or be seen, but could only hear the shouting of the assembly. For, as with other matters (cp. Thuc. i. 87 , kpivovar yà $\beta$ ßoñ kai où $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \varphi$ ), the Lacedaemonians decided by acclamation between the competitors. One by one the candidates were brought in, according to an order fixed by lot, and walked, without speaking, through the assembly. The persons who were shut up marked on tablets the greatness of the shout given in each case, not knowing for whom it was being given, but only that this was the first or the second or the third in order of the candidates. He was elected who was received with the loudest and longest acclamations.'
 Cp. Plat. Rep. 345 E ff., 347 D.

 аї $\rho \in \sigma \iota \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \gamma є \rho \dot{\rho} \nu \tau \omega \nu$.

According to the view of Aristotle and of Plato nobody should seck to rule, but everybody if he is wanted should be compelled to rule. Yet this is rather a counsel of perfection than a principle of practical politics. And it seems hardly fair to condemn the work of Lycurgus, because like every other Greek state, Sparta had elections and candidatures.

##  <br> 9. 30 .

$\pi v \mu \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau \dot{\alpha}$ d does not refer to the kings, but is an illustration of the same jealousy which made the Spartans consider the dissensions of the kings to be the salvation of their state. doóre $\rho=$ - by reason of a like suspicion.'

It has been argued that Aristotle in this section is criticising the kings only. And we might translate (with Bernays and others) 'they sent enemies as colleagues of the king,' e.g. in such cases as that of Agis (Thuc. v. 63). But these could hardly be described as $\sigma \tau \mu \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v r a i$, any more than the Ephors who, according to Xenophon (de Rep. Lac. c. 13. § 5), were the companions of the king-not his active counsellors, but spectators or controllers of his actions.

Ancient historians are apt to invent causes for the facts which tradition has handed down. Cp. note on c. $9 . \S$ ir supra; also v. 11. § 2 ; Herod. v. 69; Thuc. i. 11, \&c. It may be easily believed that there were frequent $\pi a \rho a \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon i a \iota$ among Spartans, but that these were the result of a deeply-laid policy is the fancy of later writers. Still less can we suppose the double royalty which clearly originated in the ancient history of Sparta to be the work of the legislator. Compare the Laws (iii. 691 D) of Plato (who probably first suggested the notion of a special design), 'A god who watched over Sparta gave you two families of kings instead of one and thus brought you within the limits of moderation.'

[^5]Either 1) the gathering for meals; or 2) the contribution, as in Hdt. i. 64.

It may be admitted that the common meals had a sort of levelling or equalizing tendency; but this could hardly have been the original intention of them, whether they were first instituted at Sparta by Lycurgus or not (cp. vii. $10 . \S 2 \mathrm{ff}$.). They are more naturally connected with the life of a camp (§ II) and the brotherhood of arms. They may also be the survival of a patriarchal life.
8. 33. The remark that the office of admiral was a second royahy appears to be jusified chiefly by the personal greatness of Lysander. Teleutias the brother of Agesilaus was also a distinguished man. It cannot be supposed that Eurybiades or Cnemus or Alcidas or Astyochus were formidable rivals to the king.




- The Spartans were right in thinking that the goods of life are to be acquired by virtue, but not right in thinking that they are better than virtue' (cp. vii. c. 2. and c. 14). The 'not less error' is that they degrade the end into a means; they not only prefer military virtue to every other, but the goods for which they are striving to the virtue by which they are obtained.

It is quite true that many Spartans, Pausanias, Pleistoanax, Astyochus, Cleandridas, Gylippus and others were guilty of taking bribes. But it is hard to see how their crime is attributable to the legislator. Not the institutions of Lycurgus, but the failure of them was the real source of the evil.

The love of money to whatever cause attributable was held to be characteristic of Sparta in antiquity. The saying хрŋ́ $\mu a r a$ х $\rho^{\prime} \eta a r$ ' àmp is placed by Alcaeus (Fr. 50 ) in the mouth of a Spartan, and
 Aristotelian Moגırfía fr. Rej. Lac. 1559 b. 28.
10. 1. $\pi$ ápeүץus $\mu$ év éatı таúrŋs.

Polyb. vi. 45 denies the resemblance between Crete and Lace-




 particulars ; 1) the equal distribution of land in Sparta did not exist in Crete; 2) the greed of wealth which existed in Crete is said, strangely enough, to have been unknown at Sparta; 3) the hereditary monarchy of Sparta is contrasted with the life tenure of the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} p o u \tau \epsilon s$; 4) the harmony which prevailed at Sparta is contrasted with the rebellions and civil wars of Crete.

10. 1.



According to this view the Spartan institutions are not Dorian 10. 2. but Pre-Dorian, having been established originally by Minos; received from him by the Lacedaemonian colony of Lyctus in Crete, and borrowed from the Lyctians by Lycurgus.



The connexion is as follows:-The Lacedaemonian Laws are borrowed from the Cretan. Among the Lyctians, a colony of the Lacedaemonians who settled in Crete and whom Lycurgus is said to have visited, these laws were already in existence, and he adoptedthem. And even at this day, the laws of Minos are stiti in force among the subject population or aborigines of Crete. $\delta$ io is unemphatic; the logical form outruns the meaning.

Either the laws of Minos had ceased to be enforced among the freemen of Crete or the freemen of Crete had themselves changed (Bernays); and therefore any vestiges of the original law were only to be found among the ancient population. Thus communistic usages may be observed among the peasants of India and Russia, which have disappeared in the higher classes. Yet Aristotle also speaks of the common meals in Crete as still continuing. Does he refer only to the survival of them among the Perioeci? By Dosiades (b.c. ?) the Cretan Syssitia are described as still exist-
ing (see the passage quoted in note on § 6). Aristotle supposes that Lycurgus went to Crete before he gave laws to Sparta. According to other accounts his travels, like those of Solon, were subsequent to his legislation.

Ephorus, the contemporary of Aristotle [see fragment quoted in Strabo x. 480], argues at length that the Spartan Institutions originally existed in Crete but that they were perfected in Sparta, and that they deteriorated in Cnossus and other Cretan cities; both writers agree in the general view that the Cretan institutions are older than the Spartan and in several other particulars, e.g. that the Lyctians were a Lacedaemonian colony, that the common meals were called "Avopta or 'Avסpeia, that the Cretan institutions had decayed in their great towns but survived among the Perioeci; and also in the similarity of offices at Lacedaemon and Crete. The great resemblance between this account and that of Aristotle seems to indicate a common unknown source.

The cxistence of the same institutions in Sparta and Crete and the greater antiquity of the Cretan Ninos may have led to the belicf in their Cretan origin. Others deemed such an opinion unworthy of Sparta and argued plausibly that the greater could not have been derived from the less; Strabo l.c.
 $\kappa а \lambda \omega \overline{s .}$

Aristotle, like Herodotus, Thucydides, Aeschylus, is not indisposed to a geographical digression; cp. vii. 10. $\$ \$ 3-5$.

It may be observed that the remark is not perfectly consistent with $\$ \S 15,16$. The 'silver streak' and 'the empire of the sea' are the symbols of two different policies.

Cp. Herod. iii. 122, Thuc. i. 4.

But if Sosicrates, a writer of the second century b.c., quoted by Athenaeus vi. 84 is to be trusted, Aristotle is here at fault in his



These words may be compared with the passage in Book vii. 10 .

 repa roútwy. In both passages Aristotle says that the common meals came from Crete to Sparta.
 кúб $\mu \boldsymbol{\prime}$.

The office of the Cosmi is identified by Aristotle with that of the Ephors. But the resemblance between them is very slight. The fact that at Sparta there were kings, while in Crete the kingly power, if it ever existed at all, had long been abolished, makes an essential difference. The Ephors were democratic, the Cosmi were oligarchical officers. And although both the Ephors and the Cosmi were an executive body, yet the Ephors, unlike the Cosmi, never acquired the military command, which was retained by the Spartan kings. Aristotle observes that the Cosmi were chosen nut of certain families, the Ephors out of all the Spartans, a circumstance to which he ascribes the popularity of the latter institution.

10. 6.

Yet we are told that the term $\beta$ ouni was generally used to signify 'the council in a democracy.' Cp. iv. 15. § II and vi. 8. § 17 ,
 In the Cretan use of the term $\beta o v \lambda \dot{\eta}$ there may be a survival of the Homeric meaning of the word.

Probably an inference from the legendary fame of Minos. No other king of Crete is mentioned.

Dosiades, quoted by Ath. iv. c. 22. p. 143, gives the following account of the Cretan Syssitia: 'The Lyctians collect the materials for their common meals in the following manner: Every one brings a tenth of the produce of the soil into the guild ( $\epsilon$ taipia) to which he belongs, and to this [are added] the revenues of the city, which the municipal authorities distribute to the several households. Further, each of the slaves contributes a poll-tax of an

Aeginetan stater. All the citizens are divided among these guilds which they call andreia. A woman takes care of the syssitia with three or four of the common people to help in waiting; and each of these has two attendants, called кa入oфópot, to carry wood for him. Everywhere in Crete there are two buildings for the syssitia, one called the andreion, the other, which is used for the reception of strangers, the dormitory (kou $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{\eta} \rho \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ ). And first of all they set out two tables in the room for the syssitia, called "strangers' tables," at which any strangers who are present take their place. Next to these come the tables for the rest. An equal portion is set before every man : the children receive a half portion of meat, but touch nothing else. On every table a large vessel is set full of diluted wine: from this all who sit at that table drink in common; and when the meal is finished another cup is put on. The children too drink in common from another bowl. The elders may, if they like, drink more. The best of the viands are taken by the woman who superintends the syssitia in the sight of all, and placed before those who have distinguished themselves in war or council. After dinner their habit is first of all to consult about state affairs, and then to recount their deeds in battle and tell the praise of their heroes. Thus they teach the youth to be valiant.'

ix kowoû, 'out of a common stock'; not necessarily at common tables. The syssitia or common meals of women are said by Aristotle in chap, 12 to be an invention of Plato in the Laws, and if so they could hardly have existed at Crete. Nor is there any allusion to them in the fragment of Dosiades (supra). The name äv $\delta \rho u$ or à àpeía also affords a presumption against the admission of women to the public tables. But if the words èk kowoû are interpreted as above, there is no reason that with Oncken (Staatslehre der Arist. ii. 386) we should suppose the words $\gamma$ vvaikas кai maîoas on this ground to be spurious; nor is such a mode of textual criticism legitimate.

The connexion appears to be as follows: 'And as there were so many mouths to feed,' the legislator had many devices for
encouraging moderation in food, which he thought a good thing, as well as for keeping down population.


If these words refer to this work, the promise contained in them is unfulfilled. Nothing is said on the subject in Book vii. c. 16, when the question of population is discussed. The promise, however, is somewhat generally expressed; like the end of c. 8 .





$\pi \epsilon \rho i \begin{aligned} & \omega \\ & \text {. Do these words refer to* the } \gamma \text { 'िpoves (Susemihl, Bernays) }\end{aligned}$ or to the $x \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \mathrm{c}$ (Stahr)? The connexion would lead us to suppose the latter; for what precedes and what follows can only be explained on this supposition. Yet the Cosmi appear not to have held office
 (Polyb. vi. 46), though nothing short of a revolution could get rid of them; see infra, § 14. It is better to suppose that Aristotle has 'gone of upon a word' as at c. $9 . \S 30$, and is here speaking of
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i \stackrel{i}{\omega} \nu$ and $\gamma \nu \nu \rho \mu \hat{e} \nu \omega \nu$ have also been taken as neuters: ' about which things,' i. e. the mode of electing: but this explanation does not agree with the next words, which relate, not to the mode of election, but to the irresponsibility of the office.

10. II.

Cp. c. $9 . \S 23$ where similar words are applied not, as here, to the Cosmi and elders, but to the Ephors. Another more general censure is passed on the $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ povers, $\S 25$.


Yet to say that the Cosmi could not be bribed because they lived in an island appears to be rather far-fetched. Probably Aristotle is thinking of the bribery of Hellenes by foreign powers,
and for this there was little opportunity because the Cretans were isolated from the world.

The expression is not quite accurate, for the caprice of an individual cannot be called a kavór. He means that to make the caprice of man a rule is unsafe.


The words $\hat{\eta} \nu$ кaधı $\sigma \tau \hat{a} \sigma \iota ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda$ áxs which follow and the preceding
 r $\hat{\nu}$ סupar $\hat{\nu} y$ means not the insubordination of the notables, but the temporary abrogation of the office of Cosmi by their violence, or, possibly, their defiance of its authority.
 $\delta \nu \nu a \mu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$.

Translated in the English text: 'A city is in a dangerous condition, when those who are willing are also able to attack her.' More correctly, ' A city which may at any time fall into anarchy (ouv $\omega$ s éxova) is in a dangerous condition when those who are willing are also able to attack her.'

'And this is also a reason why the condition of the Periocci remains unchanged.'

Either $\mathrm{I}^{*}$ ) have no foreign domains; or 2 ) have no relation to any foreign power. The language is not quite clear or accurale ; for although a nation may possess foreign dominions it cannot 'share' in them. The Cretans were not members either of the Delian or of the Lacedaemonian confederacy.

The date of this event is said to be в.c. 343 when Phalaecus, the Phocian leader, accompanied by his mercenaries, crossed into Crete and took service with the inhabitants of Cnossus against those of Lyctus over whom he gained a victory, but shortly after-
wards perished (Diod. xvi. 62, 63). This however is rather a civil than a 'foreign war.' Others refer the words to the war in the time of Agis II. (b. с. $33^{\circ}$ ), or to the Cretan rising against Alexander.
 did not save her,] foreign mercenaries brought war into the island.'

11. 1.

- And in many respects their government is remarkable when compared with those of other nations' or 'with the others of whom I have been speaking.' For the use of $\pi \epsilon \mu$ rrós, cp. c. $6 . \S 6$.

Yet the differences are far more striking than the resemblances, which seem to be only 'the common tables,' the analogous office of kings at Sparta and Carthage, and the council of Elders. The real similarity to one another of any of these institutions may be doubted (see note on § 3 infra): while the entire difference in spirit is not noticed by Aristotle. The Semitic trading aristocracy has little in common with the Hellenic military aristocracy; the prosperity of Carthage with the poverty and backwardness of Crete. But in the beginnings of reflection mankind saw resemblances more readily than differences. Hence they were led to identify religions, philosophies, political institutions which were really unlike though they bore the impress of a common human nature.


## 

11. 2. 

'And the proof that they were an organized state' or 'that they had a regular constitution.' The insertion of $\epsilon \hat{v}$ before avvertay$\mu^{\prime} v_{\eta \rho}$ (Schneider) is unnecessary. Cp. supra ii. $9 . \S 22$.
 from modıreiav=‘ the city with its democracy.' There is no need


## 

11. 2. 

For the inconsistency of these words with another statement of Aristotle (v. 12. § i2) that 'the Carthaginians changed from a tyranny into an aristocracy,' which is also irreconcileable with the further statement in $\mathbf{\nabla} .12$. § 14, that they never had a revolution, see note in loco.




Yet there could hardly have been much resemblance between the common tables of guilds or societies in the great commercial city of Carthage, and the 'camp life' of the Spartan syssitia; or between the five ephors of Sparta and the hundred and four councillors of Carthage: or between kings who were generals and elected for life at Sparta and the so called kings or suffetes who seem to have been elected annually and were not military officers at Carthage, but are distinguished from them, infra $\S 9$.
11. 3. où $\chi$ є̣̂ov.

Is to be taken as an adverb agreeing with the sentence, ' and this is an improvement.'



The true meaning of this rather perplexed passage is probably that given in the English text which may be gathered from the words as they stand. With סiaф́fov supply rà $\gamma^{\prime}$ vos éari. The cor-
 great a departure from the MSS. Lesser corrections, ei $\delta \dot{\epsilon}, a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime}$ $\tau \iota$, cirt have some foundation in the Latin Version, but are unnecessary, eit $\tau \epsilon$ is to be read as two words and answers to $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, as ס̌a申́́pov does to $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ rồto rò $\tau v \chi o ́ v$. 'It is a great advantage that the kings are not all of the same family and that their family is no ordinary one, and if there be an extraordinary family, that the kings are elected out of it and not appointed by seniority.'



He elsewhere speaks of the Spartan monarchy in a somewhat different spirit (iii. 14. § 3, 15. § I ff.). The praise here given to the elective Monarchy or Consulate of the Carthaginians at the expense of the Spartan kingship is considerably modified by the fact mentioned in § 10 , that they not unfrequently sold the highest offices for money.
 11. 5 .
 censured when compared with the ideal of aristocracy and constitutional government, etc.'

The constitution of Carthage was an aristocracy in the lower 11. 5* sense, and like Aristotle's own $\pi$ odırєia, a combination of oligarchy and democracy (iv. 8. § 9, v. 7. §§ 5-7). While acknowledging that wealth should be an element in the constitution, because it is the condition of leisure, Aristotle objects to the sale of places and the other abuses which arose out of it at Carthage. The Carthaginian constitution is expressly called an 'aristocracy' in iv. 7. §4, because it has regard to virtue as well as to wealth and numbers; and once more (in v. 12. § 14) a democracy in which, as in other democracies, trade was not prohibited. According to Aristotle the people had the power I) of debating questions laid before them; 2) of deciding between the kings and nobles when they disagreed about the introduction of measures, but 3) they had not the power of initiation.
ì tais є́тє́paus тодıтеíals.
11. 6.

Sc. Crete and Sparta. Cp. supra §5, raîs cip $\eta \mu$ évaıs mo入ıreiaus.

11. 7.

Of these pentarchies, or of the manner in which they held office before and after the regular term of their magistracy had expired, nothing is known. We may conjecture that they were divisions or committees of the $\gamma \in \rho o v \sigma i a$. Their position may be illustrated by that of the Cretan Cosmi, who became members of the $\gamma \in p o v a i a$ when their term of office had expired (cp. c. $10 . \S$ Io).
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$ €̊кaтóv.
11. 7.

Possibly the same which he had previously (§3) called the magistracy of 104. The magistracy here spoken of is termed $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \dot{d} \rho \chi \eta \dot{\eta}$, the other is said to consist of great officers who are compared with the Ephors. If the two institutions are assumed to be the same, we might adduce for an example of a like inaccuracy in number, a passage, c. 6. § 5 , where the citizens in Plato's Laws who number 5040 are called the 5000 .

But it is not certain that they can be identified. According to Livy and Justin the ordo judicum consisted of 100 . 'Centum ex numero senatorum judices deliguntur.' Justin xix. 2. (Cp. Livy xxxiii. 46.) They were appointed about the year b.c. 450 , to counteract the house of Mago, and are spoken of as a new in. stitution. These facts rather lead to the inference that the 100 are not the same with the magistracy of 104, which was probably more ancient. But in our almost entire ignorance of early Carthaginian history the question becomes unimportant.




 taken as an explanatory parenthesis.

According to the first view, Aristotle is opposing Carthage and Lacedaemon. In Carthage all cases are tried by the same board or college of magistrates (or by the magistrates collectively), whereas in Lacedaemon some magistrates try one case and some another. The former is the more aristocratical, the second the more oligarchical mode of proceeding : the regular skilled tribunal at Carthage is contrasted with the casual judgments of individuals at Lacedaemon. The difficulty in this way of taking the passage



According to the second view, Aristotle, as in iii. 1. § ro, is comparing the general points of resemblance in Carthage and Lacedaemon. 'Both at Carthage and Lacedaemon cases are tried by regular boards of magistrates, and not by different persons, some by one and some by another.' The difference between the professional judges of the Carthaginians and the casual magistrates of the Spartans is noted in iii. 1 . § 10 , but here passed over in silence. The Carthaginian and Lacedaemonian arrangements may thus be considered as both aristocratic and oligarchic,aristocratic because limiting judicial functions to regular magistrates; oligarchic, because confining them to a few. They are
both contrasted with the judicial institutions of a democracy. The difficulty in this way of construing the passage is not the parenthesis, which is common in Aristotle, but the use of äd $\lambda \omega \nu$ vaguely for 'different persons,' and not, as the preceding words ind r $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ apxeicu would lead us to expect, for 'different magistracies,' or ' boards of magistrates.'
In neither way of taking the passage is there any real contradiction to the statement of iii. $1 . \S$ ro. The words of the latter are as follows: 'For in some states the people are not acknowledged, nor have they any regular assembly; but only extraordinary ones; suits are distributed in turn among the magistrates; at Lacedaemon, for instance, suits about contracts are decided, some by one Ephor and some by another; while the elders are judges of homicide, and other causes probably fall to some other magistracy. A similar principle prevails at Carthage ; there certain magistrates decide all causes.'

For the sale of great offices at Carthage, see Polyb. vi. 56. § 4, 11. 9.


 rias таútn к..т.入.

The error consists in making wealth a qualification for office; the legislator should from the first have given a competency to the governing class, and then there would have been no need to appoint men magistrates who were qualifed by wealth only. Even if the better classes generally are not to be protected against poverty, such a provision must be made for the rulers as will





Of this, as of many other passages in the Politics, the meaning can only be inferred from the context. In the Carthaginian constitution the element of wealth superseded merit. But whether there was a regular traffic in offices, as the words ràs $\mu$ cyicras

ఉ̀ $\nu \eta r$ ràs tivai $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ would seem to imply, or merely a common practice of corruption, as in England in the last century, Aristotle does not clearly inform us. Cp. Plat. Rep. viii. 544 D, \#̈ rua




The MSS. vary between àmopiay and cimopiav without much difference of meaning: 'Even if the legislator were to give up the question of the poverty' [or 'wealth] of the better class.' A similar

 einópov : vi. 2. § 9 , àmópots and eijuópots.
 aüти̂̀ каì өârтov.

кovȯтерои, 'more popular,' because more persons hold office.

 The insertion of $\dot{v} \pi \dot{d}$ before $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$, suggested by the Old Translation $a b$ eisdem, is unnecessary. Tôv aì $\bar{\omega} \nu$, 'where the duties are the same.'
 individual can be confined to the same duties, a division of labour to which frequent reference is made in Aristotle. (Cp.ii. 2. §§5,6; iv. 15. §§ 7, 8; vi. 2. §8, and Plat. Rep. ii. 374 A, iii. 397 E.) And there is more political intelligence where everybody is both ruler and subject.
11. I5. ixфeíyoval t $\frac{\mu}{\pi} \pi$ oveêiv. See note on text.

So England has been often said to have escaped a revolution during this century by the help of colonization: nor is there 'any more profitable affair of business in which an old country can be engaged' (Mill). That Aristotle was not averse to assisting the poor out of the revenues of the state when any political advantage could be gained, or any permanent good effected for them, we infer from vi. 5. §§ 8,9 .

11. 15.

Though the government of the Carthaginians is in good repute (§ i), Aristotle regards this reputation as not wholly deserved, their stability being due to the power of sending out colonies which their wealth gave them; but this is only a happy accident. In a similar spirit he has remarked that the permanency of the Cretan government is due to their insular position (c. $10 . \S_{15}^{15}$ ).

11. 16.

The later reflection on the accidental character of the stability which he attributes to Carthage is not quite in harmony with the statement of § 2 , in which he cites the lastingness of the government as a proof of the goodness of the constitution.

Grote in his eleventh chapter (vol. iii. p. 167, ed. 1847) says 12. 2-6. that, according to Aristotle, Solon only gave the people the power to elect their magistrates and hold them to accountability. What is said in $\S 2$ and 3 he considers not to be the opinion of Aristotle himself, but of those upon whom he is commenting. This is true of $\S 2$ : but not of $\S 3$, which contains Aristotle's criticism on the opinion expressed in §2. Thus we have the authority of Aristotle (at least of the writer of this chapter) for attributing the institution of the Sıкaбтijıa to Solon (cp. Schömann's Athenian Constitution, transl, by Bosanquet, pp. $3^{6} \mathrm{ff}$.). The popular juries are said to

 unpaid, the mass of the people could make no great use of their privileges. The character of the democracy was therefore far from being of an extreme kind ; cp. iv. 6. §§5, 6 and $13 . \S \S 5,6$, vi. 2. $\$ \$ 6,7$.

The sum of Aristotle's (?) judgment upon Solon (§ 3 ) is that he did create the democracy by founding the dicasteries, but that he was not responsible for the extreme form of it which was afterwards established by Ephialtes, Pericles, and their followers.

## 

The writer of this passage clearly intended to class Pericles among the demagogues. He judges him in the same depreciatory spirit as Plato in the Gorgias, pp. 515, 516.

Cp. Solon, Fragm. 4 in Bergk Poet. Lyr. Graeci, $\Delta \eta \eta_{\mu} \omega \mu \dot{e} \nu \gamma \dot{p}$,





The arrangement of the classes here is somewhat disorderly, the second class or Knights being placed third in the series. That Aristotle should have supposed the Hippeis to have formed the third class is incredible; but it is difficult to say what amount of error is possible in a later writer. See an absurd mistake in Suidas and Photius about imreis and intàs (Boeckh, P. E. ii. 260) under imads, which in Photius s.v. is called a fifth class; while in the next entry four Athenian classes are cited in the usual order with a reference to Aristotle (?) de Rep. Atheniensium, and an addition 'that $i \pi \pi a ́ \delta \epsilon s$ belong to $i \pi \pi \epsilon i s '$ ' (?).
 das ó Katavaios roîs aûroû $\pi o \lambda i r a t s$.

Strabo (vi. 260), quoting Ephorus, says that Zaleucus made one great innovation, in taking away from the dicasts, and inserting in the law, the power of fixing the penalty after sentence was given.

Aristotle attributes greater precision to Charondas than to modern legislators. But early laws have a greater appearance of precision because society is simpler, and there are fewer of them.
12.7. Өá入ŋгa.

Thales, called also Thaletas, probably the Cretan poet who is said by Ephorus apud Strabonem, x. p. 481, to have been the friend of Lycurgus; and also to have introduced the Cretan rhythm into vocal music. Mentioned in Plut. de Musica, pp. II35, 1146 . Clinton supposes him to have flourished from 690 to 660 b.c. But chronology cannot be framed out of disjointed statements of Plutarch and Pausanias.

A greater anachronism respecting Lycurgus is found in the fragments of Ephorus (Strabo x. 482, èvtvxóvta ס', ẅs фaテi rıves, kai
 teles, ii. p. 346).

## 

12. 8. 

The $\delta \dot{c}$ is not opposed to $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ at the end of the last sentence, $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$
 ning of the previous sentence, $\pi \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a \iota \delta$. The story, if any reason is required for the introduction of it, may be intended to explain how Philolaus a Corinthian gave laws for Thebes.

Of Onomacritus, Philolaus, Androdamas, nothing more is known: 12. In. of Zaleucus not much more. A good saying attributed to him has




 apophthegm which in Aristotle's phraseology (i. 11. § го) may be truly said 'to be of general application.' Stobaeus has also preserved (xliv. p. 289) numerous laws which are attributed to Charondas and Zaleucus. They are full of excellent religious sentiments, but are evidently of a late Neo-Pythagorean origin. The same remark applies still more strongly to the citations in Diodorus xii. c. 12 ff .



 ӓхрךотор.

The reference to Plato's communism in contrast with Phaleas' proposal of equality is not unnatural; but the allusion to three unconnected, two of them very trivial, points in the 'Laws,' is strange, and looks like the addition of a later hand. This whole chapter has been often suspected. It consists of miscellaneous jottings not worked up, some of them on matters already discussed. But mere irregularity and feebleness are no sufficient ground for doubting the genuineness of any passage in the sense in which
genuineness may be ascribed to the greater part of the Politics. The chapter may be regarded either as an imperfect recapitulation or as notes for the continuation of the subject. The story of Philolaus, and the discussion respecting Solon, are characteristic of Aristotle.
 arises from the insertion of the clause $\delta \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \theta \eta \nu \nu \dot{\prime} \mu o s$. The accusative may be explained as the accusative of the remote object


It may be remarked that Aristotle looks on the $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \delta \dot{\delta} \xi \operatorname{tos}$ as an

 $794 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}$ ) the ordinary use of the right hand only is regarded as a limitation of nature.

Cp. Plut. Solon 17. Another reference to Draco occurs in



## BOOK III.

##  <br> 1. 1.

The particle $\delta \dot{\delta}$ after $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ was probably omitted when the treatise was divided into books.

are a resumption of the opening words $\tau \bar{\varphi} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \pi 0 \lambda u r e i a s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi t$ бкотойvt. 'The legislator or statesman is wholly engaged in enquiries about the state. But the state is made up of citizens, and therefore he must begin by asking who is a citizen.' The clause $\tau 0 \hat{u} \delta \hat{\epsilon} \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \tau \kappa o \hat{v}$. . . $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$ is a repetition and
 the enquirer being more definitely described as the legislator or statesman.
 ̧eodar.
 those who share in legal rights, so that as a part of their legal rights they are sued and sue, as plaintiffs and defendants.'


1. 4. 

These words are omitted in the old translation and in several Greek MSS. and are bracketed by Susemihl (Ist ed.). If retained, they either I) refer to the remote antecedent $\mu$ ќтoוкои above, 'for the metics have these rights, and yet are not citizens,' whereupon follows the correction, 'although in many places metics do not possess even these rights in a perfect form.' Or $2^{*}$ ) they are only a formal restatement of the words immediately preceding (for a similar restatement, which is bracketed by Bekker, see iv. 6. § 3 ), and are therefore omitted in the translation. Other instances of such pleonastic repetitions occur elsewhere, e.g. infra c. 6. § 4, where



Aristotle argues that the right of suing and being sued does not make a citizen, for $a$ ) such a right is conferred by treaty on citizens of other states: (cp. Thuc. i. 77, кai є̀acroviuevol yàp èv raîs $\xi_{v \mu}$ -

 this right, which, as he proceeds to remark, in many places is only granted them at second-hand through the medium of a patron.

1. 5. ờx $\dot{a} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s ~ d \grave{\epsilon} \lambda i a v$.
$\lambda i a v$ qualifies and at the same time emphasises $\dot{d} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ : ' But not quite absolutely.'

I. e. doubts may be raised about the rights to citizenship of exiles and deprived citizens, but they may also be solved by the expedient of adding some qualifying epithet.

'This is a merely verbal dispute arising out of the want of a word; for had there been a common name comprehending both dicast and ecclesiast it would have implied an office.' Cp. Laws, vi. 767 A : ' Now the establishment of courts of justice may be regarded as a choice of magistrates; for every magistrate must also be a judge of something, and the judge, though he be not a magistrate, is a very important magistrate when he is determining a suit.'



tà iñoкeipeva. $\mathrm{I}^{*}$ ) 'the underlying notions' or 'the notions to which the things in question are referred,' i.e. in this passage, as the connexion shows, 'the forms of the constitution on which the idea of the citizen depends' (see Bonitz s. v.). 2) iлоккiцeva is taken by Bernays to mean the individuals contained under a class, and he translates 'where things which fall under one conception are different in kind.' But it is hard to see how things which are
different in kind can fall under one class or conception, and the meaning, even if possible, is at variance with the immediate context which treats not of citizens but of constitutions.



The logical distinction of prior and posterior is applied by Aristotle to states, and so leads to the erroneous inference that the perfect form of the state has little or nothing in common with the imperfect. So in Nic. Eth. i. 6. § 2, 'there are no common ideas of things prior and posterior.' The logical conceptions of prior and posterior have almost ceased to exist in modern metaphysics; they are faintly represented to us by the expressions ' a priori' and 'a posteriori,' or 'prior in the order of thought,' which are a feeble echo of them; from being differences in kind, they are becoming differences of degree, owing to the increasing sense of the continuity or development of all things.

## 

Yet not so truly as in Aristotle's own polity hereafter to be described, in which all the citizens are equal (cp. infra, c. 13. § 12 ). Democracy is elsewhere called a perversion (infra, c. 7. §5), but he here uses the term carelessly, and in a better sense, for that sort of democracy which is akin to the $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon$ ia.

кatà $\mu$ épos.

1. 10. 

Generally 'in turn,' but the examples show that the phrase must here mean 'by sections' or 'by different bodies or magistracies.'
 ràs díras.
ròv aù ${ }^{2}$, i. e. because in both these cases the administration of justice is taken out of the hands of the people and entrusted to the magistrates, either the same or different magistrates.

The oligarchies or aristocracies of Carthage and Sparta are here contrasted, not with each other, but with democracy. A minor difference between them is also hinted at: at Carthage there were regular magistrates to whom all causes were referred; at Lacedae-
mon causes were distributed among different magistrates. See note on ii. 11. § 7 .

The particle $\gamma$ àp implies an objection which is not expressed. 'But how, if our definition is correct, can the Lacedaemonians, Carthaginians, and others like them be citizens; for they have no judicial or deliberative assemblies.' To which Aristotle answers, 'But I will correct the definition so as to include them.' Finding àófuros àpx to be a definition of citizenship inapplicable to any state but a democracy, he substitutes a new one, 'admissibility to office, either deliberative or judicial.'

Namely, of that state in which the assembly or law-court exists.
2. 1. $\pi{ }^{2} \lambda \tau \tau \tau \hat{\omega}$.
'Popularly' or 'enough for the purposes of politics.' Cp. Plat. Rep. 430 C. So $\nu \neq \mu \kappa \bar{\omega}$ (viii. 7.§ 3), 'enough for the purposes of law.'

For rax' $\omega$ s Camerarius and Bernays needlessly read $\pi a \chi^{\prime} \omega s$.


入apıroomoloús.
$\dot{a} \pi \quad \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$. 'In doubt about the question who is a citizen ?'
$\delta \eta \mu \iota v \rho \gamma \omega \hat{\omega}$. Properly the name of a magistrate in some Dorian states. The word is used here with a double pun, as meaning not only 'magistrates,' but r) 'makers of the people,' 2) 'artisans.' The magistrates, like artisans, are said to make or manufacture the citizens because they admit them to the rights of citizenship.

There is also a further pun upon the word Aapıoraiovs, which probably meant kettles, or was used as a characteristic epithet of kettles derived from their place of manufacture :-
'Artisans make kettles. Magistrates make citizens.'
The sentence may be translated as follows:-'Gorgias, very
likely because he was in a difficulty，but partly out of irony，said that，as mortars are made by the mortar－makers，so are the Laris－ seans manufactured by their＇artisan－magistrates；for some of them were makers of kettles＇（Aápıб⿱宀八九 or Aapıraaioı）．

For the term eipavevo $\mu \mathrm{evos}$ ，applied to Gorgias，compare Rhet．iii．
 compare Távaypa Tavaypís，a kettle，（Hesych．，Pollux）；also an epi－ gram of Leonides of Tarentum（Anth．vi．305）：－




каі̀ $\chi u ́ \tau \rho \omega s$ кaì тàv єìpuxasiŋ̀ кù̀кка，




 Ditioa үàp $\dot{\eta}$ ко́tifoos．

द́évous kai סouidous $\mu$ eroikous．（See note on text．）
Mr．Grote，c．31．vol．iv．170．n．，would keep the words as they stand，taking $\mu \epsilon \tau 0 i k o v s$ with both $\xi \in$ evovs and $\delta o u$ indous．He quotes
 infers from the juxtaposition of the words סoundous $\mu \in \tau o i k o u s$, that they mean，＇slaves who，like metics，were allowed to live by themselves， though belonging to a master．＇That is to say $\mu$ érouko are spoken of in a general as well as in a technical sense．According to Xen．de Vect．2．§ 3，all kinds of barbarians were metics． Cp．for the general subject，Polit．vi．4．§ 18 ，where measures， like those which Cleisthenes the Athenian passed when he wanted to extend the power of the democracy，are said to have been adopted at Cyrene．Such a reconstruction of classes also took place at Sicyon under Cleisthenes the tyrant，who gave in－ sulting names to the old Dorian tribes（Herod．v．68）．



Aristotle means to say that what is true in fact may be false in
principle. These two senses of the words 'true' and 'false' were confused by sophistical thinkers. See Plat. Euthyd. 284, ff.
 such as we spoke of,'



A doubt is raised whether the $\dot{a} \delta i \kappa \omega s$ подı $\tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega \nu$ is truly a $\pi 0 \lambda i \pi \eta s$. The answer is that the $\dot{a} \delta i \kappa \omega s \tilde{a}_{\rho \chi \omega \nu}$ is truly an ${ }_{a}{ }^{\circ} \chi^{\omega \nu}$. But the
 may be rightly called a $\pi$ oגi $\tau \eta s$.
 legitimate citizens.'
 upon in $\mathbf{c} .1$. § $\mathbf{r}$, and resumed in the words which follow. The controversy concerning the de jure citizen runs up into the controversy respecting the de jure state, which is now to be discussed.



A question which has often arisen both in ancient and modern times, and in many forms. Shall the new government accept the debts and other liabilities of its predecessor, e.g. after the expulsion of the thirty tyrants, or the English or French Revolution or Restoration? Shall the Northern States of America honour the paper of the Southern? Shall the offerings of the Cypselids at Delphi bear the name of Cypselus or of the Corinthian state? Or a street in Paris be called after Louis Philippe, Napoleon III, or the French nation?




The mere fact that a government is based on violence does not necessarily render invalid the obligations contracted by it; at any rate the argument would apply to democracy as well as to any other form of government. Cp. Demosth. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Lambda \epsilon \pi \tau i v \eta \nu$, p. 460 , where it is mentioned that the thirty tyrants borrowed money of the Lacedae-
monians, which, after a discussion, was repaid by the democracy out of the public funds, and not by confiscation of the property of the oligarchs. Cp. also Isocr. Areopag. vii. 153, where the same story is repeated.
 3. 3.
E.g. the case of the Athenian $\kappa \lambda \eta p o 0^{2} 0$, who, while possessing land in other places, remained citizens of Athens; or of migrations in which a whole state was transferred; or possibly a dispersion like that of the Arcadian cities which were afterwards reunited by

 $\zeta \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \mathrm{\omega}$.
'When difficulties are raised about the identity of the state, you may solve many of them quite easily by saying that the word "state " is used in different senses.'
 3. 4.

 3. 5.
'Such as Peloponnesus would be, if included within a wall,'一


Cp. Herod. i. 191: 'The Babylonians say that, when the further parts of the city had been taken by Cyrus, those in the centre knew nothing of the capture, but were holding a festival.' Also Jeremiah li. 31 : 'One post shall run to meet another, and one messenger to meet another to show the king of Babylon that his city is taken at one end.'






 himself with the statesman or politician of whom he is speaking.








From the digression into which he has fallen respecting the size of the state, Aristotle returns to the original question, What makes the identity of the state? He answers in an alternative: Shall we say that the identity of the state depends upon the race, although the individuals of the race die and are born-like a river which remains the same although the waters come and go? Or is not the truer view that the form or idea of the state makes the state the same or different, whether the race remain or not? This latter alternative he accepts, illustrating his meaning by the simile of a chorus ( $\$ 7$ ), which may be Tragic or Comic, although the members of it are the same; and of musical harmony (§8) in which the same notes are combined in different modes.

This is the conclusion which Aristotle intends to draw from the
 general drift of the passage. But the alternatives $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu .$. érє́pav create an obscurity, because Aristotle begins by opposing the continuance of the race to the transitoriness of the individuals who are always going and coming, when he is really intending to oppose the idea of the state to both of them, §§ 7,9 .
$\delta i \dot{~} \pi \grave{\eta} \nu$ rotav́røy airiav. 'For the same reason as the rivers;' i. e. because there is an unbroken succession of citizens as of waters.

The argument is neither clearly expressed nor altogether satisfactory. For 1) the identity of a state consists in many things, such as race, religion, language, as well as government, and therefore cannot be precisely defined; 2) it is always changing for better or
for worse ; 3) whether the identity is preserved or not is a question of degree; a state may be more or less the same, like the English constitution, and yet be continuous in the course of ages. Aristotle would have done better to have solved this question by having recourse once more to the different senses of the word $\pi \pi^{j} \lambda t s(\S 4)$. Cp. iv. $5 . \S 3 ;$ v. $1 . \S 8$.




- For a state being a community, and a community of citizens
 modireias, when the form of this community changes, the state also changes ': or, if this construction is deemed harsh mòıreias, may be thought to have crept in from the next line, and may be omitted as in the English text.

The particle $\begin{array}{r}\mathrm{a} \rho \\ \text { implies assent to the second alternative (supra). }\end{array}$
'The sailor besides his speciail duties has a general duty, which 4. r, z. is the safety of the ship; the citizen has also a general duty, which is the salvation of the state-the nature of this duty will vary according to the character of the state. And besides the general duty citizens, like sailors, will have special duties and functions in the state, as in the ship.'



The last words are an explanation of $\kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ ä̀ $\lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \rho o ́ \pi o \nu$.
Two conceptions of the state are continually recurring in the Politics of Aristotle, first the ideal state, in which the best has a right to rule and all the citizens are good men: secondly, the constitutional state, which approaches more nearly to actual fact (ii. $2 . \S 6$; vii. 14. §§ 2-5). In the first, the good man and the sood citizen, or rather the good ruler, are said to coincide; in the second, they have a good deal in common, but still the virtue of the citizen is relative to the government under which he lives, and the occupation in which he is engaged.

These two points of view are apt to cross ( $̇ \pi a \lambda \lambda a ́ t \tau \epsilon l \nu$ in Aristotle's own language), and they appear to be here confused.
rol. ir.







The argument is that the perfect state is not composed only of perfectly good men; for such absolute goodness is incompatible with the different occupations or natural qualities of differm: citizens, or their duties toward the government under which they live. All the citizens are not the same, and therefore the one perfect virtue of the good man cannot be attained equally by all of them. But they may all have a common interest in the salvation of society, which is the virtue of a good citizen. The Pythagovean doctrine of the unity of virtue still lingers in the philosophy of
 $\delta а \pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~S} \delta \grave{\text { è какоi.) }}$

кगп弓os is here omitted by Bernays, because the slave is a pait of the oikia: but it may be observed that in i. 4. § $\mathbf{I}, k \pi \hat{\eta} \sigma t s$ is a subdivision of the oikia under which the slave is included.



Cp. Nic. Eth. vi. 5. §5 5 , where Pericles is spoken of as a type of
 of $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma$ ıs.






Aristotle having determined that the good citizen is not always a good man, now proceeds to ask the question whether some good citizens are not good men? Yes, the ruler must be a good and wise man; and the difference between him and other citizens is partly proved by the fact that he has a different education.
 go no further than education, even this should be different.' So


$\mu \dot{\eta} \mu о 九$ тà кó $\mu \psi{ }^{\prime}$.
4. 8.

The whole fragment, which appears to contain a piece of advice addressed to young princes, is given by Nauck, Eurip. Aeol. Fr. 16:-

Two points strike us about quotations from the poets which occur in Aristotle: 1) The familiarity with the words which they imply in the reader ; for they are often cited in half lines only, which would be unintelligible unless the context was present to the mind. We are reminded that the Greek like some of our English youth were in the habit of committing to memory entire poets (Plat. Laws vii. 810 E). 2) The remoteness and ingenuity of the application. For a similar far fetched quotation, cp . infra c. $5 . \S 9$.

 mo入ítou.
' If the good man and the good ruler are to be identified, and the subject is also a citizen, then the virtue of the good man is not coextensive with the virtue of all good citizens, but only with that of a certain citizen,' i.e. the citizen of a perfect state who is also a ruler, and therefore has a sphere for the employment of his energies, up. Nic. Eth. vi. 8. §4.



Another illustration of the difference in the nature of the ruler and of the citizen is contained in the saying of Jason, I) 'that he had no choice between starvation and tyranny, for he had never learned how to live in a private station'; or 2$)^{*}$ 'that he felt a sensation like hunger when not a tyrant ; for he was too proud to
live in a private station.' The two interpretations differ according to the shade of meaning given to $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu \tilde{\eta} \nu$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau a \dot{\mu} \mu \nu a s$.

The Jason here referred to is Jason of Pherae, the Tagus of Thessaly.

Another saying of Jason is quoted in Rhet. i. 12, 1373 a. 26,




1) Aristotle here lights upon a paradox, which he cannot resist mentioning, but does not pursue further. 'If the virtue of the good man is of a ruling character, but the virtue of the citizen includes ruling and being ruled, their virtues cannot [from this point of view] be equally praiseworthy, [for the grood man has one virtue only, the citizen two].'
2) Or the meaning may be, 'that the virtue of the good man being the virtue of ruling is higher than that of the citizen who only rules at times, or who obeys as well as rules.'
 way $=$ 'the citizen is more to be praised than the good man': according to the second, 'the virtue of the two, i.e. of ruler and citizen, are not equally praiseworthy'; in other words, the virtue of the good man is the higher of the two.

The whole passage is perplexed, not from any corruption of the text, but from the love of casuistry and a want of clearness in distinguishing the two sides of the argument.




Aristotle seems to mean that the citizen acquires a knowledge of the duties of both ruler and ruled, which are different. Since the ruler and the ruled must learn both, and the two things are distinct, and the citizen must know both and have a part in both, the inference is obvious. But what is this obvious inference we are uncertain:-either, $I)^{*}$ that some kind of previous subjection is an advantage to the ruler; or 2) that the citizen who knows both at


The sentence is awkwardly expressed and is perhaps corrupt. The change of à $\mu \phi \dot{\sigma} \tau \varepsilon \rho a$ into ä $\mu \phi \omega$ Ẽтєpa (Bernays) would give much the same meaning with rather less difficulty, ('since the two must learn different things, and the ruler and the ruled are not required
 not then to be taken in two senses, collective and distributive. It might be argued in-favour of Bernays' emendation that ả $\mu \phi$ úrefa $^{\prime}$ may have crept in from the $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi$ otepa in the next line; and against it that the two words ${ }^{\mu} \mu \phi \omega \tilde{\epsilon}^{z} \tau \epsilon \rho a$, the one having a collective, the other a distributive sense, are not happily combined.
$\S$ II seems to be intended as a summing up of $\S \S 8-10$. The thread of the argument is resumed at the words raírp $\begin{gathered}\text { qà } \rho \\ \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma o \mu \epsilon \nu\end{gathered}$ in § 14.

## 

 4. 1 I.is a digression introduced for the sake of distinguishing the d $\rho \times \dot{\eta}$ $\delta \epsilon \pi \pi \sigma \pi \kappa \bar{j}$ to which the preceding remarks do not apply, from the

 who is also a subject; for we must remember that there is a rule of the master over his slave with which we are not here concerned.'


$\delta i \dot{a}$, referring to $\dot{a} \nu \delta \partial \rho a \pi o \delta \hat{\omega} \delta \in s$ and the various kinds of menial duties in which the artisan class were employed, ' Because of their servile and degraded character.'

4. 13.
I. e. those who (like household servants) are subject to the rule of a master.
4. 13.
*'For if men practise menial duties, not only for the supply
of their own occasional wants, but habitually' (indicated by $\pi 0 \pi \epsilon^{\prime}$ ),
'there is no longer any difference between master and slave,' i.e.
the natural distinction of classes is effaced. It has been proposed
to read тóts $\mu^{\prime} \nu$, tóte $\delta \dot{\delta}$, instead of tò $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, còv $\delta \dot{\delta}$, 'for then the case
no longer occurs of a man being at one time master and at
another time servant'--an arbitrary emendation (Riese, Susemihl) which gives a poor sense.

An ancient proverb naturally attributed by tradition (Diog. Laert.
i. 60; Stobaeus xlvi. p. 308) to Solon. Cp. Plut. Apophth. Lac.


4. 16. кaì àvòpos $8 \grave{\eta}$ à $\gamma a G o \hat{u} a ̆ \mu \phi \omega$.

At first Aristotle appeared to draw an artificial line between the good citizen and the good man; but he now shifts his point of view. The good man may be supposed to have all virtue; he must therefore have the virtues both of the ruler and subject. although the virtue of the ruler is of a peculiar character, and the virtue of the subject, if he be a freeman, takes many forms. So the virtue of a man and of a woman differ in degree and even in kind, yet both are included in the idea of virtue.

Compare for the ideal of womanly virtue, Thuc. ii. 45 , $\uparrow \bar{\eta} s \tau e \gamma i p$





Cp. Plat. Rep. x. 601 D, E, where the distinction is drawn
 has $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \pi \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$, and where there is the same illustration from the



5. The discussion which follows is not unconnected with the preceding. For if, as has been assumed, a freeman or citizen is one who commands as well as obeys, then it would seem that the artisan or mean person, even though not a slave, must be excluded.
5. I. oüros $\gamma$ à $\rho$ тo入itys.



'But if the artisan is not included in the number of citizens where is he to be placed? He is not a metic, nor a stranger. Yet no real difficulty is involved in his exclusion any more than in that of slaves or freedmen.'

Siá $\gamma^{\varepsilon}$ roûrov ròv $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu=$ so far as this objection goes, viz. the implied objection that he has no place in the state.


##  <br> 5. 2.

'On the supposition that they grow up to be men.'


- But in respect to servile occupations'; either an anacoluthon
 $\lambda_{\text {tırovpyoũutes. }}$

The point is how to determine the position of the artisan or mean person. There is no difficulty in seeing that some who live in states are not citizens, but how is the mechanic to be distinguished from the slave? The answer is that the slave ministers to a single master, artisans and serfs belong to the state.


'What has been said at once ( $\phi a \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ) makes the matter clear.' It has been said that the best form of state will not admit the artisan class to citizenship ( $\$ 3$ ), and that the citizen will vary with the state (supra c. $1 . \S 9$ ), a remark which he repeats in what follows. - For there are many forms of states; virtue is the characteristic of aristocracy, wealth of oligarchy. Now although the mechanic or skilled artisan cannot have virtue, he may have wealth, and therefore he may be a citizen of some states, but not of others.'
$\pi \epsilon p i \operatorname{av} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$, sc. about the lower class.
 $\mu \in T \in \chi \in \iota \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \bar{\eta} s$.

Cp, infra vi. $7 . \S 4$, where the fact respecting Thebes is repeated. It is clearly for the common interest and for the security of the
state, that the passage from one class to another should be as easr: as possible under all forms of government. Such a power of extending, and including other classes is necessary to the very existence of an oligarchy or of an aristocracy, or even of a constitutional government. And the avenue by which the lower naturally pass into the higher is personal merit or fitness which ought to overcome circumstances and not beat helplessly against the bars of a prison. The gold which the god has implanted in a person of an inferior class should be allowed to find its place (Plat. Rep. iii. 415), even if we cannot degrade the brass or lead in the higher. The higher class too have governing qualities which pass into the lower, and they themselves receive new life and new ideas from the association.

$\xi_{\epsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \omega$ is partitive: 'The law goes so far as in addition to include some of the stranger class. Nevertheless, when there are citizens more than enough the law which extended, again contracts, the right.' For restrictions of population see Plat. Laws v. 740.

I.e. whose mothers were free women and their fathers not slaves (for this case has been already provided for in the words i s סoídov), but strangers or resident aliens.

The MSS. read aìt$\hat{\omega}$ : Schneider, following Perizonius, has changed $a i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ into $\dot{a} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, and the emendation is adopted by Bekker in both editions : but I) the word a $\sigma$ ros is of very rare occurrence in Aristotle; 2) it would be in awkward proximity to $\pi$ o $\lambda i$ inss: and 3 ) the change is unnecessary. Lit. 'they make only those of them (air $\omega \nu)$ citizens, who are children of citizens both on the father's and mother's side.' $a \dot{v} \tau \omega$, , though not exactly needed, is idiomatic.

## 

Quoted also in Rhet. ii. $2,1_{37} 8$ b. 33 . Compare for a similar application of Homer bk. i. 2. § 9. Aristotle has given a new turn to the meaning of a $\dot{\tau} i \mu \eta \tau o s=\tau \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \bar{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu$. But there is nothing singular in this ; for quotations are constantly cited in new senses.


$\tau \dot{o}$ тoloìto $=\tau \dot{o} \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$, i. e. the exclusion from office of certain classes is concealed in order to deceive the excluded persons. The reference is not to such cases as that of the 5000 at Athens, whose names were concealed for a political purpose (Thuc. viii. 92) ; but more probably to such deceptions as those of which Aristotle speaks in iv. 12. § 6 and c. 13 whereby the poor, though nominally citizens, were really deprived of their privileges because they had no leisure to exercise them. The intention was to trick them, but they were not dissatisfied; for they did not find out the trick. The English translation is defective, and should have run, 'the object is that the privileged class may deceive their fellow-citizens.'

Another way of explaining the passage is to place an emphasis on $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \nu o \kappa \kappa o i v \tau \omega \nu$, which is taken in the sense of 'fellow-colonists': 'the intention is to attract settlers by deceiving them into the belief that they will become citizens, when the rights of citizenship are really withheld from them.' (For examples of fraud practised by colonists on strangers or fellow settlers, see v. 3. §§ II-I3.) But the words refer to states generally and not merely to colonits.

> кükeivos. 5. 10.
 Bekker reads кảкєiขns, a correction of one MS. All the rest, and the old translator, read kákeivos. With either reading the meaning of the passage is much the same. 'Even where the virtues of the good man and the good citizen coincide (i. e. in the perfect state), it is not the virtue of every citizen which is the same as that of the good man, but only that of the statesman and ruler.' кáкєivos=каi


Lit. 'The state $[\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a]$ is the ordering of the powers of a state, and especially of the supreme power. The government [ $\pi 0 \lambda i \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a$ ] is this supreme power, and the state or constitution ( $\dot{\eta} \pi$ odıreia subj.) is what the government is. In democracies, for example, the people are the ruling power, in oligarchies the few. Accordingly
we say that they differ in their constitutions.' The three words mo $\lambda i \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a, \pi 0 \lambda \tau \tau e i a, \pi i \lambda t s$ have three primary gradations of meaning: 1) $\pi о \lambda i \tau \varepsilon \nu \mu a=$ the government, i.e. the persons through whom the government acts; modereia=the government administering and being administered, i. e. the state or constitution ; $\pi$ ótes $=$ the whole state including the government. But these senses pass into one another.


 the meaning of this word cp. note on ii. 3. §4.




Cp. Plat. Polit. $301 \mathrm{E}, 302 \mathrm{~A}$ : 'And when the foundation of politics is in the letter only and in custom, and knowledge is divorced from action, can we wonder, Socrates, at the miseries that there are, and always will be, in States? Any other art, built on such a foundation, would be utterly undermined,--there can be no doubt of that. Ought we not rather to wonder at the strength of the political bond? For States have endured all this, time out of mind, and yet some of them still remain and are not overthrown, though many of them, like ships foundering at sea, are perishing and have perished and will hereafter perish, through the incapacity of their pilots and crews, who have the worst sort of ignorance of the highest truths,-I mean to say, that they are wholly unacquainted with politics, of which, above all other sciences, they believe themselves to have acquired the most perfect knowledge.'


6. 8. örav 8 è roúr $\omega \nu$ eis үévpral kaì aủrós. auros refers inaccurately either to the trainer or to the pilot.
6. 9 . tì avitoù à àaóv.

The reflexive refers to the principal subject dévoirtes: but is
changed into the singular by the introduction of rora. Translated into the first person the sentence would run, 'Some one should now look after my interest as I looked after his when in office.' For the 'disinterestedness' of traders cp. Plat. Rep. i. pp. 345, 346.
niv $\delta$ é.
8. 10.
 men should rule and be ruled in turn was once the practice; but now from corrupt motives, they insist on ruling perpetually:'
 ф'роитоs.
The meaning of $\gamma$ à $\rho$ is as follows: 'Since there are perverted, as well as true states, there are states of which the members are not to be called citizens; or, if they were, they would partake of the common good.' For, as has been said at the beginning of the

 are those which regard the good of the governed.
 7. 3.

Of course in reality the first of the two etymologies is the true one, but Aristotle, like Plato in the Cratylus, regards the relation which the component parts of words bear to one another as variable. He is fond of etymological meanings and sometimes forces the etymology to suit the meaning, e.g. $\sigma \omega \phi p o \sigma i v m$, $\omega \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta o v a$,


 $10 . \S$ r.

The first of the two explanations of aptorokpatia is more in accordance not only with the principles of etymology but with the facts of history, if we take afporot in the sense in which the word would have been understood by Alcaeus or Theognis: the second answers best to Aristotle's ideal state.
толıtéa.
7. 3.
 ${ }^{\mu} \hat{i}^{\prime} \omega \nu$ modereia, a government based upon a property qualification ( $\hat{\eta} \nu$


калеiv). No example of the word $\tau$ тнокрatia occurs in the Politics. It is used by Plato in another sense=the government of honour ( $\dot{\eta} \phi i \lambda_{\dot{j}}$ тıноs $\pi \circ \lambda เ \tau \epsilon i a$, Rep. viii. 545 B).
modtreia originally meaning, as in Thucydides, any form of government, a sense which is continued in Aristotle, has also like our own word 'constitution ' a second and specific sense, apparently. coming into use in the age of Aristotle, though not invented by




8. The subject of this chapter is again referred to in iv. c. 4. The discussion which follows affords a curious example of the manner in which Aristotle after passing through a maze of casuistry at length arrives at the conclusions of common sense.

The MSS. have סtapopás ('That the already mentioned differences are the true causes,' a reading which gives a somewhat unusual sense to airias). The old translator has 'differentiae' in the genitive. Better to take סıa申opas as a genitive, making airias the predicate, and repeating the word with $\dot{p} \eta \theta$ tioas. 'And thus the so-called causes of difference are not real causes.' Bernays inserts modıreias after $\dot{\rho} \eta \theta_{\text {ei }}$ as without authority, and appears to translate the passage rather freely: 'And they cannot therefore create any form of constitution which can be specifically named.'

The argument is intended to show that the essential differences between oligarchy and democracy are not made by the governing body being few or many ( (ias ṕ $\eta$ өfiras aitias), but by poverty and wealth. It is an accident that the rich are few, and the poor many.

'And so it is; not however for all, but only for the equal.' Cp. Cic. de Rep. i. c. 34, 'Cum par habetur honos summis et infimis ipsa aequitas iniquissima est.' Burke, French Revol. (vol. v. p. Io6. ed. 1815), 'Everything ought to be open, but not indifferently to every man.'

9. 2.

Men think themselves to be as good or better than others, and therefore claim equal or greater political rights; e.g. they claim to exercise the franchise without considering whether they are fit or not. They can never see that they are inferior, and that therefore it may be just for them to have less than others: cp. below $\S 3$.

##  <br> 9. 3.

Lit. 'Since justice is distributed in the same manner (i.e. equally) over things and over persons.' тòv aútòv трómov is to be taken not with $\delta$ yip $\quad$ rat, but with the words which follow $=\hat{o} \mu a i \omega s$.


ois as above rò ois, the technical word for persons, lit. ' in relation to the whom.' Cp. Nic. Eth. v. 3. §§ 6, 7.



Either 1) ${ }^{*} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ is in apposition with $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \times a \tau o ̀ \nu \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ or with some more general word, such as $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ r \omega \nu$, understood ; or 2)
 those who originally contributed, or any subsequent generation of contributors. Cp. Burke, Ref. on F. R. (vol. v. p. i2I, ed. 1815), ' In these partnerships all men have equal rights, but not to equal things. He that has but five shillings in the partnership has as good a right to it as he that has five hundred pounds has to his larger proportion. But he has not a right to an equal dividend in the product of the joint stock.'

9.6.
ei $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ introduces the opposite side of the question. 'If a good life is the object, then the oligarch is wrong' (cp. above, $\S 5, \ddot{\omega}_{\sigma} \theta^{\prime}$
 what follows. For a similar anacoluthon cp. infra c. 12.§ 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 9.6. }
\end{aligned}
$$

 $\beta$ iov.

[^6]
9. 8. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ 入ózou $\chi^{\text {á } \rho \iota ~}$
 explanation of $\omega s \dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega}$, which it pleonastically emphasizes.

'For otherwise the state becomes' or 'would be.'

The construction is unsymmetrical, passing, as elsewhere, from the abstract to the concrete. 'A city is an alliance differing from any other allies [ $=$ alliances], who are at a distance, in place only: Or $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \ddot{u} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ may be taken with $\sigma \nu \mu \mu a \chi \iota \omega \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \ddot{\Delta} \pi n \theta_{\epsilon \nu} \sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha_{\chi} \omega \nu$ being epexegetic $=$ other aliances of which the members live apart.

An obscure rhetorician who is censured in the Rhetoric (iii. c. 3. $\$ \S \mathrm{I}-3$ ) for frigidity of style. It is also said that when set to make an encomium on the lyre he attacked some other thesis (Soph. Elench. c. 15, 174 b. 32), or, according to Alexander Aphroaisiensis, he began with the earthly lyre, and went on to speak of the constellation Lyra. Lycophron seems to have held the doctrine that 'the state is only a machine for the protection of life
 tas éuriv.

The opposite view is maintained in Burke, French Revolution (vol. v. ed. 1815: p. 184): 'The state ought not to be considered nothing better than a partnership agreement in a trade of pepper and coffee, calico or tobacco, or some other such low concern, to be taken up for a little temporary interest, and to be dissolved by the fancy of the partners. It is to be looked upon with other reverence, because it is not a partnership in things subservient only to the gross animal existence of a temporary and perishable nature.'





- As a confederacy is not a city, so a number of individuals uniting in the same manner in which cities form a confederacy, would not be a city, unless they changed their manner of life after the union.' The main distinction which Aristotle draws between the confederacy, in which many cities are united by a treaty, and the single city is that the object of the one is negative, of the other positive,-the one regards the citizens in some particular aspect, e.g. with a view to the prevention of piracy or the encouragement of commerce; the other takes in their whole life and education.
$\chi \rho \bar{\varphi}+о$ т $\hat{\eta}$ iठía oiкia $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ по́̀єь. I. e. 'If every man were lord in his own house or castle, and only made a treaty with his neighbours like the cities in a federation;' in other words, if the inhabitants of the common city had no social relations.

Bonboürtes is parallel with кoьvovoûvres, and in apposition with the nominative to $\sigma v \nu e ́ \lambda \theta o c e \nu$.

> кai $\delta u \gamma \omega \gamma a i ̀ \tau o u ̂ ~ \sigma v \zeta \grave{\eta} \nu$.
> 9. 13.

Nearly=тро́ти тou $\sigma u \zeta \zeta \bar{\eta} \nu$, 'pleasant modes of common life,' or more freely 'enjoyments of society,' not 'relaxations for the sake of society,' a construction not admissible in prose.

## 

10. I.

The argument of this chapter consists of a series of $\dot{a} \pi o \rho i a t ~ w h i c h ~$ may be raised against the claims of any one person or class to have the supreme power. The ámopiau are restated somewhat less sharply in the next chapter. They are indirectly, but not distinctly or completely, answered in the latter part of c. 13.

10. 1.

It is difficult to account for this sudden outburst of vivacity.



 in Tacit. Ann. i. 3.

The whole passage is a kind of suppressed dialogue in which two opposite opinions are abruptly brought face to face. No conclusion is drawn; the only inference being really the impossible one that all forms of government are equally baseless, because they are not
based on justice, and therefore in all of them abuse of power is possible.
10. 2. $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda เ \nu \tau \epsilon \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \lambda \eta \phi \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ к.т. $\lambda$.
$\lambda \eta \phi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu$ has been explained, either I) as neut. or 2 ) masc. Either i)* ' when everything, i.e. when all the property of the rich has been exhausted;' for this meaning of the word cp. iv. $4 . \S 8$; or 2 ) 'when all the citizens are taken together,' but this is a doubtful use of $\lambda \eta \phi$. Gévtov and does not give a good sense.

The passage is a reductio ad absurdum of the previous argument: 'When the many poor have taken all the property of the few rich, and the majority go on subdividing among themselves, the property of the minority will become smaller and smaller, and the state will be ruined.'

Or, expressing the same idea in numbers, let us suppose a state of 1000 citizens. If a mere numerical majority constitutes rightful sovereignty, 600 citizens may resolve,--and rightly, according to the hypothesis, - to confiscate the goods of the remaining 400 , and divide them among themselves. Thus 400 will cease to be citizens. Of the remaining 600,400 may go on to divide the property of the others, and thus the state becomes reduced to 400 and so on, till it disappears altogether.

It may be remarked that in all schemes for the division of property, the wealth which has been created under a system of accumulation is supposed to continue when the motives for accumulation have ceased. The poor are not fitted to govern the rich. But neither are the rich fitted to govern the poor. The truth is that no class in the state can be trusted with the interests of any other.

For the virtue of anything is that quality by which it fulfils its own proper ${ }^{\text {ép }} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$


'Even if we assume the law to rule and not the few or many, where is the difference? For the law may only represent the pre-
judices or interests of oligarchy or democracy.' Compare infra c. $11 . \S \S 20,21$.

##  <br> 11. I.

This passage has been thought corrupt. Two conjectures have been proposed, r) eimopià for àmopiav (but the sense which would be given to cimopia is not natural or idiomatic), and 2) the omission of $\lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \theta \theta a l$ or $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ kai, the latter words being thought to be suggested by the mention of a $\dot{\pi} \pi o p i a v$, or to be a corruption of $\dot{a} \lambda j \theta \epsilon a \nu$. There is a want of order in the thourht, but the same disorder







The combination of qualities in the multitude is compared to the combination of qualities in the individual: e.g. in a statue or picture of which the features taken separately may be far excelled by others, but when combined make a better portrait, because they are adapted to one another. (Cp. Plat. Rep. iv. 420 C, D, ff.) Thus the multitude may be supposed to have a generalized excellence, and to be superior as a whole. This rather doubtful principle is not of universal application $[\S 5]$. We must presuppose the many to be good citizens and good men (infra c. 15. §9).

Contrast the opposite view of Plato (Rep. vi. 493 A, B), in which he describes the multitude under the figure of a great beast, a view which is modified by his apology for them in Rep. vi. 498-500.

Compare the saying of Goethe : 'Nothing can be more certain than that this great Public, which is so honoured and so despised, is almost always in a state of self-delusion about details, but never or hardly ever about the broad truth (das Ganze).'

Yet we may also make the opposite reflection, that a few wise men when they meet and act together are apt to fall short of the average intelligence of mankind: a Ministry of All the Talents may have less sense than any man in it-a coalition may never coalesce-

VOL. 11 .
individuality may be too much for unity; or unity may only be enforced by the strong will of a single person.


'Assuredly,' retorts the opponent, or Aristotle himself, struck by an objection which had not previously occurred to him, 'this principle cannot be true of all men. For it would be a reductio al absurdum to say that it was true of beasts, and some men are no better than beasts.'

Admitting the objection Aristole still maintains that his doctrine of 'collective wisdom' is true of some men, though not of all. He proceeds to argue that deliberative and judicial functions may be safely granted to the many, and cannot be safely denied to them; but that it would be dangerous to entrust them with high office.
 aùroús.

The sentence is an anacoluthon; it has been forgotten that no words such as cixós évtiv or àváy" have preceded, and that they cannot be easily gathered from the context.

## 

Cp. Nic. Eth. vi. 10.§ 2, where the distinction is drawn between

 ii. 40, where Pericles, speaking in the name of the Athenian

11. 10, II. Aristotle is now stating the other side of the argument:- The physician is a better judge than he who is not a physician. Anl it must be remarked that under the term "physician" is included 1) the higher sort of physician, 2) the apothecary, and 3) the intelligent amateur whether he practises medicine or not. In all of thest there exists a knowledge which is not to be found in the mant: Apply this principle to the art of politics. Even in the choice of magistrates the well-informed man, whether he be a statesman or
not, is better able to judge than the mulitude.' This argument is then refuted in what follows, § 14.

The context is rendered difficult by the correction of the word 'artist,' for which Aristotle substitutes 'one who has knowledge' ( $\$ \mathrm{~S}$ II, I2). For the distinction between the $\delta \eta \mu$ ovopos and the
 attends the slaves, is humorously distinguished from the doctor who attends freemen. And for the notion of the iócitns latpos



 sense equal, if not superior to that of the artist himself, which is possessed by the many.

Without pretending that the voice of the people is the voice of God, it may be truly said of them, i) that they are free from the lipercriticism which besets the individual ; 2) that they form conclusions on simple grounds; 3) that their moral principles are gencrally sound ; 4) that they are often animated by noble imjulses, and are capable of great sacrifices; 5) that they retain their luman and national feeling. The intelligent populace at Athens, though changeable as the wind (Thuc. ii. 65; Demosth. 383, of $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 fits of panic and fanatical fury (Thuc. vi. 27), were also capable of entertaining generous thoughts (Id. iii. 49), and of showing a wise moderation (Id. viii. 97), and in nearly every respect were superior to their oligarchical contemporaries, far less cunning and cruel (Id. iv. 80), and far more willing to make sacrifices (Id. i. 74) for the public interest.

The more general question which is here suggested by Aristotle, § II, ' whether the amateur or the artist is the better judge of a work of art or literature' is also worthy of attention. It is probable that either is a better judge than the other, but of different merits or excellences. The artist e.g. may be expected to be the best judge of points in which a minute knowledge of detail is required; the amateur has the truer sense of proportion because he compares
many works of art and is not under the dominion of a single style. He judges by a wider range and is therefore less likely to fall into eccentricity or exclusiveness.

See infra at the beginning of c. 12.



Aristotle seems here to have fallen into the error of confounding the collective wealth of the state with the wealth of individuals. The former is the wealth of a great number of persons which may be unequally distributed and in infnitesimally small portions among the masses, thus affording no presumption of respectability or education; whereas the wealth of the individual is the guarantee of some at least of the qualities which are required in the gool citizen. Cp. infra c. $13 . \S \S_{4}$, 10 .

That is to say the certainty that any single individual or class, if dominant, will infringe upon the rights of others renders it indispensable that the law should be above them all. Cp. c. 10. s.
12. According to Bernays (Transl. of Pol. I-III, p. 172) c. 12 and 13 are a second sketch of the same discussion which has been commenced in c. 9-11 and is continued in c. 16 and 17. But though in what follows there is some repetition of what has preceded, e.g. c. $12 . \S \S \mathrm{I}, 2$ and c. $13 . \S 2$ compared with c. $9 . \S \$ \mathrm{I}, 2$ c. $13 . \S 1$ and c. $9 . \S \mathrm{F}_{14}, 15$, and c. 13 . § 10 with c. $11 . \S 2$ ff. the resemblances are not sufficient to justify this statement. In c. 13 new elements are introduced, e.g. the discussion on ostracism: and the end of c. 11 in which the supremacy of law is asserted! (§20) has no immediate connexion with c. 14 in which the forms: of monarchy are considered; while the transition from the end of c. 13 , in which the claim of the one best man to be a monarch is discussed, is not unnatural.

Again, as in c. $9 . \S 6$, the apodosis appears to be lost in the length of the sentence. It is also possible to gather it from the

be as follows: 'Seeing that the end of the state is "justice" which is the common good, etc., and is also equality between equals, of whom or what is this equality or inequality?'

12. I.







That is to say, If different qualities can be compared in the concrete, they can be compared in the abstract, and degrees of difference can be compared even when two things differ in kind. If a tall man can be compared with a virtuous, then virtue can be compared with height, and all degrees of height and virtue can be compared. But his is impossible, for they have no common measure. Qualifies can only be compared when they have a common relation, such as virtue and wealth have to the state.
ci $\gamma^{\prime} \rho \mu \overline{0} \lambda \lambda o \nu$, for if we begin by saying that size in the concrete can be compared with wealth and freedom then we cannot avoid saying the same of size in the abstract: which is absurd.'

The bearing of this argument on the general discussion is as follows: Aristotle is explaining the nature of political equality which can only exist between similar or commensurable qualities and therefore between persons who possess such qualities: in the case of the state for example only between qualities or persons which are essential to the state, not between such as are indifferent, not between flute-playing and virtue, but between virtue and wealth.

## 

12. 9. 

r) freedom and wealth . . 2) justice and valour.

13. 1.

In a certain sense even the government of virtue is a perversion, if we could suppose the virtuous to govern for their own interests and to disregard those of others (cp. infra $\S \$ 10,20$ ). At any rate rirtue is not the only element required in a state.

'The common or inclusive element of the state,' 'an element in which all are concerned'; or, if the phrase be modernized, 'the land is a great public interest.'

The word is here used nearly as in rò koù̀̀ = 'public' or - common': elsewhere in the sense of 'comprehensive,' 'general;' (Nic. Eth. ii. 2. § 2); applicable to the larger or more inclusive class. the more popular constitution (supra ii. 6. §4), the more generally useful branch of knowledge (Rhet. i. 1, 1354 b. 29).



 ümápXn Xpóvov, $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ sioplatéov.
'There is no difficulty in determining who are to be the governing body in an oligarchy or aristocracy or democracy; for the nature of these is really implied in the name. The difficulty arises only when the few and the many and the wirtuous are living together in the same city: how are their respective claims to be determined? For any of them, carried out consistently, involves an absurdity:


'How are we to decide between them; or how are we to arrange the state having regard both to virtues and number?' For $\delta$ ieneiv



' Must we consider their fewness relatively to their duties, and whether they are able to govern a state, or numerous enough to form a state of themselves?'











Aristotle here raises the question whether the laws shall be enacted for the good of all or of a privileged class when several chasses exist together in a state. He answers that the laws must be equal, and this equal right, or law, means the principle which conduces to the good of the whole state.
 fests the co-existence of classes in a state, and to $\S 4$, which contains a more formal statement to the same effect.
2) Bernays alters the punctuation by enclosing inoooṽa ... $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ in a parenthesis explanatory of $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{d} \pi o p i a v$. This gives a sufficient sense; but a short clause at the end of a sentence following a long parenthesis is not in the manner of Aristotle.
 Beגtov к.т. $\lambda$., not 'when all the elements co-exist,' but 'when the whole people is better and richer than the few.'



The virtue here spoken of seems to be the virtue of the kind attributed by Thucydides viii. 68 to Antiphon, viz. political ability, and the characters who are 'out of all proportion to other men' are the master spirits of the world, who make events rather than are made by them, and win, whether with many or with few, such as Themistocles, Pericles, Alexander the great, Caesar, and in modern times a Marlborough, Mirabeau, Napoleon I, Bismarck.

The legend is preserved by Apollodorus (i. 9. § 19). According to him the ship Argo, speaking with a human voice, refused to
 Bapos. This agrees with the text of the Politics if the word ayfu is taken to mean 'convey,' 'take on board,' as in Soph. Phil. 90r,
 would not row with his comrades, because he was so far superior to them in strength.:

Cp. Herod. v. 92, who reverses the characters, the advice being given not by Periander to Thrasybulus, but by Thrasybulus to Periander ; and Livy i. 54 : also Shakes. Rich. II. act iii. sc. 4:-
' Go thou, and, like an executioner, Cut off the heads of too fast-growing sprays That look too lofty in our commonwealth.'



Because all governments rest on the principle of self-preservation, and at times extreme measures must be allowed.

In this passage there is a doubt about the reading, and also about the construction. Several MSS. read $\boldsymbol{r o}$ к $\kappa \omega \lambda \hat{u} \epsilon \nu=$ ' have the same effect in respect of putting down the chief citizens.'

If we retain the reading of Bekker's text, it is doubtful whether $-\tau \bar{\varphi}$ кодovicu I) is to be taken after tìv aitìv (Bernays), or 2 ) ${ }^{*}$ is the dative of the instrument. To the first way of explaining the words it may be objected that $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ kodovielv must then be referred to the particular instance of the counsel of Periander, whereas ostracism has been just asserted to be general, and to represent the policy of oligarchy and democracy as well as of tyranny. 'It bas the same effect with the "lopping off" the chief citizens.'
13.18-23. It can hardly be supposed that the legislator who instituted ostracism had any definite idea of banishing the one 'best man who was too much for the state. The practice seems to have arisen out of the necessities of party warfare, and may be regarded as an attempt to give stability to the ever-changing politics of a Greek state. It certainly existed as early as the time of Cleisthenes, and is said to have been employed against the adherents of Peisistratus. Every year on a fixed day the people were asked if
they would have recourse to it or not. If they approved, a day was appointed on which the vote was taken. To ostracise any citizen not less than 6000 citizens must vote against him. We may readily believe, as Aristotle tells us ( $\$ 23$ ), that 'instead of looking to the public good, they used ostracism for factious purposes.' Aristides, according to the well-known legend, was banished because the people were tired of his virtues. Themistocles, the saviour of Hellas, was also ostracised (Thuc. i. 137). The last occasion on which the power was exercised at Athens was against Hyperbolus, who was ostracised by the combined influence of Nicias and Alcibiades. Other states in which the practice prevailed were Argos (v. 3. § 3), Megara, Syracuse, Miletus, Ephesus.

13. 19.

For the Samians, cp. Thuc. i. 116; for the Chians, Thuc. iv. 51; for the Lesbians, Thuc. iii. 10.


$1)^{*}$, 'as far as the application of this principle of compulsion is concerned, there is nothing to prevent agreement between kings and their subjects, for all governments must have recourse to a similar policy' (cp. note on § 16). roûro $\delta \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ refers to the whole passage: sc. if they use compulsion for the benefit of the whole state.
Or 2), 'there is nothing to make the policy of kings differ from that of free states.' It is an objection, though not a fatal one, to this way of taking the passage that rais $\pi \delta \lambda \in \sigma \omega$ then occurs in two successive lines in different senses.

13. 22.

The meaning is that where the superiority of a king or government is acknowledged, there is a political justification for getting a rival out of the way.


See note on text. 'Nay, more; a man superior to others is like
a god, and to claim rule over him would be like claiming to rule over Zeus.' The words $\mu$ efiłoortes tàs àpxàs may refer either 1)* to the Gods or 2 ) to men; either 1)* 'as if in making a division of the empire of the Gods' according to the old legend, they, i.e. the gods, should claim to rule over Zeus; or 2) more generally, 'as if when persons were distributing offices they should give Zeus an


 Plat. Polit. 301 D, 303 B.

Bernays translates $\mu$ epi Govess $^{\prime}$ upon the principle of rotation of cffices,' but no such use of $\mu$ epi'sev occurs.


oì xípıos, sc. ó $\beta$ aridéśs, supplied from $\dot{\eta}$ ßaaticía. We have a choice of difficulties in the interpretation of the words which follow. Either 1) èv $\tau \omega n$ ßaciגéa must be explained 'in a certain exercise of the royal office,' i.e. when the king is in command of the amy. This way of taking the passage gives a good sense and the fact is correct ; but such a meaning cannot be extracted from the Greck. Or 2), 'for a king has no power to infict death, unless under a certain form of monarchy'; Aristotle, writing in a fragmentary manner, has reverted from the kings of Sparta to monarchy in general. Or 3)*, possibly the words Èv riv ßaaideiq, bracketed by Bekker, are a clumsy gloss which has crept into the text, intended to show that the remark did not apply to every monarchy, but only to the Spartan. The conjecture of Mr.
 supported by the citation from Homer, is too far removed from the letters of the MSS; and there is no proof that the Spartan kings had the power of putting a soldier to death for cowardice.
ì $\chi$ रefods vóue is often translated 'by martial law.' But the comparison of passages in Herodotus (e.g. ix. 48) and Polybius (iv. $58 . \S 9$, etc.) shows that the word vópes is only pleonastic,
 blow.'
 14. 5.

I1. ii. 391-393. These lines which are rightly assigned here to Agamemnon are put into the mouth of Hector in Nic. Eth, iii. 8. §4. nàp $\gamma$ àp íuol távaros.
14. 5 .

These words are not found either in this or any other passage of our Homer, though there is something like them in Iliad, xv. 348 :-
 aủrov̂ oi Gávatov $\mu \eta \tau i \sigma o \mu a ̀ ~ к . т . \lambda . ~$
The error is probably due, as in Nic. Eth. ii. $9 . \S 3$ and iii. $8 . \S 4$, to a confused recollection of two or more verses. For a similar confusion of two lines of Homer cp. Plat. Rep. 389 E.
 катà vómov кù̀ $\pi a \tau \rho ı к а i ́ . ~$

The MISS. vary greatly: The Milan MS. reads rupavvioı kai kará,
 other MSS. preserve traces of the same reading. Others read mapa$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i \omega s \tau v \rho a \nu \nu \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu . \quad$ Out of these Bekker has extracted the Text, in which however $\tilde{\sigma}_{\mu \omega s}$ seems to be unnecessary and to rest on insuf-


For the distirguishing characteristics of nations, see Book vii. 14. 6 . 7. $\$ 1$ 1-4.



8ià rìv aì $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ airiav. 'Because the form of government is legal.'
The omission of the article before $\xi \in \nu \iota \kappa \dot{\nu}$ emphasizes the opposition between of mo入irat and $\xi \in \nu / \kappa \dot{o} \nu-$ 'their own citizens' are contrasted with ' any mercenary body.'

т̀̀ какота́трıд́a.
14. 10.

Either on analogy of cĩaatpos,* 'the base born,' or possibly 'the injurer of his country,' like kakóSov ${ }^{\prime}$, ' the maltreater of his slaves.'




Cp. v. $10 . \S \$ 7-9$, where royalty is said to be based on merit;
and i. 2. § 6, where it is assumed to have arisen from the Patriarchal relation: and for what follows vi. $8 . \$ 20$, where the ministers of Public Sacrifices are called Kings or Archons.

The kings who became priests retained only the shadow of royalty; but where they held military command beyond the borders, the name might be applied with greater propriety.




кaтà $\mu$ 'pos, not ' by rotation in a fixed order,' (as in iv. 14. § 4) but more simply, 'by a succession of one citizen to another.' It is implied, though not expressed, that they are chosen by vote:



Three MSS, read ка日' nipeciv instead of karà $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho o s$. It is more likely that каG' aüpeav is a gloss on кarà $\mu \hat{\epsilon}$ pos, than the reverse.
 єỉos $\eta$ madzefias.
'Is a legal, rather than a constitutional question,' ' is to be regarded as a matter of administration.' fiठos vó $\mu \nu \nu \hat{\mu} \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{\eta} \pi o \lambda ı \tau e i a s ~ i s$

ciioos (like фúous i. 8. § 10 , vópos iii. 14. § 4) is pleonastic as in
 of an instrument.'

After reducing the different forms of a monarchy to two, he now rejects one of them,-namely, the Lacedaemonian, because the Lacedaemonian kings were only generals for life, and such an office as this might equally exist under any form of government. This is a strange notion; for although the kings of Sparta were not generally distinguished, it can hardly be said with truth that Archidamus or Agesilaus were no more than military commanders.
à $\phi$ eí $\theta \omega$, sc. roîro rò ciioos.
$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \nu$ is to be taken adverbially in the sense of 'to begin with 'or'at once': so rì raxistry, (Dem.). The phrase also occurs

 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \tau \eta$. Aristotle refers to the Lacedaemonian kings again in v. $11 . \S 2$, and to the life generalship, c. $16 . \S \mathrm{I}$, infra.

This passage is closely connected with a similar discussion in 15. 3 ff . Plato's Politicus 293-295, where the comparative advantages of the wise man and the law are similarly discussed, and the illustration from the physician's art is also introduced. Cp. also Rhet. i. 1354 a. 28, where Aristotle argues, besides other reasons, that the law is superior to the judge, because the judge decides on the spur of the moment.

$$
\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \text { тєт } \bar{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \circ \nu, \quad 15.4 .
$$

Sc. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\rho} \rho a \nu=\mu \epsilon \div a ̀$ rì̀ $\nu \epsilon \tau a ́ \rho \tau \eta \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ p a \nu$. The MSS, vary between $\tau \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \tau \rho \sigma \nu$ and $\tau \in \tau \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \in \rho о \nu$.


 ठei kupious.
aitóv, sc. тò $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ ßov̀єvó $\mu \in \nu=\nu$, incorrectly translated in the text 'a king: ' better, ' whether you call him king or not ' there must be a Jugisiator who will advise for the best about particulars.
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \mu \dot{\eta}$ кıpious $\hat{y}$ mapeкßaìovoty is a qualification of what has preceded:-'although they have no authority when they err,' i.e. there must be laws and there must be cases which the laws do not touch, or do not rightly determine. This is one of the many passages in Aristotle's Politics in which two sides of a question are introduced without being distinguished. The argument would have been clearer if the words $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \mu \dot{\eta} . . \delta \delta \hat{\imath}$ kupiovs had been omitted. Aristotle concedes to the opponent that there must be a correction of the law by the judgment of individuals. In fact both parties agree 1) that there must be laws made by the legislator; 2) that there must be exceptional cases. But there arises a further question: Are these exceptional cases to be judged of by one or by all?

The supposition contained in the words $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \not \approx \sigma \omega s . . . \kappa \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \iota o \nu$ is repeated in a more qualified form in the sentence following, $\boldsymbol{a} \tau$ رì тoívev. . . kupious.
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Compare the saying 'that the House of Commons has more good sense or good taste than any one man in it;' and again, Burke, 'Besides the characters of the individuals that compose it, this house has a collective character of its own.'

It is true no doubt that the passions of the multitude may sometimes balance one another. But it is also true that a whole multitude may be inflamed by sympathy with each other, and carried away by a groundless suspicion, as in the panic after the mutilation of the Hermae, or the trial of the generals after the battle of Arginusae, or the English Popish Plot, or the witch hunting mania at Salem in Massachusetts, or the French reign of Terror; and commonly in religious persecutions.



That is to say aristocracy, or the rule of several good men, is better than the rule of one-we may leave out the question of power, if only it be possible to find the many equals who will constitute this 'aristocracy of virtue.' In other words, the superiority of the aristocracy, who are many, to the king, who is one, does not simply consist in greater strength.
ounoious, 'equal in virtue to one another,' an idea which is to be gathered from the mention of aparookparia in the preceding clause,
 § 1 I.

Yet in v. 12 . § 14 he repudiates the notion of Plato that the state changes into oligarchy, because the ruling class are lovers of money. Royalty, aristocracy, oligarchy, tyranny, democracy-the order of succession in this passage-may be compared with that of Plato (Rep. viii. and ix)-the perfect state, timocracy, oligarchy, democracy, tyranny. The order in which constitutions succeed to one another is discussed in Nic. Eth. viii. 10.



Here as elsewhere iv. 6. § 5, he accepts democracy not as a good but as a necessity, which arises as soon as wealth begins to flow and tradesmen 'circulate' in the agora, vi. 4. § 13 ; and the numbers of the people become disproportioned to the numbers of the governing class.

15. 15.
 that 'there need be no disagreement between a king and his subjects, becausc he is sometimes obliged to use force to them.' Or, according to the other mode of interpreting the passage, 'here is no difference between a king and a free state because' \&c.
ô،óvaa toroútous.
15. 16.

Either 1)* with emphasis 'so many and no more'; or better 2)

 many as would not make him dangerous.'

Nearly the whole of this chapter is a series of imopiat; as in c. $\mathbf{1 8}$. 15, Aristotle states, without clearly distinguishing, them.
 functions, and on the battle-field has arbitrary power, is not really the same with ó karà עópoy ßavi入eús.



```
'With a somewhat more limited power than at Epidamnus.'
```

$$
\text { \&okeí } \delta e ́ ~ \tau \iota \sigma \iota v . \quad 18.2 .
$$

Fither the construction may be an anacoluthon, or $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ after $\delta o \kappa \kappa \hat{i}$ may mark the apodosis.



Aristotle, taking the view of an opponent of the $\pi a \mu \beta a \sigma i \lambda e i a$,
asserts that equals are entitled to an equal share in the government; there is justice in their ruling and justice in their being ruled: and therefore in their all equally ruling by turns. 'And here law steps in; for the order of their rule is determined by law:'




$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ к.т. $\lambda$. 'But surely if there are cases which the law cannot determine, then neither can an individual judge of them.'
rà $\lambda o \iota \pi a ́$, what remains over and above law.
The connexion of the whole passage is as follows: Instead of one man ruling with absolute power, the law should rule, and there should be ministers and interpreters of the law. To this it is answered that the interpreter of the law is no more able to decide causes than the law itself. To this again the retort is made, that the law trains up persons who supply what is wanting in the law itself, to the best of their judgment.



This is a reflection on the $\pi \alpha \mu \beta a \sigma i \lambda c u s$. The rule of law is the rule of God and Reason : in the rule of the absolute king an element of the beast is included.

The reading of $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \nu o \hat{\nu} \nu$ (instead of $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \nu o \rho^{\prime} \nu \nu$ ), which has the greater MS. authority, gives no satisfactory sense because it transposes the natural order of ideas. It has been therefore rejected. Schneider and Bekker, and Edit., who are followed in the text, retain ruv $\nu \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \nu$ in the beginning of the clause and read rò $\theta$ 的 $\nu$ kai rov vouv $\mu o ́ \mu o v s$, a very ingenious and probable emendation, partly derivel from a correction $\nu_{0} \hat{\nu} \nu$ which is found in the margin of two or three MSS. instead of $6 \in \dot{o} v$.
 رégov.
' And so, because men cannot judge in their own case, but are impelled this way and that, they have recourse to the mean, which is the law:'




The defects of written law are supplied not only by the judgments of individuals but by tradition and precedent. In any comparison of the judgments of law and of individuals, these have to be reckoned to the credit of law. And in early times this unwritten law is more sacred and important than written. Hence arises an additional argument against the superiority of the individual to the l.w. For the importance of unwritten law cp. Thuc. ii. 37, rêv $\tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i$





## тои̃тад т̀̀ тро́tov.

18. 9. 

Referring to the words which have preceded—кatà rò $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o v a s ~ c i v a l ~$


In the whole of this passage Aristotle is pleading the cause of $16.9-13$. the law against absolute monarchy. He shows that the law is not liable to corruption, that its deficiencies are supplied by individuals, that it trains up judges who decide not arbitrarily but according to a rule, that many good men are better than one. But the monarch too must have his ministers; he will surround himself by his friends, and they will have ideas like his own. Thus the two approximate to a certain extent. In either case the rulers must be many and not one. But if so it is better to have the trained subordinates of the law than the favorites of a despot.

Even in the $\pi \alpha \mu \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i a$ there is an element of equality. $\dot{\delta} \mu i \omega s$ either 1) 'equally with himself'; or 2) with a slight play of words 'after the manner of equals.'
‘i $\mu \dot{\eta}$ т то́тоу тєvá.
17. 2.

To be taken after a $\mu \mu_{i \nu \omega \nu}$ 'better in a certain manner, i. e. the imaginary and rather absurd case, to which he returns in $\S 5$, of the YOL. II.
virtue of the individual being more than equal to the collective virtue of the community.

The reading of Bekker, кai $\tilde{E}$, which is wanting in the best MSS. and is omitted by Bernays, may have arisen out of the termination of $\pi$ 白фuкev. If they are retained the meaning will be 'in which there is likewise a single ' or 'compact body, defined by their all carrying arms' (ii. $6 . \S 16$, etc.) as other forms of government by virtue, wealth, etc.

The citizens of a polity are here called eiँжoooc, 'respectable' or 'upper class,' though a comparatively low qualification is required of them (iv. 3. § I ; 9. §3). They are 'the hoplites' (ii. 6. § I 6 ) who are also elsewhere called eïropot (vi. 7. § i). toîs simópous is found in the better MSS.: al. amópois.
17. 6. ờ $\mu$ óvov . . . à̉גà кат̀̀ tò $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \in ́ v$.
' He has a right to rule not only on the general ground which is put forward by all governments, but also upon the principle which we maintain, that he is superior in virtue.'


'This miraculous being cannot be asked to be a subject in turn or in part, for he is a whole, and the whole cannot be ruled by the parr.' The double meaning of $\mu$ épos is lost in English. The idealization of the whole or the identification of the perfect man with a whole of virtue is strange. Cp. Nic. Eth. viii. 10. §2. rov̂ro=tò єivar $\pi$ âv,

Bekker's insertion of kai ă $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ after ä $\rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ (ed. sec.) is unnecessary. The idea is already implied in the previous words. Under any of the three forms of government, the virtue of obedience is required in some, of command in others.



The views of Aristotle respecting the relation of the good citizen to the good man may be drawn out as follows:-

1) The good citizen is not the same with the good man in an ordinary state, because his virtue is relative to the constitution (c. 4. §3).
2) But in the perfect state he is the same: and this appears to be upon the whole the principal conclusion (c. 18. § $\mathbf{I}$, and iv. 7. §2).
3) Yet even in the perfect state the citizens cannot all conform to a single type of perfection; for they have special duties to perform and special virtues by which they perform them (c. 4. §§ 5,6 ).
4) It is therefore the good ruler who is really to be identified with the good man ( $\$ 7$; also i. 13 . § 8 , where the subject is introduced for the first time).
5) And still a 'grain of a scruple may be made'; for if the good ruler be merely a ruler, the private citizen who knows both how to rule and how to obey will have more complete virtue.
6) And therefore in the perfect state the citizens should rule and be ruled by turns (§ ir), cp. vii. c. g.
This seems to be the result of many scattered and rather indistinct observations made from different points of view and not arranged in a clear logical order.

These words are removed from the end of this book by Bekker, who in his Second Edition adopts the altered arrangement of the Looks. See Essay on the Structure of Aristotle's Writings.

## BOOK IV.

1. 2-6. The statesman has four problems to consider,
1) What is the best or ideal state?
2) What state is best suited to a particular people?
3) How any given state, even though inferior to what it might be, may be created or preserved?
4) What is the best state for average men?
5) is the best possible ; 2) the best relatively to circumstances; 3) neither the best possible nor the best under the circumstances, but any constitution in which men are willing to acquiesce, even though ill-provided and ill-administered-such are to be found in the world and must therefore enter into the consideration of the statesman ; 4) the best for mankind in general.

 MSS. authority, but $\epsilon \sigma \tau$ is required for the construction, and the recurrence of $\tilde{\epsilon} \tau c$ which was the first word of the sentence at the end of it is unpleasing.

Explained in the text, with Susemihl, *' not possessing the outward means necessary for the best state,' but the words 'for the best state,' are not found in the Greek. Better ' not possessing the common necessaries or simple requisites of life,' a hard but not impossible condition, e.g, in a remote colony, Cp. c. 11. § 2 I ,





Although the language is inaccurate (for the Lacedaemonian is an 'existing' constitution), the meaning is plain. 'They put aside their own constitution and praise the Lacedaemonian or some other.'


 Aáve九 той $\mu a \nu \theta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ é $\xi$ à $\rho \chi \bar{\eta} s$.
'The legislator should introduce an order of government into which the citizens will readily fall, and in which they will be able to co-operate; for the reformation of a state is as difficult as the original establishment of one and cannot be effected by the legislator alone, or without the assistance of the people.'
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\nu} \pi a \rho \chi o v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu(\mathrm{sc} . \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \omega \nu)$ may be taken either with $\tau \dot{a} \xi \iota \nu$ or with кov $\omega \nu \epsilon i \nu$, either we ought to introduce I) 'from among existing constitutions'; or 2 ) 'in passing out of existing constitutions that

конш山civ is the reading of the majority of MSS. Some have кıveiv. The emendation kiðєiv [Susemihl], taken from 'consequi' in the old Latin translation, is an unnecessary conjecture ; nor does the word occur commonly, if at all, in Aristotle ; kaı open to the objection of introducing a special when a general word is required. But no change is really needed.
 is difficult: Aristotle seems to mean that the legislator should select a constitution suited to the wants of the people: for however good in itself, if unsuited to them, they will not work it, and he will have as great or greater difficulty in adapting it than he would originally have had in making one for which they were fitted.
 Fon $\theta$ eiv.

We may paraphrase as follows: Therefore, i. e. because it is difficult to introduce anything new in addition to what has been said [about the highest and other forms of government by the unsatisfactory political writers mentioned in §5], we ought also to
be able to maintain existing constitutions, [which they would get rid off.

There is nothing in what has preceded, which precisely answers to this formal reference. §4 may perhaps be meant.

This is true of Plato, who is probably intended under this general form. For the anonymous reference to him cp. i. 1. § 2, ofoo $\mu \leqslant \nu$ olourat к.т..., and c. 2. § 3 infra.
1.8. $\sigma v \nu t \theta_{\text {evral }} \pi \sigma \sigma a \chi \hat{\omega}$.

That is to say, either I) the different ways in which the judicial and other elements of states are combined; or 2) the different ways in which the spirit of one constitution may be tempered by that of another: for the latter cp. infra c. $5 . \S \S 3,4$; c. $9 . \S \S 4-9$.

'And what is the end of each individual form of society?' i.e. whether or not the good of the governed (cp. iii. c. 6).
ixáarns, with the article following, is emphatic.
кotrovia is the state under a more general aspect.

 'are separated from those things which show the nature of the constitution'; i. e. they are rules of administration and may be the same under different constitutions; but see infra § ir. Or 2), the genitive is partitive: 'Laws are distinct and belong to that class of things which show the nature of the constitution.'
 $\pi \rho \partial ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{̀} s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \omega \nu \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon s$.

Either 1), 'we must know the differences of states (sc. modictiô ) and the number of differences in each state, with a view to legis-
 and supplying $\pi 0 \lambda\left\langle\tau \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu\right.$ with $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta_{\mu} \dot{\nu}$, , the difference of each state and the number of states;' or 3 ), $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\partial} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\rho} \ell \theta \mu \partial \nu$ means 'the order of classification' (Susemihl ; cp. iii. 1. $\S 9$, where the defective (corrupt)
states are said to be 'posterior' to the good states). This gives a good sense, but is with difficulty elicited from the words.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2. 1. }
\end{aligned}
$$

 c. 7. See Essay on the Structure of Aristotle's Writings.


He seems to mean that in discussing the ideal state he has already discussed Aristocracy and Royalty. But the discussion on the ideal state has either been lost, or was never written, unless, as some think, it is the account of the state preserved in Book vii,

Other allusions to the same discussion occur in what follows:

 тoís $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \eta\rangle \nu$ dipactokpariav, a passage which is supposed to refer to vii. i.e. iv. c. 8 and 9 , by those who change the order of the books (Susemihl, \&c.). But in this latter passage the allusion to the perfect state is very slight, and the point of view appears to be different ; for no hint is given that it is to be identified with royalty or aristocracy. Whether the words of the text have a reference, as Scllosser supposes, to the end of Book iii. c. 14-18, where Aristotle discusses the relation of the one best man to the many good, is equally doubtful. A reference to the discussion of aristocracy in some former part of the work also occurs infrac. 7. § 2, «pøcтokpariay


##  <br> 2. I.

' For royalty and aristocracy, like the best state, rest on a principle of virtue, provided with external means.'

## 

2. 3. 

Not 'when we are to consider a constitution to be a royalty,' for there is no question about this, but vouit $\xi_{\epsilon \nu v}$ is taken in the other sense of 'having,' 'using,' ' having as an institution,' like $u$ tor in Latin. For this use of the word cp. voui $\xi_{\epsilon \nu} \epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a v$, iii. $1 . \S 10$; and for the matter cp . iii. 17. §§ 4-8.





Royalty and tyranny both depend upon the individual will of the king or tyrant: hence it is argued that if royalty is the best, tyranny must be the worst of governments, because one is the preeminence of good, the other of evil. Aristole, who is overmastered by the idea of opposites, naturally infers that the very worst must be the opposite of the very best.
 but Aristotle substitutes the more general modıreia here, as elsewhere, used in a good sense. Compare infra c. 8. § 2, тedeuraioy

 the general meaning, Plat. Polit. 301 D, Rep. ix. 576 D, etc.


The difference between Plato (Polit. 303) and Aristotle, which is dweit upon so emphatically, is only verbal: the latter objecting to call that good in any sense, which may also be evil, a somewhat pedantic use of language, which is not uniformly maintained by
 $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \in$.
kai $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ is a strange form of citation from Plato which would seem more appropriate to a later generation than to Aristotle. See Essay on the Criticism of Plato in Aristotle.
2. 4-6. The programme corresponds fairly, but not very accurately, with the subjects which follow. At chap. 14, before discussing the causes of ruin and preservation in states, having analysed in general outline the various types of oligarchy, democracy, polity, tyranny, Aristotle introduces a discussion respecting the powers and offices which exist in a single state : but of this new beginning which interrupts the sequence of his plan he says nothing here.
3. I. The diversity of governments has been already discussed, but not in detail, in bk. iii. c. 6-8.

 Tìे àpıттokpatiav.

The parts of the state are spoken of in vii. 8. § 7. The opening sentence of book vii. itself also professes to speak of aristocracy. But the writer goes on to treat rather of the $\dot{v} \pi 0 \theta^{\prime}$ ' $\sigma$ ets or material conditions of the best state, than of the best state itself. These ruferences are vague ; if they were really the passages here cited, we should have to suppose that the seventh book preceded the fourth. But they are not precise enough to be adduced as an argument in farour of the changed order.
'As the parts of states differ from one another ( $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu a \dot{i} \hat{\omega} \nu$ ), so must states differ from one another.' Compare the curious comparison infra c. 4. §§ 8, 9 .




The last words, кowin $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \mu \phi o \hat{i}$, , which are obscure and do not cohere very well with ©ivvaupv, are bracketed by Bekker in his and cdition. But there is no reason for doubting their genuineness. Aristotle means to say that governments subsist according to the powers of those who share in them; or according to equality, whether that equality be an equality of the rich among themselves, or of the poor among themselves, or an equality of proportion which embraces both rich and poor: cp. infra c. 4. § z. The words

 áqoiv, as in the English text, may be an explanation of ioórnтa коитív.
 poor as being the more numerous class, or to the rich as being the more wealthy; or power may be given upon some principle of compensation which includes both;' as e.g. in a constitutional government. In this way of explaining the passage the difficulty
in the words $\dot{\eta}$ kounin rw' ${ }^{\prime} \mu \phi o i v$, which has led Bekker to bracket them, is avoided.
3. 7. For the winds compare Meteorologica ii. 4, 36 x a. 4 ff ., a pas. sage in which Aristotle argues that north and south are the chief winds because wind is produced by craporation and the evaporation is caused by the movement of the sun to the north or south. Also for the two principal forms of government cp. Plato's Laws iii. 693 C : according to Plato they are democracy and monarchy.




Aristotle having compared the different forms of states with the different sorts of harmonies, now blends the two in one sentence. and corrects the opinion previously expressed by him: 'There are not two opposite kinds of harmonies and states, but one or at the most two, $\delta$ voiv $\hat{\eta}^{\mu} \mu \hat{a}_{s}$ (the two states are royalty and aristocracy). which are not opposed but of which all the rest are perversions.' From this transcendental point of view polity or constitutional government itself becomes a perversion; but in c. $8 . \S$ I it is said not to be a perversion, though sometimes reckoned in that class.

According to Herod. iii. 20, the Ethiopians are the tallest and most beautiful of mankind: and they elect the tallest and strongest of themselves to be their kings.

It is argued that neither freedom alone, nor numbers alone are a sufficient note of democracy, nor fewness of rulers, nor wealh of oligarchy: neither a few freemen, as at Apollonia, nor many rich men, as at Colophon, constitute a democracy. But there must be many poor in a democracy and few rich in an oligarchy. A slight obscurity in the passage arises from the illustrations referring only to democracy and not to oligarchy. Cp. iii. cc. 7,8 ; infra c. 8.87.

Aristotle would not approve a classification of states such as that of Sir G. C. Lewis and the school of Austin, who define the sovereign power according to the number of persons who exercise
it (cp. G. C. Lewis' ‘ Political Terms,' Edit. $18{ }_{77}$, p. 50). An opposte siew is held by Maine, who argues truly 'that there is more in actual sovereignty than force' (Early Institutions, p. 358 ff.). Aristole insists that the character of a government depends more on the qualily than on the quantity of the sovereign power.

4. 5 .

Possibly the war with Gyges mentioned in Herod. i. 14. The Colophonians like the other Ionians (Herod. i, 142) appear to have been the subjects of Croesus at the time of his overthrow. A testimony to their wealth and luxury is furnished by Xenophanes apud Athenaeum xii. c. 31.526 C , who says that a thousand citizens arrayed in purple robes would meet in the agora of Colophon.




It is remarkable that Aristotle should revert to the parts of states which he professes to have already determined when speaking of aristocracy (cp. c. 3. § 4). His reason for returning to them is that he is going to make a new sub-division of states based upon the differences of their parts or members.




The illustration from animals may be worked out as follows. 4.8. Suppose the different kinds of teeth were $a, a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime \prime}$, etc., the different kinds of claws, feet, etc. were $b, b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime \prime}, c, c^{\prime}, c^{\prime \prime}, c^{\prime \prime \prime}$, and so on with the other organs which are important in determining the character of an animal. Then, according to Aristotle, the different combinations of these will give the different species. Thus:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{\prime}, b, c^{\prime \prime} \text {, will be one species, } \\
& a, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime \prime} \text {, another and so on. }
\end{aligned}
$$

So with constitutions:-
If we combine $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma o i$, having some political power and coming occasionally to the assembly, with disfranchised 及ávaveor, and a politically active wealthy class, the result will be an oligarchy or
very moderate democracy: or if we combine politically acive $y \epsilon \omega p y o i$, $\beta$ ávavoun, $\theta \bar{\eta} \tau \epsilon \varepsilon$ with a feeble or declining oligarchy, the result will be an extreme democracy: and so on.

It is hardly necessary to remark that the illustration taken from the animals is the reverse of the fact. The differences in animals are not made by the combination of different types, but by the adaptation of one type to different circumstances. Nor is there in the constitution of states any such infinite variety of combinations as the illustration from the animals would lead us to suppose; (one kind of husbandmen with another of serfs and so on). Xor does Aristotle attempt to follow out in detail the idea which this image suggests.
4. 9-17. The eight or more classes cannot be clearly discriminated. The sixth class is wanting, but seems to be represented by the judicial and deliberative classes in § 14 , yet both reappear as a ninth class in § 17. Aristotle is arguing that Plato's enumeration of the clements of a state is imperfect-there must be soldiers to protect the citizens, there must be judges to decide their disputes, there must be statesmen to guide them (although it is possible that the same persons may belong to more than one class). 'Then at any rate there must be soldiers' ( $\$ 15$ ). This rather lame conclusion seems to be only a repetition of a part of the premisses. At this point the writer looses the thread of his discourse and, omitting the sixth, passes on from the fifth class rò $\pi \rho 0 \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma 0 \nu$ in § 10 to a seventh class of rich men ( $\$ \mathrm{I}_{5}^{5}$ ), and to an eighth class of magistrates ( $\$ \mathbf{1} \mathbf{6}$ ). A somewhat different enumeration of the classes, consisting in all of six, is made in vii. 8 . $\$ \$ 7-9$.

The criticism of Aristotle on Plato (Rep. ii. 369) in this passage, to use an expression of his own, is $\pi a \Delta \delta \alpha p i \omega \dot{\delta} \eta \mathrm{~s} \boldsymbol{\lambda i a v}$. Plato, who was a poet as well as a philosopher, in a fanciful manner builds up the state; Aristotle, taking the pleasant fiction literally and detaching a few words from their context, accuses Plato of making necessity, and not the good, the first principle of the state, as if the entire aim of the work were not the search after justice. There is also an ambiguity in the word avaزкaia of which Aristotle

here takes advantage. Plato means by the avayxaoraith modts, 'the barest idea of a state' or 'the state in its lowest terms.' But when Aristotle says juiges are 'more necessary' than the providers of the means of life, he means 'contribute more to the end or highest realization of the state.' The remarks on Plato are worthless, yet they afford a curious example of the weakness of ancient criticism, arising, as in many other places, from want of imagination. But apart from the criticism the distinction here drawn between the higher and lower parts, the 'soul' and 'body' of the state, is important. Cp. vii. $9 . \S$ ro, where Aristotle introduces a similar distinction between the $\mu$ f́p of the $\pi$ órıs and the mere conditions ( |  |
| :---: |
| $\nu$ |
| oik ävev $)$ of it . 'Husbandmen, craftsmon, and | labourers of all kinds are necessary to the existence of states, but the parts of the state are the warriors and counsellors.'


4. II.

Here evidently the title of the book.

4. I2.

Equally with rò $\kappa a \lambda \not \partial \nu$.

4. 14 .
ínє grammatically refers to $\tau \dot{o} \beta$ ßovicí $\sigma \theta a \iota$, suggested by $i \dot{\prime}$ Bovдevóóevov.

$\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau=\tau a ̀$ a $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$, gathered from тà rocav̂זa in § 14.
 the lower elements of a state are both necessary parts of it, then the warriors (who may in some cases also be husbandmen) are necessary parts : Aristotle is answering Plato, § 13, who in the first enumeration of the citizens had omitted the warriors.

|  | 4. 16. |
| :---: | :---: |
| sc. тò mepì tàs àpxás. |  |

$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i s$.
4. 18.

1) 'To many' or 'in many cases' opposed to áavtes in what follows; or $2^{*}$ ) nod $\lambda$ ois may be taken with סokei, the meaning being 'many (differing from Plato) think, etc.'; the appeal is to the common sense which Plato is supposed to contradict.
1.88 ARISTOTLE'S POLITICS.

The connexion is as follows:-'Different qualifications often coexist or are thought to coexist in the same persons; and indeed virtue is a qualification for office to which all men lay claim. But no man can be rich and poor at the same time.'
 is a repetition with a slight verbal alteration (ồà tivas aitins for $\delta i{ }^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \nu$ airiav) of the first words of $\$ 7$.

I. e. from what has been said respecting differences in the parts of states (supra $\S \S 7,8$ ). Yet the curious argument from the parts of animals is an illustration only; the actual differences of states have not been worked out in detail.

Susemihl (note 1199) objects that there are no others and so the freedmen must be meant. But surely in this phrase Aristotle is merely adding a saving clause $=$ ' and the like.' Cp. Nic. Eth.

 the preceding.

Sc. eion, here used inaccurately for differences or different kinds of ciồn.

 Lit. 'the things which are spoken of according to the same principle of difference with these,' or 'similar differences having a relation to these,' e.g. the habits and occupations of the notables.

If the reading imápXei is retained, the emphasis is on the words ${ }_{\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \nu}^{\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu}$ which must be taken closely with it, 'that the poor shall be no more - which is a feeble way of saying, shall have no more power-'than the rich'; or 'shall have no priority,' which gives a rather curious sense to $i \pi \pi \alpha_{\chi} \epsilon \nu$. A doubt about the propriety of
the expression has led to two changes in the text. 1) inepéxety (Susemihl) for which there is slight MS. authority, P1, P4; and Aretino's transl. 2) äpxecv an emendation of Victorius adopted by Coraes, Schneider, Stahr, and supposed to be confirmed by a parallel passage in vi. 2. § 9 ; see note on English Text. 3) The (lld Translation 'nihil magis existere egenis vel divitibus' seems


> ìпнократiav єival тaúrךv. 4.2.3.
rairmv is slightly inaccurate $=$ 'the state in which this occurs.'

4. 24.

Five forms of democracy are reckoned: but the first of these is really a description of democracy in general, not of any particular form. The words in $\S 24 a \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda_{0} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ seem to have been introduced by mistake. The five forms are thus reduced to four, as in c. 6 the five forms of oligarchy given in c. 5 appear as four.




The words öroo ávorevtuvol agree with roís àvunevtivous karà rò
 recapitulation of the passage which follows (c. 6. §4). In both cases all citizens are eligible and the law is supreme : but in the first of the two the rights of citizenship have been scrutinized; in the second, all reputed freemen are admitted to them without enquiry. The latter case may be illustrated by the state of Athenian citizenship before the investigation made by Pericles; the former by the stricter citizenship required after the change. The meaning of the
 Givous karà rò $\left.\gamma^{\prime} v o s\right)$ to be, ' not proved to be disqualified by birth.'



It would be a poetical or historical anachronism to suppose that Homer in the words cited intended one of the senses which Aristotle seems to think possible. The collective action of states as distinguished from that of individuals is the conception, not of a
poet, but of a philosopher. No modern reader would imagine that Homer is seeking to enforce any other lesson than the necessity of having one and not many leaders, especially on the field of battle. This anti-popular text is adapted to the argument.

For use of gen. after kpiveiv cp. Plat. Rep. 576 D, Laws i. 646 D. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a \nu(\pi o \lambda \iota r \epsilon i a$ here $=\pi о \lambda i \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a$ ) is contrasted as 'the collective government' with ai apxai, 'the individual magistrates.' Yet in the context, both preceding and following, the word has the more general meaning of a 'form of government' or 'constitution.'

rovirav, 'out of all the qualified persons,' all those referred to in



In what follows the dynastio is the exclusive hereditary oligarchy, ruling without law.
5. 2. For the forms of these hereditary oligarchies and the dangers to which they are exposed, cp. v. 6. § 3. We may remark that, though the most common, they are not included in Aristolle's definition of oligarchy (iii. c. 8).

Not accurate, for the meaning is, not that the two encroach on one another, but that the dominant party encroaches on the other.

The form of a constitution is here supposed to be at variance with its spirit and practice. Thus England might be said to be a monarchy once aristocratically, now democratically administered; France a republic in which some of the methods of imperialism survive (cp. note on c. 1.§8); while in Prussia the spirit of absolute monarchy carries on a not unequal contest with representative government.

## 

Omitted by $\square^{2}$ (i. e. the MSS. of the second family except $P^{5}$ ) and Aretino's translation, bracketed by Bekker in both editions, is a repetition or pleonasm of the previous thought, though not on that
account necessarily to be reckoned spurious. Cp. iii. $1 . \S 4$ and note.

## 

6. 3. 

- The principle of election which follows next in order' (cp.c. 4.


 passages. The other interpretation of exo $\chi \begin{gathered} \\ \prime\end{gathered} \eta$, given in a note to the English text, 'proper to it' is scarcely defensible by examples and is probably wrong. The first form of democracy required a small property qualification, the second admitted all citizens who could prove their birth. The third admitted reputed citizens without proof of birth; though in both the latter cases the exercise of the right was limited by the opportunities of leisure. For the laxity of states in this matter, cp . iii. 5. §§ $7,8$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { B. } 4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The public revenues could not be distributed, for there were none to distribute, cp. infra §8. The want of pay prevented the people from attending the assembly.

6. 5 .

Either $\mathrm{I}^{*}$ ) 'on account of the preponderance of their numbers,' or 2) more definitely 'on account of the preponderance of the multitude'; (cp. c. 12. § I and iii. $15 . \S$ 13). The numbers of the people give the power and the revenues of the state provide pay.


The more numerous the members of the oligarchy, and the greater the difficulty of finding the means of living, the less possibility is there of the government of a few and therefore the greater need of law; cp. infra § 9 .


'When numerous, and of a middle condition, neither living in careless leisure nor supported by the state, they are driven to maintain in their case (aivois) the rule of law.'
6. 9. $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$,


Sc. they make the law oligarchical.

'But when they stretch (the oligarchical principle) further.'

Either r)* in his works on Politics, meaning especially the Republic (as in v. 12.§7, ìv $\tau \bar{\eta} \Pi_{0} \lambda_{\text {refia }}$ ) and Politicus; or 2) in his treatment of the various forms of government, i.e. in Books viii. and ix. of the Republic. The latter explanation is less idiomatic. Without referring to the Republic or the Politicus, the statement is inaccurate; for if the perfect state be included, the number of constitutions is in the Republic five, in the Politicus (302) seven.




The discussion is apparently the same to which he has already referred in iv. 2. § I : the particle fà seems to imply that he had in that discussion spoken of aristocracy as the government of the truly good. The passage most nearly corresponding to the allusion is iii. $4 . \S 4$ ff., in which Aristotle treats of the relation of the good ruler to the good man.
7. калоиิ้таı àpıттократial.

According to a strict use of terms aristocracy is only the government of the best; in popular language it is applied to the union of wealth and merit, but is not the same either with oligarchy or with constitutional government.



Cp. Plat. Laws xii. 951 : 'There are always in the world a few inspired men whose acquaintance is beyond price, and who spring up quite as much in ill-ordered as in well-ordered cities.'

Elsewhere (ii. 11. §9) the constitution of Carthage is spoken of as a perversion of aristocracy because combining wealth and virtue ; here it is called in a laxer sense an aristocracy because it combines wealth, virtue and numbers. That Sparta wih all its secrecy ( $\tau \hat{\jmath} s$ rodıreias rò kpuntóv, Thuc. v. 68) might be termed a democracy and, with all its corruption and infamy, had a sort of virtue ( $\tau \grave{o} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \partial ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s$, Id. i. 68) is the view, not wholly indefensible, of Aristotle, who regards the Spartan constitution under many aspects, cp. ii. $9 . \S \S 20,22$, and infra c. $9 . \S 5$, but chiefly as consisting of two elements, numbers and virtue.



The want of symmetry in the expression $\epsilon$ is $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \in$ кai $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o \nu$,
 probably arises out of a desire to avoid tautology.

 $\mu \bar{\lambda} \lambda о \nu$.

There are three imperfect kinds of aristocracy beside the perfect
 of Carthage, in which regard is paid to virtue as well as to numbers and wealth; 2) those in which, as at Sparta, the constitution is based on virtue and numbers; 3) the forms of constitutional government ( $\pi$ òıreia) which incline to oligarchy, i.e. in which the governing body is small.




 $\tau \epsilon \omega \nu$, and this to the singular $\pi a \rho \epsilon \epsilon \beta a \sigma \iota \nu$.


'Now that we understand what democracy and oligarchy are, it is easier to see what the combination of them will be.'

Men tend to identify nobility with wealth (cp. infra §8), not unreasonably, for wealth gives leisure, and in the second generation commonly education. For $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \gamma \epsilon \in \varepsilon \epsilon a$, see Rhet. i. 5, 1360 b. 3 r.



The words $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ тov $\quad$ pokparou $\dot{\epsilon} v \eta \nu$ (omitted in the translation) are read by all the MSS. (and supported by W. de Moerbeke), and therefore though pleonastic are unlikely to be a gloss. If retained we must
 governed by good men, or well governed by evil men.' 2) We may alter the order of words by placing $\mu \dot{\eta}$ before àpıттокрarov $\mu$ émp, instead of before $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \nu \nu o \mu \epsilon i \sigma \theta a c ~ ( T h u r o t , ~ S u s e m . ) . ~ O r ~ 3 ) , ~ w i t h ~ B e k k e r ~}$










Sc. $\tilde{\sigma} \sigma \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.

Sc. modıreia. Preserving the play of words and supplying
 cities the form of the constitution is called constitutional.' But are there 'many' such governments? Cp. supra c. $7 . \S$ I; infra c. 11. §19. For the answer to this question see Essay on the $\mu$ ' $\sigma$ m $\pi$ одıreia, \&c.

' It is called by a neutral name, e.g. a constitution or commonwealth, for it is a mixture which aims only at uniting the freedom
of the poor and the wealth of the rich; enevefpias answering to


As in some other summaries of Aristotle the first division seems 9. 1-4. to be a general description of those which follow. (Cp. supra note on c. 4. § 24.) We cannot distinguish between I and 3 , unless in one of them we suppose Aristotle to have in his mind a syncretism of two general principles of government (see $\S 6$ ), in the other an eclectic union of elements taken from different governments.

$$
\sigma \dot{v} \mu \beta о \lambda_{0} \nu .
$$

9. 10. 

Something cut in two and capable of being put together, so that the parts fitted into one another; a die or coin or ring thus divided, which friends used as a token when desirous of renewing hospitality on behalf of themselves or others, and which was also used in







9. 2.
'For either they must take the legislation of both.' These words are resumed in cis $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oỉv oûtos tov̀ $\sigma v \nu \delta \partial a \sigma \mu o \hat{v}$ тpómos and followed by $\ddot{\epsilon} \tau e \rho o s \delta_{\epsilon}$ instead of repeating $\eta{ }_{\eta}$.
The first case is a union of extremes, the second a mean taken between them; the third seems to be only another example of the first.

9. 6.

From the democratical aspect a polity or timocracy has the appearance of an oligarchy or aristocracy; from the oligarchical aspect, of a democracy. Aristotle cites as an example of this manysidedness the constitution of Lacedaemon, which he himself elsewhere (c. 7. § 4) calls an aristocracy, but which in this passage he acknowledges to have many features both of a democracy and of
 $\chi$ ф́pas.

I.e. 'The people choose the elders, but are not eligible them. selves; and they share in the Ephoralty.' Whether they elected the Ephors is nowhere expressly said. We are only told that the mode of election was extremely childish (ii. 9.§23).

Tyranny is and is not a form of polity, in the sense in which the






Either 'royalty* commonly so called,' or 'the most truly called royalty,' which would seem to be the $\pi a \mu \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i a . ~ C p . ~ i i i . ~ c . ~ 16 . ~$

Two slightly different senses are here combined in $\delta \in \hat{i}, \mathrm{I}$ ) 'what we ought to establish,' and 2), incorrectly, 'how or by what means we may or must establish it.'

Sc. iii. 14. §§6-Io. The two forms of tyranny there mentioned are the hereditary monarchy of barbarians, and the Aesymnetia of ancient Hellas. The barbarian monarchs are here called elected sovereigns, though before spoken of as hereditary (iii. 14.§6), and contrasted with the elected Aesymnetes of ancient Hellas, with whom they are here compared.

Not 'because their powers in a manner change into one another, and pass into royalty; 'for the words 'change into one another' would not be a reason why they should be spoken of in connexion with royalty, but 'because the power of either of these forms of tyranny easily passes likewise into royalty;' likewise i.e. besides being forms of tyranny. For the use of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi a \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota, \mathrm{cp} . \mathrm{vi} .1 . \S 3$, and i. 6. § 3 .
10. 4. тобаїта $\delta$ ià тàs єip $\quad$ fuévas aitias,
ti, putyas, sc. in the previous sentences. 'There is more than
one kind of tyranny, because the tyrant may rule either with or without law, and over voluntary or involuntary subjects.'

Aristotle now proceeds to speak of the best average constitution 11. to which he alluded in c. 1. § 5 .
 тихєì $\mu \epsilon \sigma$ óт $\dagger$ тos.
The gen. $\mu \epsilon \sigma$ ótpros is a resumption of $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \nu$, and depends on Biov. Here, as in Nic. Eth. ii. $6 . \S_{7}$, the mean is admitted to be relative.

11. 5.
(i$\mu \phi$ órepa, sc. either 1) *'their rogueries and their unwillingness to perform public duties, whether military or civil,' or 2) simply 'their dislike both of civil and military duties.' It is possible also
 in which case the words $\tilde{e r}_{\tau} \iota \ldots$. a appovat are either inserted or misplaced.

The фúrapxo at Athens were the cavalry officers under the intapoor. See Liddell and Scott. The term is also sometimes used to denote civil magistrates, as in v. 1 . § in to describe the oligarchical rulers of Epidamnus. Bovגapxeiv literally $=$ 'to be a chief of the senate.' The word very rarely occurs, and can here only have a generalized meaning. William de Moerbeke, apparently finding in some Greek MS. фìapरoù $\iota$, translates by an obvious mistake, 'minime amant principes et volunt esse principes.' For the association of political inactivity with the idea of crime, cp . Solon's law forbidding neutrality in a sedition (Plut.







'So that a city having [like and equal] citizens, who in our view are the natural components of it, will of necessity be best ad.


' Many things are best to those who are in the mean;' or as we might say in modern phraseology, 'The middle class have many advantages.' Cp. Eur. Suppl. 238-245:--

à $\nu \omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon t o ́ v \omega \nu \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \hat{\rho} \omega \sigma^{3}$ à $\epsilon^{\prime}$
 $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o i, ~ \nu \epsilon ́ \mu о \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau \varphi ̣ ̂ ~ \phi \theta o ́ v \varphi ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s, ~$




(Quoted by Oncken, ii. 225, note i.)

The passage referred to may be that quoted by Plutarch :. Solonis, c. 3,



In classing Solon with the middle rank Aristotle appears to be thinking only of the tradition of his poverty and of the moderation inculcated in his poems. He has ignored or forgotten the tradition of his descent from Codrus.

The feebleness of the argument is striking ; because Lycurgus, who was the guardian and is said also to have been the uncle of the king, was not a king, he is here assumed to be of the middle class! Cp. Plut. Cleom. 10, perhaps following this passage, viv oi



is inconsistent with himself; for he also says (Lyc. 3) that Lycurgus reigned for eight months, and resigned the royal office when the infant Charilaus was born.





Cp. Thuc. i. 19, 76, 99, 144, iii. 82 and elsewhere.
 $10 \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ тais $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \boldsymbol{c}$ the states of Hellas generally.


The variety of opinions entertained by commentators respecting the person here alluded to, who has been supposed to be Lycurgus (Zeller), Theopompus (Sepulveda), Solon (Schlosser), Pittacus (Goettling), Phaleas (St. Hilaire), Gelo (Camerarius), the king Pausanias II (Congreve), Epaminondas (Eaton), Alexander the Great (Zeller formerly), seems to prove that we know nothing for certain about him. Of the various claimants Solon is the most probable. He is regarded by Aristotle (ii. 12. §§ r-6) as a sort of conservative democrat, the founder of a balanced polity, whom he contrasts with Pericles and the later Athenian demagogues (cp. Solon Frag. 5,
 name, and the words $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ́ t e \rho o v$, tend to show that a well known and traditional legislator is meant. Yet it might be argued also
 one holding the position of Lysander or Philip of Macedon in Hellas, rather than the legislator of any single city.
If 'one man' only gave this form of constitution to Hellas it must have been rare indeed or rather imaginary, cp. supra c. 7 .
 reconciled with c. $8 . \S 8$ ?
 пра́yнась. (Dem.) But are not the words a copyist's repetition of


170
 back' but more simply 'to give what is suitable, assign,' like [oi


Here, as limited in § r , ápiбזך тais $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \pi a t s \pi o ̈ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota$.
dià riv' airiav, i. e. the moderation and stability of the state. Cp.
v. $1 . \S$ I 6 where it is implied that the safety of democracy is due to its approximation to the $\mu \dot{\prime} \sigma \eta$ пodcreia.


' It may often happen that some constitution may be preferable [in itself] and some other better suited to the peculiar circumstances of some state.'
$\pi \rho o ́ s ~ i \pi n \theta_{\epsilon \sigma \omega}$ here (as in c. 1.§ 4) means any supposed or given constitution, which may not be the best possible under the circumstances, but is the one to be preferred, in some states of society.
 $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \in \mu \epsilon \rho \bar{\nu} \dot{\eta} \pi \dot{\partial} \lambda_{t s}$.
'Namely to one of those parts which make up the state'; the


'When the poor exceed in number the [due] proportion implied in the last words.'
 тои̂ ${ }^{\circ} \lambda เ \gamma a \rho \chi เ к о и ิ ~ \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta o v s . ~$
'And in like manner (not only oligarchy in general, but) each sort of oligarchy varies according to the predominance of each


The middle class are the arbiters between the extremes $0 \hat{i}$ oligarchy and democracy. When Aristotle calls the arbiter i $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \sigma o s$, this is probably meant in the same sense in which $\delta$ oxanowim is said to be a mean because it fixes a mean. Cp. Nic. Eth. v. 5.









Aristotle gives no reason for this statement. He may have thought that the designs of an oligarchy are more deeply laid and corrupting, while the fickleness of the multitude is in some degree a corrective to itself. The oligarchies of Hellas were certainly worse than the democracies: the greatest dishonesty of which the Athenians were guilty in the Peloponnesian War (Thuc. iv. 23) is far less hateful than the perfidy of the Spartans narrated Id. iv. 80. The cruelty of the four hundred or of the thirty tyrants strikingly contrasts on both occasions with the moderation of the democracy which overthrew them.

It is a curious question, which we have not the means of answer- 13. ing, whether all these artifices ( $\sigma \circ \phi i \sigma \mu a r a)$ are historical facts or only inventions of Aristotle, by which he imagines that the democracy or oligarchy might weaken the opposite party. Some of them, such as the pay to the people, we know to have been used at Athens: but there is no historical proof, except what may be gathered from this passage, that the richer members of an oligarchical community were ever compelled under a penalty to take part in the assembly, or in the law courts. Cp. infra p. 178 note : also c. $15 . \S_{14-18}$.
 13. 2.

Yet the penalty must have been relatively as well as absolutely greater or smaller, or the rich would have had no more reason for going than the poor for abstaining. The meaning is not that Charondas inflicted a larger fine on the rich and a proportionally small one on the poor for absence from the assembly ; but generally that he adapted his fines to the circumstances of offenders.



The connexion is as follows: 'The qualification must be such
as will place the government in the hands of a majority [and then there will be no danger]: for the poor, even though they are not admitted to office, will be quiet enough if they are not outraged.'

'Among the Malians the governing or larger body was elected from those who were past service, the magistrates from those on actual service'; the past tense ( $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime} \nu$ ) has been thought to imply that the government had changed possibly in consequence of Philip and Alexander's conquests: compare a similar use of the past, v. 1. § I I respecting the government of Epidamnus, and note.

Yet the tendency of some of the Greek states to the use of cavalry was as much due to the suitability of large regions, such as Thessaly, for the breeding and support of horses, as to the form of government. Nor can the remark be true of Greek oligarchies in general, considering how ill suited the greater part of Hellas was to the training or use of horses. Cp. supra c. 3 . § 3, a passage in which Aristotle has made a similar observation.

I.e. what appeared to the older Greeks to be a large governing class was to the later Greeks a small or moderate one.


 taken after $i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$, 'and also through a (want of) organization, they were more willing to endure the dominion of others.'



From a consideration of the differences between states, and the causes of them, Aristotle in his accustomed manner, proceeding from the whole to the parts, passes on to consider the mode in which different powers are constituted in states, cc. 14-16. He will hereafter show how the wholes are affected by the parts.

A somewhat similar discussion occurs in bk. vi. c. 8. See note on vi. 1. § 1 .


 סoxágov.

Aristotle divides the state, much as we should do, into three parts, I) the legislative, (which has in certain cases power over individuals; see infra § 3) : 2) the administrative or executive: 3) the judicial. The words roùto $\delta^{\prime}$ ' '́rì seem to refer back to $\delta \in i=i \in \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu$ rò vouotémy. But if so there is a verbal irregularity. For the duties and modes of appointment to offices are not a part of the state, but questions relating to a part of the state.
$\tau /$ not interrogative, to be taken closely with $\varepsilon_{\nu}$ and with $\tau \boldsymbol{p} i \boldsymbol{i} \sigma v$.
Nothing more is known about Telecles. From the manner in 14.4. which he is spoken of he appears to have been an author rather



## $\tilde{\epsilon} \omega s$ äv $\delta t \in \hat{c} \theta \eta$.

Some word implying the right of succession to office has to be supplied, e. g. $\dot{\eta} \dot{a} p \chi \eta$ from tàs $\grave{a} \rho \chi a ́ s$. The same phrase occurs infra c. 15. § 17 .
quvééval đè $\mu$ óvo
is governed by $\epsilon i=\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \rho o ́ \pi o s$ above.

14. 6.

A reduplication of the preceding, although there may also be a shade of distinction in the greater stress which is laid upon voting and scrutinies. Here, as in other places (c. 4. §§ 22-24; c. 6. $\$ \$ 3,4)$, we have a difficulty in discriminating Aristotle's differences. There is only an incomplete order in the catalogue of democracies. First of all comes the most moderate, in which the assembly plays a very subordinate part, then two more which are almost indistinguishable, lastly the most extreme.



The words ö $\sigma a s$ é $\dot{\nu \delta \epsilon \chi є \tau a \iota ~ c a n ~ o n l y ~ m e a n ~ ' a s ~ m a n y ~ e l e c t i v e ~ o f f i c e s ~}$ as can be allowed to exist in a democracy consistently with the democratic principle of electing the magistrates by lot.' The excepted magistracies will be those in which special skill or know.


 cioiv referring to aiperás. But the change has no MS. authority, and though ingenious is unnecessary.


 and repeated with greater emphasis in the words which follow int.
 [who possess the required qualification].' Yet these latter words, which are necessary to the sense, are wanting in the text.
14. 8-10. Compare for several verbal resemblances, supra c. 5.

For in an aristocracy or oligarchy, as in a democracy, a magistrate might be elected by lot, but only out of a select class.

Aristocracy is elsewhere said to include numbers, wealth, and virtue; here the aristocratical element seems to reside in the magistrates who have superior merit, and control the whole administration of the state except war, peace, and the taking of scrutinies.

Compare c. $7 . \S 3 ;$ c. $8 . \S \S 3,9$, in which the near connexion between aristocracy and polity is pointed out.



кatà tòv єipquévov $\delta \iota o \rho \iota \sigma \mu o ́ v$, i. e. each constitution will be variously administered according to some one of the principles on which
the governing body is elected, e.g. out of some, or out of all; and as acting either according to law, or without law, etc.
stokei has been changed into stoifet and sookeital, for which latter there is perhaps the authority of Moerbeke, who reads disponitur. But no change is needed. For use of $\delta 100 x$ кiv, cp.v. $10 . \$ 36$.

14. 12.

Aristotle remembering the short life of the extreme democracy which is above law, proposes various ways of strengthening or moderating it; he would have the notables take part in the assembly; and he would enforce their attendance by the imposition of penalties analogous to the fines which the oligarchy inflict on judges for neglect of their duties. (Cp. v. cc. 8, 9 on the preserving principles of state.)
Of the advantage of combining the few with the many there can be no question: but will the upper classes ever be induced to take an active part in a democracy? They have not done so in France or America; may we hope that they will in England?

14. 13.
I. e. he on whom the lot fell was not included, but excluded until the numbers were sufficiently reduced.

15. 3 .
'Even ambassadors, whom we might be more inclined to call magistrates, and who are elected by lot, are $\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ v ~ \tau t ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda_{t}-$ тıkàs dap $\quad$ ás.'
oíl $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma$ òs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v о \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$,


 тікі̀̀ $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon i a \nu$.
'Verbal questions, such as the definition of an office, are of no practical importance, although some intellectual interest may attach to them, ${ }^{\prime} \quad \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ is redundant.
 $\pi о \lambda \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a r o v ́ \sigma \eta s$.

Cp. Plat. Rep. ii. 370 B ff.



Two offices are mentioned in the latter part of the sentence:




' Differing,' i. e. in the character of those from whom the election is made. Though the word $\overline{\epsilon r \epsilon \rho a \iota}$ is inaccurate, the meaning is the same as that of ét'pav, which Susemihl, on very slight authority, has introduced into the text.


 ' Are offices the same in different states, or not the same? Are they the same, but elected out of different classes in aristocracy, monarchy, oligarchy, democracy? Or do the offices differ naturally according to the actual differences in forms of government, the same offices being sometimes found to agree and sometimes to disagree with different forms of government, and having a lesser power in some states and a greater in others? For example, has the president of the assembly, in whatever way appointed, the same functions at Sparta and at Athens? Are not probuli suited to an oligarchy, a censor of boys and women to an aristocracy, a council to a democracy? And will they be equally suited to other forms, or may not their powers require to be extended or narrowed?'

According to this explanation the natural order of the words is somewhat inverted, for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{d} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ is taken with $\tau \nu \nu \nu^{\prime} s$ : and with $\kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ aủràs ràs סıaфopàs has to be supplied $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega}$ from кarà ràs тодıteias supra. We may also supply modıreiai with tıvés, and translate 'may not some states essentially derive their character from offices.' But the abrupt transition to a new subject (ap $\chi^{a i)}$
in the next clause shows this way of taking the passage to be inadmissible.

Bekker (2nd Edit.) after Victorius reads diaфopai for ràs 8ıa申opás.

15. II.

то́ßßounot, as he says vi. 8. § I7, are oligarchical officers, because they alone have the initiative, and, therefore, the people cannot of themselves make any change in the constitution; supra c. $14 . \S \mathrm{r}_{4}$.
sioi ${ }^{\prime}$ 'ai Staфopaì к, $\tau, \lambda$.
15.14-18.

The meaning of the text may be illustrated by the following scheme:-

$$
\text { oi } \tau \rho \in i s \text { ô ô } \rho o t .
$$


oi $\delta \omega ́ \delta \epsilon к а$ т $\rho о ́ \pi \pi о$.


All, or some, or all and some, elect out of all, or some, or out of all and some, by vote or by lot; or by vote and by lot.

YOL. MI.

The three modes give rise to twelve possible varieties :

| All elect | by vote out of all, <br> by lot out of all, <br> by vote out of some, <br> by lot out of some; |
| ---: | :--- |
| Some elect | by vote out of all, <br> by lot out of all, |
| by vote out of some, |  |
| by lot out of some; |  |
| All and some elect | by vote out of all, <br> by lot out of all, |
| by vote out of some, <br> by lot out of some; |  |

and to the two further combinations (oi $\delta i_{0} \sigma v \delta \delta v a \sigma \mu i i$ ): partly by vote and partly by lot, partly out of all and partly out of some.

It is not to be supposed that, even in such a 'bazaar of constitutions' (Plat. Rep. viii. 557 D) as Hellas furnished, all these different forms of government were really to be found. Aristotle derives them not from his experience of history, but out of the abundance of his logic.
15. 15. む̈đrep ì Meyáposs.

Cp. v. 3. §5 and 5. §4, where the overthrow of the Megarian democracy is attributed to the corruption and oppression practised by demagogues; also Thuc. iv. 74 (though it is not certain whether Aristotle is speaking of the return of the exiles there mentioned or of some earlier or later one); and Arist. Poet. c. 3. § $5,1448 \mathrm{a} .3^{2}$, where he refers to an ancient democracy existing in Megara, of which the recent establishment is deplored by Theognis, line 53 ff., Bergk. There was an alliance between Athens and Megara in $45^{8}$ (Thuc. i. 103, 114), which terminated at the battle of Coronea 447 ; probably during the alliance, but not afterwards, Megara was governed by a democracy. In the eighth year of the Peloponnesian War the oligarchs were in exile, but were restored by the influence of Brasidas. In the year B.c. 375 the democracy had been re-established: Diod. xv, 40.
roítov 8' $^{\prime}$ ai $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ divo к.т. $\lambda$.
15. 19.

The vote is considered less democratical than the lot: both are admissible in a democracy, but it is essential to its very nature that all should elect. If any limitation takes place the government becomes an aristocracy or a polity, which alike tend to oligarchy in so far as they reduce the number of electors or of persons who are eligible, though differing in other respects. When some only appoint, in whatever manner, out of all, or all out of some, and the elections do not take place all at once (ajua, i.e. when the governing body retire by rotation), we have a constitutional government, which inclines to an aristocracy when the two opposite principles of 'some out of some' and 'some out of all' are combined. The high oligarchical doctrine is 'some out of some, by vote or by lot or by both,' the lot being employed in an oligarchy, as in a democracy, to exclude favour or merit. Cp.v. 3.§ 9.

## yiverもat.

15. 19. 

If genuine, is used in a pregnant sense=kaitrrao $\theta a t$, the construction being changed from the active, which is resumed in the clause which follows, to the neuter or passive. Though the word appears to disturb the sentence, it is found in all the MSS.

15. 20.
 $\mathrm{cp} . \S \mathrm{I} 9 \mathrm{fin}$. But if so the same words which here describe the oligarchical government, are applied in the next sentence to the polity or constitutional government which inclines to aristocracy. Nor can any reason be given why the election 'out of all and out of some' should be 'more oligarchical' than the election out of some. Another way of taking the words is to explain $\dot{\xi} \dot{d} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \phi \hat{i}$ as a double election. But in this passage $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi$ is always used to introduce the persons out of whom the election is made; and therefore i $\xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi$ oiv could not $=\dot{d} \mu \phi$ oiv. Some corruption of the text is probable; the numerous repetitions are likely to have confused the eye of the copyist. rò ix riviv aj $\mu \phi 0 i \nu$ is the ingenious and probably true emendation of Mr. Evelyn Abbott. If the principle of 'some out of some' is maintained, the election in both ways, i.e. by vote out of persons elected by lot, or by lot out of persons
elected by vote, would clearly be more oligarchical than the simple election by vote or by lot.

sc. idızapxıкóv. These words which are translated in the text 'though not equally oligarchical if taken by lot' would be better rendered 'and equally oligarchical if not appointed by lot' (Stahr): that is to say, whether appointed by vote or by lot they would equally retain their oligarchical character, if some were chosen our of some. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ must be taken with $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu_{0}$.





Neither the reading nor the meaning of this passage is quite certain. Some MSS. and the old translation omit ${ }^{*}$ kai before tives, thus referring tives eioiv to $\delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma$. If with Bekker and several MSS. we retain kai before tives cioiv, the words may receive different interpretations. Either r ), 'how to establish them and what their powers and their nature are will be manifest,' i.e. need no explanation ; or 2), 'we shall know how to establish them and their nature when we know their powers.'

Nothing certain is known about this court; it is here spoken of only as a matter of tradition. The cases of which it took cognizance were rare, and therefore it is not strange that the court which tried them should have become obsolete. According to Pausanias (i. 28. § i2) Phreattys was a spot in the Piraeus near the sea, whither banished persons, against whom some fresh accusation was brought after their banishment, went to defend themselves out of a ship before judges who were on the land. This explanation is repeated by several of the scholiasts; but Aristotle, with much greater probability, supposes the banished man to offer himself for trial of the original offence. So in Plat. Laws ix. 866 D , a law is proposed, probably founded on some ancient custom, that the banished homicide, if wrecked upon his
native shore, should sit with his feet in the sea, until he found an opportunity of sailing.



This sentence appears to be out of place; for no special mention occurs of political causes in what follows; but the writer at once returns to his former subject, and treats the appointment of judges on the same principles which he has applied to the appointment of other magistrates. It is possible that they connect with the beginning of Book v , and that the rest of the chapter is only a repetition in an altered form of $c .15 . \$ \S \mathbf{1 7 - 2 2}^{\text {. }}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { оi тро́то тétrapes. } & 10.5,6 .
\end{array}
$$

The scheme on which judges are appointed, though abridged, is the same as that on which magistrates are appointed; and the various modes correspond in like manner to different forms of government.

The judicial institutions of a country reflect the political, but with a difference. The legislature is active, the courts of law are passive; they do not move until they are set in motion, they deal with particular cases which are brought before them by others; and through these only do they rise to general principles. They do not make laws, but interpret them; nor can they set aside a law unless by appealing to a higher law. They are the conservative element of the state, rooted in habit and precedent and tradition.
But there is also a certain analogy between the political and judicial institutions of a country. In a free state the law must be supreme, and the courts of law must exercise an independent authority; they must be open and public, and they must include a popular element. They represent the better mind of the nation, speaking through certain fixed forms; and they exercise indirectly a considerable influence upon legislation. They have their place also in the education of the people: for they, above all other instructors, teach the lesson of justice and impartiality and truth. As good actions produce good habits in the individual, so the
laws of a state grow and strengthen and attain consistency by the decisions of courts.

That Aristotle was not ignorant of the connexion between the judicial and political institutions of a people is shown by his remark that 'Solon established the democracy when he constituted the dicasteries out of the whole people' (ii. 12. § 2).

## BOOK V.

The first sentence implies that we are approaching the end of 1 . I. the treatise; but see Essay on the Structure of the Aristotelian Writings.


The latter of these two clauses is bracketed by Bekker in his and edition as being a mere repetition of the preceding. If spurious it is probably a duplicate incorporated from some other ancient form of the text, not a gloss. But Aristote often draws oversubtle logical distinctions, and in striving after completeness he
 little or no difference of meaning between them.

## 

 1. 2.The last words may be either I) taken adverbially; or 2)* may be the accusative after imodaßகiv, 1) ' We must in the first place begin by conceiving' or 2$)^{*}$ ' we must in the first place conceive our starting point to be.'

> тò Díkaov kai rò kat' àva入oyiay "đov. 1. 2.

In Bekker's and edition kai is altered to eivat without MSS. authority. The sense thus obtained would coincide with the conception of justice in the Nic. Eth. v. 3. § 8.

But the same thought is less accurately expressed by the text. The rai here, as elsewhere in Aristotle, may be taken in the sense


 argued that the more general form of words is better suited to this
passage. For Aristotle is here expressing not his own opinion but the consensus of mankind. And although the democrat in some sense acknowledges proportional equality, he would hardly go so far as to say that justice is identical with it. The reading of the MSS. is therefore preferable.

In Book iii. cc. 9 and 12 it has been assumed that justice and proportionate equality, not mere class interests, are the principles on which the state is based and which give a right to citizenship. Aristotle proceeds to show how the neglect or misconception of these principles leads to the overthrow of states.

The last words are an explanation of $\pi \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \in \kappa \tau \epsilon \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{Cp}$. Nic. Eth. \%.


Spengel reads $\dot{\eta} \mu a p \tau \eta k v i a l ~ \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ rov̂ $\dot{d} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$, though there is no trace of variation in the MSS. Nearly the same meaning may be elicited from the text as it stands: 'They are perversions, when regarded simply,' i.e. 'by an absolute standard of justice'; that is to say, their justice is relative to aristocracy, oligarchy or democracy, and hence becomes a cause of revolution.

The commentators are puzzled to find a connexion for these words, which the various reading dixaios shows to have been an ancient difficulty. Either 1$)^{*}$ the particle $\delta i o$ is attributable to the superabundance of logical expression and therefore is not to be strictly construed; or to the condensation of two clauses into one, the word $\delta \chi \chi \omega \bar{\omega}$ referring to what follows: 'Hence arise changes; and in two ways.' Or 2) we must gather, however obscurely indicated, out of what has preceded some distinction corresponding to that between changes of forms of government and changes of persons and parties under the same form of government. Love of equality may perhaps be thought to lead to a change of the constitution; impatience of inequality to a change of persons and offices. But this connexion of ideas, if intended, is not clearly stated. It would be rash, after the manner of some editors (Con-
ring, Susemihl, etc.), in a book like Aristotle's Politics to infer a
 the want of connexion.
 paoteiciv.

Cp. Plut. Lys. 24-26 for an account (partly taken from Ephorus and wearing rather an improbable appearance) of the manner in which Lysander by the aid of oracles and religious imposture conspired to overturn the monarchy of Sparta and to throw open the office of king to the whole family of the Heraclidae, of which he was himself a member; or, according to another statement, to all the Spartans.

## 

1. Io.

He was not king, though of the royal family ; cp. Thuc. i. 132.

 The same mistake is repeated in vii. $14 . \$ 20$.





The revolution at Epidamnus was only partial. The change of фidapxot into a ßounj made the state less oligarchical. Cp. vi. 8.


 required to go to the Heliaea at every election-this relic of oligarchy survived in the democracy. A like oligarchical spirit was indicated in the appointment of 'the single magistrate' (cp. iii. 16 . § 1 ).

It is also possible to take the words in another way, connecting
 'It was compulsory that the magistrates should attend the assembly of the ruling classes, when a certain magistracy took a vote re-
quiring it.' Which of the two modes of translating the passage is correct, we can only guess, as we have no independent knowledge of the procedure mentioned. The latter is the mode of taking them adopted by Müller (Dorians, iii. 9. § 6); but the use of 'H̀tai.t simply in the sense of an assembly, and not as a proper name, and therefore its construction with $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi о \lambda \iota \tau e \dot{\nu} \mu a \tau \iota$ is doubtful.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ iv $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi$ тоגттéjuatu. Either $\mathbf{1})^{*}$ the ruling class; or better 2 ) the governing body. The two meanings cannot always be clearly distinguished. Cp. c. 6. § II ; iv. 6. § 9 and v. 4. § 2. Compare

 подeretas кai rois ì $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ пodıréveatl, which show that the two meanings of moגitevua, as of подıтeia, like the two senses of the English word 'government' or 'state,' pass into one another. The genitive is partitive.
$\delta$ a $\rho \chi \omega \nu$ ó $\boldsymbol{\epsilon i s}{ }^{j} \nu$. $\quad \boldsymbol{j} \nu$ is omitted in several MSS. and is not

 speaks of the single Archon at Epidamnus, not in the past, but in the present tense. Yet it is not impossible that he may have spoken of an office which had recently existed at Epidamnus, first, in the present, and afterwards, more correctly, in the past tense.



ò $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$. . . z̈ous is a parenthetical explanation of the word âucov. 1) 'Certainly to unequals there is no proportion.' According to this way of taking the passage àadoyou is the nom. to inapyel. 2) Others supply rò ävaoy from the preceding sentence (sc. imápee avaגoyov). '*I mean the inequality in which there is no proportion.' This is illustrated by an example. 3) Others again connect avadoyov with rois àvious. 'Not that real inequality exists among those who are only proportionately unequal.' According to any explanation the connexion is harsh: and therefore there is some reason for suspecting that a marginal note has crept into the text.

1. 13. The punctuation of Bekker, who places a comma after to kar'
d $\xi$ iav, in his and Edition (see note on Text) accords with his
 àvàoyiav ücov instead of кai tò кar' àvaloyiav.
The antecedent of raîтa is wealth and poverty, latent in $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu \mathrm{o}$
and iskyapxiu. The conj. ràvavtia, adopted by Bekker following
Lambinus in his 2nd Edition, is unnecessary.

'But there are in many places a large class of poor.' Some MSS. read eṽ $\pi o p o t$, some omit $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i$, and it has been contended
 the text, which is the reading of several Greek MSS. and is confirmed by Moerbeke, is better.
' Either equality of number or equality of proportion, if the only principle of a state, is vicious': cp. infra c. $9 . \S 13 ; \mathrm{iv} .13 . \S 6$; vi. 5 . § 2 .

 $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\partial} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta}$.

1. 16. 

oidıyapxia is here used for the oligarchical party, rois óniyous, parallel to $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o s$ in the previous clause, although in the preceding sentence the same word means a form of government-an example of Aristotle's transitional and uncertain use of language.

This reflection is probably true of Greek democracies, but can hardly be justified by modern experience either of the Italian Republics, which swarmed with factions and conspiracies, or of France in the first French revolution, or of England under the Commonwealth, or of Switzerland in the war of the Sonderbund, or of N. America in the war of North and South, or of the S. American Republics. Differences of character, climate, religion, race, affect democracies as well as other forms of government.



Aristotle is giving a further reason why democracy is safer than oligarchy, because it more nearly approximates to the $\mu \varepsilon \sigma^{\prime} \sigma \pi{ }_{\pi} \lambda_{\iota r \epsilon i a}$, which is the safest of all such forms of government, [i. e. of all except the perfect one]. Cp. iv. 11. § 14.
 forms.

An obscurity arises from the inversion of the subject. The
 rīs rи̂̀ $\mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \nu$ подırєias. The meaning would be improved if, as in some MSS., $\dot{\eta}$ before $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\partial} \lambda i \gamma \omega \nu$ was omitted.
 rial, final and efficient causes of revolutions.

Sc. in what he has said about ioov and anvoov in the previous chapter.



 фоóv $\bar{\sigma} \iota s$, aṽ $\xi \eta \sigma \iota s$ napà tò ává入oyov. Or, according to another way



As often happens both in the Politics (cp. bk. iv. c. 1) and in the Ethics (cp. vii. cc. 1-10) of Aristotle, the order in which the cases are at first enumerated is not the order in which they are afterwards discussed; the latter is as follows: $\tilde{\imath} \beta \rho t s$, кє́ $\rho \delta o s, \tau \mu \eta$,

 ' in the manner which I have described, and about the things which
 also refers.

## 

sc. $\dot{\omega}$ auv́ros raỳrá. : They are the same and not the same: 'The
love of gain seeks gain for itself, the love of honour is jealous of honour bestowed upon others.'
¿ıà $\mu \kappa к о ́ т \eta \tau а$,
2. 6.


 term.

3. 4 .

This and the revolution in Rhodes mentioned below ( $\$ 5$ ) appear to be the same with that of which a more minute but somewhat obscure account is given in c. 5 . § 2 -mentioned here as illustrating fear and contempt; in $c .5$, as showing that revolutions arise from the evil behaviour of demagogues in democracies; two accounts of the same event taken from different points of view, but not inconsistent with each other. Rhodes was transferred from the alliance of Athens to Sparta in 412 , and remained the ally of Sparta until after the battle of Cnidos in the year 394 в.c. when the people, assisted by the Athenians, drove out the notables who were afterwards restored by the help of Teleutias the Lacedaemonian b.c. 390 . Diod. Sic. xiv. 97 ; Xen. Hell. iv. 8. Whether this latter revolution can be identified with the gravá aravts mentioned by Aristotle is uncertain.

Sià тàs èmıфєоонévas díkas. Cp. infra c. $5 . \S$ 2, where the suits against the rich at Rhodes appear to have been brought by private individuals; also Thuc. iii. 70.
 8пиократіа ठ८єфӨáp $\eta$.

Yet the destruction of the democracy seems hardly consistent with the preponderance which the Athenians retained in Boeotia during the nine years following the battle of Oenophyta (456), at the end of which time, and not until after they had won the battle of Coronea (447), all the Boeotians regained their independence. (Thuc. i. 112.) Compare as bearing on Aristotle's knowledge of Theban history, infra c. 6. § $r_{5}$, and note.

Probably the same event mentioned infra c. 5 . § 4 ; but apparently
not the same with the revolution in Megara, mentioned in Thuc. iv. 74, which occurred after, and in consequence of, the retirement of the Athenians (b.c. 424); possibly the same with the occasion mentioned in iv. $15 . \S{ }_{5}$, when the government was narrowed to the returned exiles and their supporters. See on iv. $15 . \S 15$.

## 3. 5. ìv $\Sigma v p a k o v i \sigma a u s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ t \eta ̂ s ~ r e ́ \lambda \omega v o s ~ t u p a v \nu i 8 o s, ~$

 vii. 155 , the $\gamma$ a $\mu$ ópor were driven out by the Syracusan populace, and returned under the protection of Gelon, to whose superior force the Syracusans opened their gates. The destruction of the democracy may therefore be said to have been caused by the violent conduct of the people towards the landowners. But if so, the contradiction which Mr. Grote finds between the statements of Herodotus and Aristotle admits of a reconcilement. See note on c. 43 , vol. v. 286 , original edit. He thinks that for Gelo we should substitute Dionysius, and observes that the frequent confusion of the two names was noted by Dionysius of Halicarnassus, Antiq. Rom. vii, c. 1. p. 1314.

## 

Called by Herodotus (vii. 170) 'the greatest slaughter of Greeks within his knowledge.' Diodorus, 'the Sicilian,' (xi. 52. § 5), apparently in ignorance of the geography of Italy, says that the Iapygian victors pursued the Rhegians into the town of Rhegium (a distance of about 200 miles), and entered with them !

Cp. vi. 5. §§ $10,1 \mathrm{I}$, where the Tarentines are described in the present tense as being under a sort of mo入ıteia or moderate democracy, to which they probably reverted at some time later than that referred to in the text. In the Syracusan expedition they were hostile to the Athenians (Thuc. vi. 44), and are therefore not likely at that time to have been a democracy.
 $\dot{\eta} \nu a \gamma \kappa a ́ \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu \pi a p a \not \subset \epsilon ́ \xi a \sigma \theta a k ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \rho \omega i к \omega \nu \tau \iota \nu a ́ s$.

The meaning of the name Hebdomê was unknown to the Greeks themselves. The victory of Cleomenes over the Argives is men-
tioned in Herodotus (vi. 76-83), Pausanias (iii. 4), and in Plutarch (De Mulierum Virtutibus, iv, 245 D ). In the narrative of the latter various plays on the number seven occur, which probably originated in the word $\ddagger \beta \delta \delta \mu \eta$. The number of the dead slain by Cleomenes is said to have been 7777 : the battle is said to have been
 or during a truce of seven days which Cleomenes violated by attacking the Argives during the night, he arguing that the seven days did not include the nights, or, perhaps with better reason, that yengeance on an enemy was deemed preferable to justice both by Gods and men (Apophth. Lacon. 223 B). The word may have been the name of the wood mentioned in the accounts of Herodotus and Pausanias (loc. cit.) or of some other place* called after the number seven; but more likely of a festival held on the seventh day, which gave its name to the battle.
 Argives, after their army had been cut to pieces.'


The karà入oyos ó $\pi \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ mentioned in Thuc. vi. 43, кal roùt $\boldsymbol{\nu}$
 elsewhere, Xen. Mem. iii. 4. § I , in which the $\ominus$ intes, or lowest of the four classes, were not included.
ik karadórov. Every one was obliged to take his turn in the order of the roll, and no substitutes were allowed, because the number of soldiers willing to offer themselves was not sufficient.
$\dot{\imath} \pi \dot{\partial}$ тòv $\Lambda a \kappa \omega и к \grave{\partial} \nu \pi \dot{o} \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$. As in the Syracusan expedition, to which the word $\dot{a} \tau v \chi$ ouvrau chiefly refers. Cp. Thuc. vii. 27.

## 

3. 8. 

Most of the extant MSS. are in favour of cirópov. But a $\pi$ ópov, which is the reading of the old translator, is not wholly indefensible. The meaning may be that power falls into the hands of the few, either when the poor become more numerous, or when properties increase ; the extremes of want and of wealth coexisting in the same state. The two cases are really opposite aspects of the same phenomenon, 'when the citizens become more and more
divided into rich and poor.' The argument from the more difficult reading is in favour of $\dot{a} \pi o ́ \rho \omega \nu$.
3. $9 . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu^{\prime} \Omega_{\rho \epsilon \bar{\varphi}}$.

A later name of Hestiaea in Euboea, or rather (Strabo x. p. 446) of an Athenian city established in the time of Pericles, on the same site, to maintain control over Euboea. After the fall of Athens it passed into the hands of Sparta and received an oligarchical constitution, reverting to Athens in the year 377. Probably at this time kare $\lambda \dot{\nu} \theta_{\eta} \dot{\eta} \dot{0} \lambda_{1} \gamma a p \chi i a$. For another reference to Hestiaea, which never entirely lost its old name (Pausan, vii. p. 592), see c. $4 . \S$.
3. 10. rétos $\delta^{\prime}$ oủevòs jpoxov.
overvos is taken in the text as the genitive of value. If this way of explaining the word is rejected as unidiomatic, or rather, not likely to be employed when according to the more familiar idiom oivevos would be governed by ipxov, we may adopt the emendation of Bekker's 2nd Edition, à $\pi^{\prime}$ o $\dot{\imath} \theta \in v o ́ s$.



The foundation of Sybaris (B.c. 720) is recorded in Strabo vi. p. 263, but nothing is said of the joint occupation of the place by the Troezenians: nor of the curse. The fall of Sybaris is attributed to a very different cause in a gossiping story told by Athenaeus xii. p. 520, of a Sybarite having beaten his slave at the altar to which he fled for refuge. A rather fabulous account of the war between Sybaris and Croton, in which Milo the athlete figures as a sort of Heracles, is given by Diod. Sic. xii. 9.

Sc. द̇ठraciafay or some similar word gathered from the preceding sentence. For a more detailed though not very trustworthy narrative of the event referred to, see Diod. Sic. xi. 90 ; xii. 10,11 . Thurii being founded on the site of Sybaris, the Sybarites who joined in the colony naturally looked upon the country as their own.

This, which is one of the blackest stories in Greek history, is narrated at length by Herodotus vi. 23. The Zancleans had
invited Hippocrates tyrant of Gela to assist them against Anaxilaus tyrant of Rhegium, but were betrayed by him and delivered over to the Samians.



Another instance of the danger of incorporating foreigners in a state. The foreigners in this case were the mercenaries of Hiero and Gelo. After the expulsion of Thrasybulus they were allowed to remain in the city, but deprived of political privileges. The narrative of their revolt, of their seizure of Acradina and Ortygia, and of the troubles which followed the attempt to drive them out in the ill-fated island of Sicily, is to be found in Diod. xi. 72 ff .
 $\pi \lambda$ eiotou aủt $\omega \hat{\nu}$.
ait $\hat{\omega} \nu$ is to be taken with oi $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma r o t$, which is in partitive apposition with 'A $\mu \phi$ ırodiтal. The event referred to cannot be shown to have any connexion with the revolt of Amphipolis during the Pcloponnesian War (Thuc. iv. 105). Nor do we know of any other event which corresponds with the account given either here or in c. 6 . $\S 8$ where the revolution is spoken of 'as an insurrection against an oligarchy, made by the aid of Chalcidians' who had settled in the place. But an oligarchy could not have existed under the control of Athens; nor would a democracy be likely to have joined the Peloponnesian confederacy.

##  <br> 3. 14.

'There are other differences besides those of race which divide cities. There may be two cities in one (c. 12. § 15 ), both in oligarchies and democracies.' This general reflection is introduced awkwardly amid the special causes of revolutions in states. Eut a similar confusion of general and particular occurs in several other passages; e. g. iv. $4 . \S 22$ ff.

[^7]rol. II.

## 3. 15. Ko入oф́̀viot kai Notleís.

That the Colophonians and Notians were torn by dissensions may be gathered from Thucydides iii, 34.

The great power of the democracy at Athens dated from the battle of Salamis; and as the sailors were the lowest class of citizens, naturally the Piraeus was its head-quarters. Liberty was saved by the flect in the days of the Four Hundred; and when driven out of Athens by the thirty took refuge at the Piraeus, from which it returned victorious.

Do not wars or revolutions always or almost always arise from a combination of large public and political causes with small personal and private reasons? Some spark sets fire to materials previously prepared. If Herodotus overestimates the personal and private causes of great events, does not Thucydides underestimate them, explaining everything on great principles and ignoring the trifles of politics to which Aristotle here directs attention? The course of ancient or of modern history taken as a whole appears to be the onward movement of some majestic though unseen power; when regarded in detail, it seems to depend on a series of accidents. The Greek was a lover of anecdotes; and for him this gossip about trifles had a far greater interest than the reflections of Thucydides upon the course of human events. (See Introduction, vol. i. p. xcii.)

The same story is told with additions and embellishments by Plutarch 'Praecepta gerendae reipublicae' p. 825 C .

Here as infra c. 6. § 8 the word $\delta_{i f \sigma \tau a \sigma i a \sigma a \nu}$ may be causal and active, 'they took the members of the government to their respective sides and so split all the people into factions.' (Cp. катабтабúşo
 like oraotá $\omega$, as a neuter) 'they then drew all the members of the ruling class into their quarrel and made a revolution.'
 адлоเs $\mu$ е́рє $\sigma t \nu$.

The argument is that the beginning is half the whole, according to the old proverb, and therefore that an error at the beginning is equivalent to half the whole amount of error. The proverb is again cited, Nic. Ethics i. 7.§20.



This narrative, like the story of the Syracusan affair, is told, but in a more romantic manner, in the passage of Plutarch quoted above (Praec. geren. rejp. p. 825 B ) and also by Aelian, Var. Hist. xi. 5. The narrative of Plutarch contains the names of the persons concerned, Crates and Orgilaus, and is therefore probably taken not from Aristotle but from some other source. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ к. $\tau . \lambda$,, the sacred war to which another origin is assigned infra in §7. See Essay on Contributions of Aristotle to History.






No mention of Doxander occurs nor is there any hint of this story in Thucydides (iii. 2 ff ). The revolt of Mitylene is ascribed in his narrative entirely to political causes, and was long premeditated. The only point of coincidence between the two accounts is the mention of the proxenus, who is said in Thucydides to have given information to the Athenians. They are not, however, necessarily inconsistent: for Aristotle may be speaking of the slight occasion, Thucydides of the deeper cause. Nor can any argument be drawn from the silence of the latter. He may have known the tale, but may not have thought fit to mention it, any more than he has recorded the singular episode of the suicide of Paches in the public court on his return home, recorded by Plutarch iv. 8 (Nicias 6). There is also an omission in the account of Aristotle which is supplied by Thucydides. For the proxenos who gave information to the Athenians is afterwards said to have
repented, and to have gone on an embassy to Athens petitioning for peace (Thucyd. iii. 4). Such stories as this about Doxander have been common in modern as well as in ancient history ; they are very likely to be invented, but may sometimes be true.
4. 7. Mnason, according to Timaeus, was the friend of Aristote (Athenaeus vi. p. 264).

According to Plut. Themistocles c. 10 Aristotle narrated that 'at the time [of the battle of Salamis] when the Athenians had no public resources the council of the Areopagus gave to each sailor a sum of eight drachmas and thus enabled the triremes to be manned.' Whether such a statement was really to be found in Aristotelian writings, perhaps in the Polities to which it is commonly ascribed, or whether Plutarch is confusing the more general statement of Aristotle contained in this passage with information which he had derived from some other source, is uncertain.


 the more highly pitched note given by the greater tension of the string, and hence the stricter and more rigid form of government.

 è $\pi \mathrm{oin} \sigma \epsilon$.

 lows $\tau \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\text {in }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ ovias.

Plut. Arist. 22 says that after the battie of Salamis Aristides extended the right of voting to the fourth class. He had already mentioned in c. 13 that many of the higher classes had fallen into poverty; they would therefore have been degraded but for this extension. The merits and sufferings of all classes in the war were a natural justification of such a measure. The nobility and the common people vied with one another in their defence of

Hellas against the invader. No element lay deeper in the Hellenic character than the sense of superiority which all Hellenes acquired in the struggle with Persia.

## 

I.e. the first battle of Mantinea (419 B.c. described by Thuc. v. 70-74) in which, though the Argive army was defeated, the 1000 chosen Argives (doubtless belonging to the noble families) remained unconquered, and cut their way through the enemy. There is nothing in the account of Thucydides inconsistent with this statement, though he naturally dwells more on the influence of Lacedaemon in effecting the change of government (Ib. 81).


These words are not in perfect accord with the statement of Thucydides that the Athenians were unable to cope with the Sracusans because they had a form of government like their own, Thuc. vii. 55 ; but they agree with Diod. xiii. 34 fin., who says that the cxtreme form of democracy was introduced at Syracuse by Diocles after the overthrow of the Athenians. Nor is Thucydides quite consistent with himself; for the overthrow of the Athenian cxpedition was effected by the aristocratic leader Hermocrates and by the aid of Corinthians and Lacedaemonians. (Sce Essay on Contributions of Aristotle to History.)

[^8]See note on English text. Ambracia is said to have been founded by Gorgus, who is described by Antonin. Liberalis (i. 4. I9 ed. Westermann) as the brother of Cypselus (cp. Neanthes apud Diog. Laert. i. 98 , who says that the two Perianders were avequo à $\lambda \lambda \dot{j} \lambda o s s)$ : by Scymnus (454) he is called his son. Periander is supposed by Müller (i. 8. § 3) to have been the son of Gorgus; but this is conjecture. Whether there was any real connexion, or whether the stories of relationship arise only out of an accidental similarity of names, it is impossible to determine.

## oi Buváuecos aítıot.

4. 10. 

'Who are the causes of the power of a state:' cp. supra,
 are also the elements of danger.

I. e. when fraud is succeeded by force or the old fraud by a new one. To take an example from Modern History, as the presidency of Louis Napoleon was succeeded by the coup d'etat, and ended in the plétiscite by which he was made Emperor of the French; or as in ancient history the tyranny of Gelo and Hiero was acquiesced in after a time by their Syracusan subjects.



Cp. Thuc. viii. 53, where Peisander demonstrates to the Athenian assembly that their only hope lay in the alliance of the Persian king.
4. I3. 廿evááниot.
'Having once told the lie' which, it is inferred, was detected.



'The demagogues gained influence over the assembly by procuring pay for them: [probably they obtained the money for this purpose by not paying the trierarchs]. These were sued by their sailors or other creditors, and, not having been paid themselves, were unable to pay others; so in self-defence they overthrew the government.' Such appears to be the meaning of this passage, a little amplified, on which no light is thrown from other sources.

The revolution here mentioned would seem to be the same as that which has been already referred to, supra, c. 3. § 4. The words òà tàs émффкроиévas $\delta$ íxas occur in both passages.

## 

Probably the Heraclea of Pontus founded by the Megarians in B.c. 559. The poems of Theognis imply that already in the sisih century b.c. a democratical party existed in the mother-city. Nine
places bear the name of Heraclea. The Heraclea in Pontus is the most important of them and may be presumed to be meant when there is no further description as here or in c. 6. $\S \$ 2,3$.

ì ràs $\pi$ poooódous tais $\lambda$ eitoupyías.
5. 5.

Some word containing the idea of diminishing has to be supplicd from àvadágrous toloũutes.

Demagogues like Cleon, Lysicles, Eucrates, Hyperbolus, Cleo- 5. 7. phon, were of a different type from Peisistratus or Periander, and equally different from Hiero and Gelo or Dionysius the First.

Three reasons are given for the frequent attempts to establish 5.8. tyrannies in early Greek history-1) there were great magistracies in ancient states; 2) the people were scattered and therefore incapable of resistance; 3) the demagogues were trusted by them, because they were supposed to be the enemies of the rich.
 5. 9.

According to the narrative of Herodotus, i. 59 ff , Attica was at this time divided into factions, that of the inhabitants of the plain led by Lycurgus, and of the sea coast by Megacles, to which was added a third faction of the inhabitants of the highlands whom Peisistratus used as his instruments. He was restored to the tyranny by a combination of his own adherents and those of Megacles against the inhabitants of the plain.

> Өeayécms ìv Meqápous.

Theagenes is mentioned in Thuc. i. 126 as the father-in-law of Cylon the conspirator; and in Arist. Rhet. i. 2, 1357 b. 33, as an example of a tyrant who like Peisistratus had asked for a guard.

## $\Delta t o v u ́ \sigma l o s ~ к а т \eta \gamma o \rho \omega ̄ \nu ~ \Delta a \phi \nu a i o v . ~$ <br> 5. 10.

Cp. Diod. Sic. (xiii. 86, 91, 92) who narrates how Daphnaeus, having been elected general by the Syracusans, failed to relieve Agrigentum and on the motion of Dionysius was deposed from his command.

## 5. 10. íк $\tau \bar{\eta} s \pi a \tau \rho i ́ a s ~ \delta ̀ \eta \mu o к р є \tau i a s . ~$

The same phrase is used in ii. $12 . \$ 2$ where Solon is said to have established $\dot{\eta}$ пárpos $\delta \eta \mu o k \rho a t i a$, the ancient or traditional democracy, 'the good old democracy,' as opposed to the later and extreme form.


 the people becoming master.' That is to say, when the magistrates were elected by the tribal divisions the power of the people was not so great as when they voted all together.

When the larger units of government or representation are broken up into very small ones, local interests are likely to be preferred to the general good, and local candidates for office take the place of better men-a nation ceases to be inspired by great political ideas, and cannot effectually act against other nations On the other hand, if England, or France, or the United States were represented in the national council only as a whole, what would be the result? Aristote might have replied that a state is not a state in which $30,000,000$ of pcople are united under a single government, or are represented in a single assembly, having no other connecting links; nor yet when they are subdivided into parishes: cp. vii. 4. § II.

These are extremes by which a principle may be illustrated, but no one would think of accepting either alternative. The question which Aristotle here touches has a modern and recent interest to us, and may be put in another form: "What should be the area of a constituency?' Some considerations which have to be kept in view are the following: 1) The facilities of locomotion and communication; 2) The habit or tradition of acting together among the natives of a country or district ; 3) The question of minoritiesshould the aim of a constitution be to strengthen the government, or to give a perfectly fair representation of all parties, opinions, places? 4) The greater opportunity of a political career afforded by more numerous elections and smaller bodies of electors; and, on the other hand, 5) The greater independence of the representatives of large constituencies ; and 6) The advantages or disadvan-
tares of local knowledge and of local interests have to be placed in the scale. We may conclude that in so far as the political life of a country is affected by the area of representation, it should not be so extended as to interfere with the power of common action; nor :o localized that the members of the national assembly cease any longer to think in the first place of great national interests.



 revolutions in oligarchies,-1) That arising from dissensions among the oligarchs themselves; 2) that arising from dissensions between the oligarchs and the people. The order of the two is reversed in this passage. The first which is here the second is generalized into 'that arising from those outside the governing body' ( $\dot{\eta} \xi \xi \pi \lambda \omega \nu, \S 2$ ), under which four cases are included (see Introduction). To ềva $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu(\$$ r) corresponds grammatically $\mu i \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \delta f$, which introduces one of the cases
 $x$ ias. The other mode of revolution from within is discussed at the end of $\$ 5$ кıloûvtac $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ к.r. $\lambda$., with which the second main division begins.

For a silly story about a bargain over some fish which is said to have been the origin of the revolt led by Lygdamis at Naxos, see Athenaeus viii. 348 who derives it from the $N a \xi i \omega \nu$ nod cteia in the so-called 'Polities' of Aristotle.
6. 2.

Goettling would interpret ${ }^{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ as $=a \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\eta}$ rov $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \omega v s$ which is harsh. The conjectures ait $\omega \bar{\omega}$ and $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{j} \lambda \omega \nu$ seem, at first sight, to simplify the passage, as everything from $\mu^{\prime} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a 8^{\prime}$ in § 1 onwards would then apply to the same mode of aráous ( $\dot{\eta} \xi \xi$ aiv $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ): but Aristotle in § 2 expressly distinguishes the eijropoc who are not in the government from the oligarchs, and therefore a revolution begun by them could not be described as arising $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \bar{a} \lambda \lambda \eta_{j}^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ or

6. 2. oio tiv Ma

In vi. 7.§ 4 Massalia is described by Aristotle, speaking probably of a later period, as having enlarged the narrow oligarchy by the admission of new citizens. The oligarchy thus became

6. 3. The difference was settled, not by throwing open the government to a lower class, but by the admission in greater numbers of members of the same families.
6. 5. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ढ่ $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda เ \tau \epsilon i a$.

Here the members of the governing body, see note on c. $1 . \S 10$.



From Xenophon's Hellenics ii. 3 we might be led to infer that Critias was the leading spirit of the thirty, but in Lysias contia Eratosthenem $\S 56$, p. 125 , we find that the name of Charicles precedes that of Critias among the leaders of the more extreme party, Charicles and Critias are also named together among the vouo $\theta_{i}$ ia, whom the thirty appointed in Xen. Mem. i. 2. § $3^{\mathrm{r}}$.

It is singular that the leadership of a party in the 400 should be ascribed to Phrynichus who was late in joining the attempt (Thuc. viii. 68) and was soon assassinated (c. 92). He was however a man of great ability and is said by Thucydides to have shown extraordinary energy when he once took part.
 ei $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \nu$.

The people will always be able to elect those members of the oligarchy who favour their interests. The representative depends upon his constituents, and must do their bidding. The remark of Aristotle is true, and admits of several applications. Yet the opposite reflection is almost equally true, that the popular representative easily catches the 'esprit de corps' of the society in which he mingles, and of the order or assembly to which he is admitted.

## 

We cannot be certain whether these words illustrate oi oj $\pi \lambda i r a r \dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\delta} \delta \bar{\eta} \mu$ os or $\dot{\delta} \delta \hat{\eta} \mu o s$ only. That the membership of a club should
have been the qualification for an office of which the election was in the hands of the people is remarkable (see note on $\$ 13$ infra).



Compare ii. 12. § 3, where Solon is said to have established the democracy by appointing the courts of law from the whole people.
 $\dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \gamma \hat{\omega}$.

So Plat. Rep. viii. 555 D. Compare also infra c. 12 . § 7.
Hipparinus, the father of Dion, was the chief supporter of $\mathbf{6} .8$. Dionysius (Plut. Dio c. 3), who married his daughter.



Probably the well-known general Chares who flourished between 367-333 is here intended. He was a man who, in spite of his disreputable character, contrived by corruption to maintain a great influence over the Athenian people in the decline of their glory. Of the transaction here referred to nothing more is known.

> Sià tosaútqu airiay,
> 6. 9 .
autous $=$ 'the government, or the other oligarchs, from whom the
theft is made.'
${ }^{\text {ovitor }}=$ " the thieves or peculators.' The revolution arises in two
ways, from the attack either of the thieves upon the government,
or of the government upon the thieves.
8. II.
I.e. the election of the Elean elders, besides being an election
out of certain families ( $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon v \tau \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu)$, resembled that of the Lace-
daemonian elders who were chosen but 'in a ridiculous fashion' by
the whole people. See ii. $9 . \$ 27$.
6. 12. Timophanes was a Corinthian general, who was about to become, or for a short time became, tyrant of Corinth. He was slain either by the hand (Diod. xvi. 65), or at the instigation, of his brother Timoleon (Plutarch, Timoleon, c. 4).
6. 13. $\quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi є \rho i \sum \hat{\mu} \mu \nu$.

бáuov is found in all the Greek MSS. and in the old Latin translator. It shews at any rate the faithfulness with which they copies! an unmeaning reading. $\Sigma i \mu o \nu$ which is adopted by Bekker in both editions is an ingenious conjecture of Schlosser. Simus, if he be the person mentioned in Demosthenes (de Cor. p. 241), was a Larissaean who betrayed Thessaly to king Philip.

The name of Iphiades occurs in Demosthenes (in Aristocratem, p. 679 ), where it is said that his son was, or ought to have been, given up as a hostage to the Athenians by the town, not of Abydos but of Sestos. It will be remembered that at Abydos (supra c. 6 . §6) some of the magistrates were elected by the people from a political club. The manner in which he is spoken of would lead us to suppose that Iphiades was tyrant of Abydos, and that by the help of his club he had overthrown the oligarchy.
6. 14. Of the great Euboean cities Chalcis and Eretria, as of so many other Hellenic states which were famous in the days before the Persian War, little is known. We are told in bk, iv. 3. § 3 that the Chalcidians used cavalry against their opponents, and there is an allusion in Thuc. i. 15 to the ancient war between Chalcis and Eretria which 'divided all Hellas,' again mentioned by Herod. v. 99.

The only Archias of Thebes known to us was an oligarch, who betrayed the citadel of Thebes to the Spartans, and was afterwards himself slain by Pelopidas and his fellow conspirators. An oligarchical revolution could not therefore be said to have arisen out of his punishment. Yet the uncertainty of the details of Greek history in the age of Aristotle should make us hesitate in assuming a second person of the name. The mention of Heraclea in juxtaposition
with Thebes may suggest that this is the Heraclea not in Pontus, but in Trachis. Cp. note on c. $5 . \S 3$.
 the construction of auvrous, 'They carried their party spirit against them so far.'

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6. } 16 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Chians in the later years of the Peloponnesian War were governed by an oligarchy: cp. Thuc. viii. 14. The island was recovered by Athens under the Second Empire, but again revolted in the year 458 . The population is said to have been largely composed of merchant-seamen, supra, iv. 4. §2r.

is an accusativus pendens; 'Often when there has been a certain qualification fixed at first . . . the same property increases to many times the original value,' etc.

## 

7. 8. 

The exclusiveness of aristocracy and oligarchy is equally the ruin of both, though arising in the one case from the fewness of men of virtue and good manners, in the other from the fewness of men of wealth and birth.

According to the legend the Partheniae were the progeny of Spartan women and of certain slaves or citizens of Sparta called eтtivakтo. They had in some way incurred the reproach of illegitimacy or inferiority. The fertile imagination of ancient writers, who were clearly as ignorant as ourselves, has devised several explanations of the name: they were the children of Spartans who remained at home during the Messenian war and were made Helots (Antiochus of Syracuse, fr. 14 Müller Fr. Hist. Gr. vol. i. p. 184) ; or of Helots who married the widows of those who had fallen in the war (Theop. fr. 190 Müller i. p. 310); or of the youngest of the army who had not taken the oath to remain until
the war was finished (Ephor. fr. 33 Müller i. p. 247), and were sent home to beget children.
7. 2. Aigavòpus.

For the narrative of the later life of Lysander and of his attempt to open the Spartan monarchy to all the Heraclidae of whom he himself was one, and of his overthrow by Agesilaus whose claim to the kingdom he had previously supported, see Plutarch's Life of Lysander, 24-26.

For a very curious account of the conspiracy of Cinadon, to which he was instigated by a desire to become one of the Spartan peers, see Xen. Hell. iii. 3. §§4-ir.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime}$ 'A ${ }^{\prime} \eta \sigma \pi \lambda \dot{\varphi} \varphi$ if genuine must mean 'against Agesilaus' and (less directly) against the Spartans.
 See Bergk Frag. 2-7, p. $3^{16 .}$
7. 4. Hanno is mentioned by Justin, xxi. 4. He is said to have lived in the time of Dionysius the younger about the year 346 and to have attempted to poison the senate and raise an insurrection among the slaves. Being detected and taken he was crucified with his family.
 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ àpıбтократї̀.
taîra refers to rò $\delta \dot{v} o$, democracy and oligarchy. The great difficulty is the combination of the many and the few; not of virtue with either, except from the circumstance that it so rarely exists: cp. iv. $7 . \S \$ 3,4$, and c. $8 . \$ 8$.





тoítẹ and Sıà roùto have been taken as follows: r)* (Aristocracies differ from what are termed polities in the number of elements
which they combine (supra $\S 5$ ), and the nature of the combination makes some of them more and some less stable.' The words which follow return to סoa申́fovat: 'there are such differences; for those of them which incline more to oligarchy are called aristocracies, those which incline to democracy, polities.'
2) toíre and סià roùro may be thought to refer rather to what follows than to what precedes. 'Aristocracies differ from polities in that polities include numbers, and because of this difference some of them are less and some of them more stable, some inclining more to oligarchy or the government of a few, others to polity, which is the government of a larger number.'
Susemihl takes the whole passage nearly in the same manner: 3) 'Aristocracies differ from the so-called polities in this respect (i. e. in having the three elements of $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o s, \pi \lambda o \hat{\tau} \tau o s$, d $\rho \in \tau \eta$ instead of the frrst two only), and for this reason, the former of these two kinds of governments ( $\alpha \dot{\imath}+\hat{\omega} \nu$ ) are less stable and the latter more so. For those which incline rather to oligarchy are called aristocracies, and those which incline to democracy are called polities; and for this reason they are safer than the others: for the greater number have more influence, and because they have equality they are more content.' Polity has only two elements, while aristocracy has three. The $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o s$ being one-half of the polity but only one-third of the aristocracy are better pleased with the existing government and therefore less disposed to revolution.
This way of explaining the passage gives an excellent sense. But the words ai $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \tau o \nu$, ai $\delta \hat{\delta} \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$, are partitive of ait $\hat{\omega} \nu$, which refers to ai àpıctoкpariat and cannot therefore be applied ai $\mu e ̀ \nu \mu \hat{\nu} \lambda \lambda o \nu$
 is ill written and inaccurately worded, though the general meaning is tolerably clear, namely, that there is often an ill mingling of constitutions, which in various degrees seek to unite numbers and wealth, and that of the two, numbers are the safer basis.

## 

7. 9. 

Sc. the tendency of the constitution towards the prevailing element spoken of in $\S 7$, as at Thurii from aristocracy towards oligarchy, followed by a reaction to democracy.
iv Oovpiots. Thurii was founded in the year 443 under the protection of Athens, and had nearly ceased to exist in 390. Yet in this short time it was subjected to at least two serious revoluionis. 1) that which is mentioned here from an oligarchical aristocracy into a democracy; 2) another revolution, noted infra $\S 12,1 y$ which it passed from a polity into an oligarchy of a fer familics, whether earlier or later than the preceding, is unknown. It may he conjectured, but it is only a conjecture, that the narrowing of the aristocracy briefly alluded to in this passage is the same chance with that which is afterwards mentioned more fully in § 12 , and their overthrow which ensued may be further identified with the expulsion of the Sybarites soon after the foundation of the city. It may also be conjectured with considerable probability that the government of Thurii became an oligarchy at the time when the Athenian citizens were driven out, after the failure of the Syracusan expedition.

 ктท̆бабөaı парà тòv עó $\mu о \nu$.

Lit. 'For because the qualification for office was high and also because the whole country was monopolized by the notables contrary to law, the qualification was reduced and the number of offices increased.' Either the apodosis which is attached to the first member of the sentence belongs also to the second; or a clause answering to the second has been forgotten. The revolution at Thurii was a change from aristocracy or polity to democracy. The government had grown narrow and oligarchical, and the governing class had contrived to get the land into their own hands. But the people rose against the oligarchy, lowered the qualification, increased the number of offices, and got back the land. Two reasons are given for the rising of the people, 1 ) the increase of the qualification for office, and 2) the monopoly of land which had passed into the bands of the notables.



 ${ }_{\kappa}, \tau, \lambda$.

Aristocracies are in fact more oligarchical than aristocratical, and 'the few' are always grasping at wealth. Cp. infra, c. 8.§ 16.

$$
\dot{\eta} \text { Aox } \bar{\omega} \nu \text { пó } \lambda t s .
$$

7. 10. 

The mother of Dionysius the younger was Doris a Locrian woman, and when expelled from Syracuse he was received by the citizens of Locri in a most friendly manner, but he afterwards availed himself of their good will to impose a garrison on the town. They ultimately drove out his garrison [Diodorus xiv. 44, Justin xxi. 2 and 3 ].

But why not? Aristotle seems to mean that no well-governed city would have allowed one of its citizens to marry into the family of a tyrant or would have entered into relation with him in consequence: or perhaps that in a democracy or well ordered aristocracy the marriage of a single citizen could not have become a great political event.

We may paraphrase this rather singular expression, 'In the days when the Greek world was divided between the Athenians and Lacedaemonians.'

|  <br> $i \pi{ }^{\prime} a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \mathrm{Sc} . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta a \pi a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$. <br> бофıбтıкòs $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s=o ́ \sigma \omega \rho o ́ s, ~ o r ~ ' a c e r v u s . ' ~$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |


8. 5.
and the following are causal or instrumental datives after oici ro $\epsilon x \rho \eta \bar{\sigma} \theta a$. The article is to be continued with the second $\mu \eta$ àkéeiv.

8. 5 .

For the expression of a similar spirit acting in a wider field and giving a mythological origin to the traditional policy of Rome, cp. Tac. Ann. xi. 24: 'Quid aliud exitio Lacedaemoniis et AthenienYOL. IL.
sibus fuit, quamquam armis pollerent, nisi quod victos pro alienigenis arcebant? At conditor nostri Romulus tantum sapientia valuit, ut plerosque populos eodem die hostes, dein cives habuerit,' and the real speech of Claudius (given by Orelli and Nipperdey in their edjitions).



 $\lambda$ ákis as will be seen from the comparison of c. $6 . \oint 6$ (demagogues in an oligarchy) where nothing is said about equals in an aristocracy becoming a democracy.
8. g. $\pi \rho i ̀ \pi а \rho \epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi_{\epsilon} \nu a \iota$ каì aùtoús.


airov's may be either the subject or the object of mapthinф'tua, with a slightly different meaning. Either *' before the spirit of contention has also carried away or absoribed them,' or, 'before they too have caught the spirit of contention.'

i. e the amount of the whole rateable property. The object is to preserve the same number of qualified persons, when the wealth of a city has increased or diminished.

 к.т.入.

The words karà rồzoy tò $\chi$ póvov, though somewhat pleonatic, have a sufficiently good sense. The government is to compare the present with the past value of property at that time, i. e. with the property serving as a qualification at the time when the change is occurring (eitropias vopioparos $\gamma$ ( $\gamma v o \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta s$ ). The words are placed after кat' $\begin{gathered}\text { ylaviò } \nu \text { by Susemihl following the authority of }\end{gathered}$ William of Moerbek, but the meaning is thus over emphasized.

 8. 12.

кai $\mu$ оvapдia is omitted by Bekker in his second edition, but is found in the best MSS. The advice given is at least as applicable 10 kings as to other rulers of states. máaj $\pi 0 \lambda ı r e i ́ q=$ not 'every constitutional government' but in a more general sense 'every form of government.' (See note on text.)

|  <br> $=$ rous $\pi$ apa $\quad$ tátas, 'their followers 'or 'followings.' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |


As an example of a life unsuited to the state of which they are citizens may be cited the case of the Spartan Ephors, ii. 9.§24.
 тàs àp $\chi^{a ́ s .}$

In this favourite remedy of 'conservation by antagonism,' which is really only an 'unstable equilibrium,' Aristotle does not seem to see how much of the force of the state is lost.


 previous sentence.

8. 19.
$\lambda$ 白or are military divisions to which in some states civil divisions


 apparently are to be deposited at the bureaus or centres of such divisions.



As might be done by taxes or state services exclusively imposed on the rich, or by a tax of which the rate increased in proportion to the amount assessed. Infra c. 11. § io, Aristotle tells us how

Dionysius contrived in five years to bring the whole property of his subjects into his treasury. Cp. also vi. 5. § 5 .
 $\sigma \phi \omega ิ \nu$ aúचิ̀.

The construction is ä ris ißpion rivà $\sigma \phi \bar{\omega} \nu$ av̉r $\hat{\omega} \nu$; but whether
 not clear.

Cp. Mill, Pol. Econ. Bk. v. c. 9. § 1, where he urges, much in the spirit of Aristotle and Plato, 'that no one person should be permitted to acquire by inheritance more than the amount of a moderate independence.'

In this passage, which has the appearance of a digression, Aristotle is still speaking of the preservatives of the state.

See the summing up, §5.


 Pericles claims єủvota, фоóz $\eta \sigma t s$, aj $\rho \in \tau \dot{\eta}$ as the proper qualities of a

 крєібо $\sigma \nu$.

$=$ 'administrative capacity,' 'power to do the duties of the office.'

 aipeots and obaipeots are used almost indifferently, the latter adding to the idea of choice or selection another shade of meaning ' discrimination or separation from others,'--' how we are to discriminate in the choice.'

Dependent on some more general idea to be supplied from
arop two qualities are possibly wanting in self control?'
 We need not suppose any allusion to a lost part of the Politics, or to a special treatise called ' oi vópo.' The meaning is that 'enactments in the laws of states which are supposed to be for their good are prescrvative of states.' rois vó $\mu o t s=$ 'their laws,' the article referring to modureiaus which follows.

9. 7.
 the preceding sentence.

Those who consider that rigid adherence to the principles of the existing constitution, whether democracy or oligarchy, is the only object worthy of a statesman, carry their theory to an extreme. They forget that 'happy inconsistencies' may be better than extremes. The Opportunist may do greater service to the Republic than the Intransigeant.

ка $\begin{aligned} & \text { áré } \\ & \text { pis. }\end{aligned}$
9. 7 .








- 7. 

'On account of the excess (cp. above $\bar{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon i v \eta)$ and of the defect of the opposite qualities.'

0. 8.
äh $\lambda$ as is used adverbially, as in Plato and Thucydides, in the sense of 'likewise.' Cp. Nic. Eth. ii. 4. § 3, $\pi$ pòs rò ràs ä̀ $\lambda$ as Té $\chi^{\nu a s}$ é $\chi \in \iota$, where $\quad a \lambda \lambda a s=$ 'which we are comparing with the


Ware is bracketed by Bekker (and edition) without reason; it is
found in all the MSS. and in point of Greek is unobjectionable;



Sc. foùs єijuopous $\dot{\eta}$ rò $\pi \lambda \hat{\lambda} \theta$ oss. 'So that when they destroy eilher party by laws *carried to excess [or possibly 'by laws based on superior power'] they destroy the state.'







The habit of taking a formal oath of hostility may be illustrated by an Inscription containing an agreement between certain Cretan cities:-




The inscription is given in Vischer's Kleine Schrifien, vol, ii. p. 106.

' To have the notion and act the part of one who does $n 0$ wrong,' not necessarily implying a mere profession or simulation,







Probably $\epsilon \sigma \tau i$ is to be supplied. The words do not agree with any known passage of Euripides.

'The assistance which arises from i.e. is necessitated by the people.' Such we must infer to be the meaning from the parallel clause $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{i}$ тov̀s $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \mu o u s$ which follows.

тû́s èmietkéol.
10.3 .
'The good' in the party sense, i.e. the higher classes like the ayatoi of Theognis 32 Bergk and elsewhere.

Besides the three accounts of the origin of monarchy given in 10.3. i. 2. $\S 6$ (the patriarchal); and iii. 14. § 12 and infra $\S \S 7,8$ (election for merit), and iv. $13 . \S$ II (the weakness of the middle and lower classes), we have here a fourth in which the royal authority is said to have been introduced for the protection of the aristocracy against the people.

Supra, c. 5. §8, Aristotle speaks of tyrannies arising out of the $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$. need which democracies felt of a protector of the people against the rich before they became great ( $\delta i a ̀ ~ t o ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a s ~ \epsilon i v a t ~ r a ̀ s ~ \pi o ̂ \lambda t c s) ; ~ ;$ here, when they were already 'increased in power,' ( $\eta_{0} \dot{\eta} \tau \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ $\eta^{\prime}\left(\xi \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu\right)$. But the discrepancy is verbal, For the terms greatness and littleness might be used of the same states at different periods of Greek history.

$$
\text { oi } \delta \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha \text {. }
$$

10. 5 .

Not 'the democracies,' but 'the peoples in different states.'
Pheidon, a legitimate king of Argos, tenth or sixth in descent 10.6. from Temenus, called by Herodotus (vi. 127) a tyrant, who gave the Peloponnesians weights and measures. He is said to have driven out the Elean judges, and to have usurped authority over the Olympic games. According to Ephorus fr. 15 , Müller i. p. 236, he recovered the whole lot of Temenus and attempted to reduce all the cities once subject to Heracles. He was at length overthrown by the Eleans and Lacedaemonians.

Phalaris, according to Arist. Rhet. ii. 20. §5, 1393 b. 8 ff ., was 10. 6. elected by his Himerian fellow citizens general and dictator of Himera. It was on this occasion that Stesichorus told the story
of the Horse and his Rider. Phalaris has been generally called tyrant of Agrigentum, and it is possible that his power having begun in the one city may have extended to the other.

Panaetius is mentioned in c. $12 . \S 18$ as having changed the gover申ment of Leontini from an oligarchy into a tyranny.

For Cypselus, who came into power as the representative of the people against the oligarchy of the Bacchiadae from which he was himself sprung, see Herod. v. 92.
10.8. $\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ Kó $\delta \rho o s$.

In the common tradition Codrus is supposed to have saved his country in a war with the Dorians by the voluntary sacrifice of his own life; here Aristotle implies that he delivered Athens from slavery by his military services.

who delivered the Persians from the Medes. See infra, § 24 .
10. 8. ктíaavтes $\chi$ ஸ́pav.
' Who have settled a country.'
$k r i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \chi^{\omega} \rho a \nu$ is said like $k \pi i \zeta \xi \iota \nu \pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$, with a slight enlargement of the meaning of the word.
10.8. $\quad \tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oi $\Lambda а к є \delta а ı \mu о \nu i \omega \nu ~ \beta a \sigma ı \lambda \epsilon i s . ~$

Referring, probably, not to the Lacedaemonian kings generally, who cannot be said to have added, except in the Messenian Wars, to the territory of Sparta, but to the original founders of the monarchy.
10.8. Макє $\delta \dot{\partial} \nu \omega \nu$.

Such as Perdiccas I., Alexander I. (Herod. viii. 137 ff.), Archelaus (Thuc. ii. 100), Philip the father of Alexander the Great and others.
10.8. Модотт $\omega$ ע.

Cp. infra, c. 11. § 2, where the moderation of the Molossian monarchy is eulogized.



 n.pरoptyous:-in which the ideal conception of royalty maintained in the Politics also appears.

10. 13.

See note on iii. $13 . § \mathbb{1}$.

10. 15 .
 (supra, § 14). Cp. Thuc. i. 20, vi. 54-58. The account of Aristotle agrees in the main with that of Thucydides, but there is no mention of the critical question raised by the latter, viz. whether Hippias or Hipparchus was the elder son of Peisistratus. The Peisistratidae are loosely spoken of as the authors of the insult, and the punishment inflicted is assumed to be the punishment of a tyrant. But the language of Aristotle is not sufficiently precise to be adduced on either side of the question.

10. 16.

Mentioned above, c. 4. $\$ 9$, where, not inconsistently with the account here given, he is said to have been attacked by conspirators, although the conspirators failed in attaining their object, for the people took the government.
$\hat{\eta}^{\prime}$ A $\mu$ ivtov rov̀ $\mu$ ккрой.
10. 16.

Probably Amyntas the Second who flourished in the generation which followed the Peloponnesian War and succeeded after a struggle to the Macedonian throne b. c. 394, from which however he was deposed but afterwards restored by the help of the Spartans.

Derdas the prince of Elymia his kinsman, and at one time his ally, is probably the conspirator here mentioned.

10. 16.

The only direct allusion to Philip which is found in Aristotle


 there is none.

The murder of Philip by Pausanias occurred at the marriage of his daughter with Alexander of Epirus b.c. 336 . The mention of the circumstance shows that this passage, if not the whole of the Politics, must have been composed later than the date of this event.

The story here referred to is narrated more fully by Diodorus (xvi. 93). According to his rather incredible narrative Atialus was the uncle of Cleopatra whom Philip married in 337 B.C., and he had a friend also named Pausanias of whom the assassin Pausanias was jealous. Pausanias the friend of Attalus being abused and insulted by his namesake, sought death in battle, and Attalus, to revenge the supposed insult to his friend, invited the other Pausanias to a banquet and outraged him. When Philip could not or would not punish Attalus, Pausanias turned his anger against the king. Nearly the same story is told by Justin ix, 6 . and Plutarch Alex. c. 10.

Sc. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \theta \epsilon \sigma t s$. Eiayópa is governed by the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{i}$ in $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \theta \epsilon \sigma / s$. The story is differently told by Theopompus (Fragm. III, Müller i. p. 295). According to his account the eunuch Thrasydaeus got Evagoras and his sons into his power by inducing them to make assignations with a young maiden, who was the daughter of Nicocreon, a revolted subject of Evagoras. According to Diodorus (xv. 47) the name of the eunuch who conspired was Nicocles; but the name is probably a confusion with the son of Evagoras who succeeded him. Isocrates in his 'Evagoras' throws a veil over the whole story. Thus our four authorities all disagree with one another.
10. 17. Archelaus, the son of Perdiccas, reigned in Macedonia 4 13-399. and had two wives,-the name of the second was Cleopatra, the name of the first is not mentioned. He seems to have thought that be would prevent quarrels in his two families if he married a son and daughter out of each of them to one another. For Archelaus see Thuc, ii. 100 and Plat. Gorg. 470, 471; for Arrhabaeus (or

Arrhibreus) the enemy of Perdiccas, as he was afterwards the encmy of Archelaus, see Thuc. iv. 79. Of Sirra, which appears to be the name of a woman, nothing more is known. The occurrence of the name in this passage has suggested a very ingenious cmendation in

 (Dindorf.)
10. 18.

Cotys was assassinated in $35^{8}$ B. c. by the brothers Heraclides and Parrhon called also Python, Dem. c. Aristocr. p. 659. According to Plut. Adv. Coloten 32 and Diog. Laert, iii. 31 they had been dieciples of Plato.
10. 19.




The first кai means that attempts were also made in consequence of personal ill-treatment of another sort, and the second xai that they were made not only upon tyrants, but upon magistrates and royal personages. See also note on Text.

In this passage, though speaking primarily of tyrannies, Aristotle digresses into monarchies generally and oligarchies.
'vextip
ITev日入ióas.
It was Penthilus, the son of Orestes, who according to Strabo, bk. ix. p. 403, xiii. p. 582, and Pausanias iii. 2. p. 207 recolonized Lesbos. The Penthalidae derived their name from him.
10. 20.

This story, which casts a rather unfavourable light on the character of Euripides, is alluded to in Stobaeus, Serm. 39. p. 237,

 'Your breath smells.' 'Yes,' he replied, 'for many things which might not be spoken have been decomposed in my mouth.'

10. 2 x.

We must supply $\pi \not \operatorname{ppi}^{i}$ in thought before $\mu_{\text {avapxics. It }}$ is inserted
in the margin of $\mathrm{P}^{5}$. 'As well in monarchies as in more popular forms of government.'

 ขoîuta dià tò òeınveiv.

The Xerxes here referred to is Xerxes the First, cp. Ctesiae





 Eujŋбкє, According to Diod. xi. 69, Artabanus an Hyrcanian, having by a false accusation got rid of one of the sons of Xerxes, shortly afterwards attacked the other son Artaxerxes who succeeded him, but he was discovered and put to death. Both these stories, which are substantially the same, are so different from the narrative of Aristotle that it is better not to try and reconcile them by such
 Aristotle's rather obscure words seems to be as follows: Artapanes had hanged Darius the son of Xerxes who was supposed to have conspired against his father; he had not been told to hang him or he had been told not to hang him (for ou кєлєúvavtos may mean either) ; but he had hoped that Xerxes in his cups would forget what precisely happened.

Ctesias is several times quoted by Aristotle in the Historia Animalium but always with expressions of distrust, ii. 1. $5^{01}$ a. ${ }^{2} 5$, iii. 22. $5^{2} 3$ a. 26 , viii. 28.606 a. 8 ; also De Gen. An. ii. 2. $73^{6}$ a. 2.
10.22. इарঠ́аиі́та入оу.

A rather mythical person apparently the same with the Assurbanipal of the Assyrian inscriptions, a mighty hunter and great conqueror, who became to the Greeks and through them to the civilized world the type of oriental luxury. The story of his effeminacy is taken by Diodorus (ii, 23-27) from Ctesias and in again referred to by Aristotle in Nic. Eth. i. $5 . \S 3$.

10. 22.

For another example of a similar manner of treating old legends, see i. $11, \S 8$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 10. } 23 .
\end{aligned}
$$

See infra §§ 28 and 32 .

Aristotle in this passage follows a legend, differing from that of Herodotus who selected the tradition about Cyrus' life (i. 95 ff .) and death (i. 214) which seemed to him the most probable. In Aristotle's version Cyrus, not Harpagus, was represented as the general of Astyages. Of a misconception entertained by Herodotus, Aristotle speaks with some severity in his Historia Animalium, iii. 22, 5 23 a. 17 .

## 

10. 24. 

A friend and acquaintance of Xenophon who recovered his small kingdom by the help of some of the ten thousand. He is mentioned in Anab. vii. 3, Hell. iii. 2. § 2, iv. 8. § 26.
viov 'Apıoßap̧ávy Mı日pıóát $\eta$ s. 10.25.

According to Corn. Nepos Datames, c. 11, Mithridates the son of Ariobarzanes, a revolted satrap of Pontus, attacked not Ariobarzanes but Datames the celebrated satrap of Caria. It does not therefore become less probable that he may also have attacked his own father; and the latter fact is confirmed by the allusion of



10. 28.
'There should be ever present with them the resolution of Dion.'

|  | 10. 28. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sc. ${ }_{\text {orb }}$. |  |


10. 30.
$\Delta$ to, ' because one form of government naturally hates another.'


 the Lacedaemonian hatred to tyranny.
10. 30. каi इvpaкoviator.

This period of liberty and prosperity lasted for sixty years, $466-$ 406 , from the overthrow of Thrasybulus to the usurpation of Dionysius. But more is known of Sicily in the days of the tyrants than of the time when the island was comparatively free.

The final expulsion of Dionysius the younger by Timoleon occurred B. c. 343 ; but it is the first expulsion by Dion to which Aristotle is here referring, B.C. 356 , as the Politics were written not earlier than $33^{6}$ (see supra note on $\S$ r 6 ). We have thus

- a measure of the latitude with which Aristotle uses the expression kai vûv 'quite lately' which recurs in ii. $9 . § 20$, каi vî̀ '̇̀ rois 'Avópious.

Either i) the same persons who are called oikeiol $\sigma v \sigma \pi a ́ y \tau \epsilon s$, or some part of them, oi ovarávres being taken substantively=oi $\sigma v \sigma \tau a-$ $\sigma \iota \omega \bar{\tau} a$, Or 2) avt $\omega \bar{\nu}$ may be understood of the whole people as if moditat had preceded; $\sigma v \sigma \tau a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ would then refer to another band of conspirators who were not of the family. Bekker in his second edition has inserted кат' before av่т $\omega \nu$ without MS. authority. Susemihl suggests $\mu \epsilon \tau a$. Neither emendation is satisfactory,

The reign of Thrasybulus, if indeed he reigned at all except in the name of his nephew, as seems to be implied in this passage, lasted only eleven months; see infra c. $12 . \S 6$. According to Diodorus (xi.67,68), who says nothing of a son of Gelo, he immediately succeeded Hiero, but soon provoked the Syracusans by his cruelty and rapacity to expel him.



This is a reminiscence of $\S 28$. The emphasis is on $\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa \beta a \lambda \omega v$. Aristotle is speaking of cases in which tyrants were destroyed br
members of their own family. He means to say that Dion drove out Dionysius who was his kinsman, although he himself perished more than twelve months afterwards when the revolution was completed. Or, 'Dion did indeed perish (as I have already implied), but not until he had driven out his kinsman Dionysius.'
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { à } \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ т o ̀ ~ & \text { йбos, } \\ 10.35 .\end{array}$
sc. $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota \tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega}$ which is supplied from the preceding sentence.

sc. $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\phi \theta o \rho a ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ồıyapxias, understood from the general meaning of the preceding passage.

10. 37 .

Cp. iii. 14. § 13 , a passage in which the gradual decline of royalty is described.

10. 37 .

The objection to the kai (which is found in all the MSS.) is that $\mu o v a p \chi i a$ is elsewhere the generic word (cp. supra $\S \S \mathbf{I}, 2$ ), including Baatheia and rupavis. If we accept the reading of the MSS., some general idea, 'wherever there are such forms of government' must be supplied with $\gamma i \boldsymbol{j} \nu \omega \nu r a c$ from $\beta a \sigma i \lambda e i a t$. 'There are no royalties nowadays: but if there are any, or rather 'instead of them mere monarchies and tyrannies.' Here 'monarchies' is taken in some specinic bad or neutral sense opposed to ßaaricial. But a variation in a technical use of language which he was endeavouring to fix, but was not always capable of himself observing, is not a serious objection to a reading found in Aristotle's Politics.

10. $3^{8}$
'For their overthrow was easily effected.' The imperfect graphically represents the historical fact.
$\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\text { Mo }}$ Morrov̀s $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a$.
11. 2,

Cp. supra, c. 10.§8.
11. 2. Theopompus is said by Tyrtaeus to have terminated the frst Messenian War, Fr. 3 Bergk, Poet. Lyr. Graeci :-









According to Plutarch, Lyc. 7, he increased the power of the Ephors, but he also made the $\dot{\mu} \eta \mathrm{r} \rho a$ more stringent which forbade the people to amend or modify proposals submitted to them.

In this passage the institution of the Ephors is attributed to Theopompus, but in ii. c. 9 it seems to be assumed that Lycurgus is the author of all the Spartan institutions: see note in loc.

Cp. Thuc. viii. 66 where the difficulty of overthrowing the 400 is attributed to the uncertainty of the citizens as to who were or were not included in the conspiracy.

 authority 'praefectos populi,' apparently an etymological guess.
$\pi \in \rho i$ Eipas. Either *' at his gate' or 'at their own gates.' In whichever way the words are taken, the general meaning is the same, viz. that the people are not to hide but to show themselves.
 тре́фптаи.
I) * Reading $\ddot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ with Bekkers second edition after Victorius: ' Also he should impoverish his subjects that he may find money for the support of his guards.' Yet the mode of expression is indirect and awkward. If 2) we retain $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau e$ with the MSS. we must translate either 'that he may not have to keep soldiers,' for his subjects will keep them for him; or, 'so that a guard need not
be kept,' because he will be in no danger on account of the depressed state of his subjects. Neither explanation is satisfactory; there is a balance of difficulties.

11. 9 .

See Herod. i. 14 .
Florence in the fifteenth century, and Paris in the nineteenth, witness to a similar policy.

11. 9 .

Lit. and 'among' or 'of the buildings of Samos the works of Polycrates.' Among these splendid works an artificial mountain containing a tunnel forming an aqueduct, a mole in front of the harbour, and the greatest temple known, are commemorated in Herod. iii. 60, but he does not expressly attribute them to Polycrates.



Compare a story equally incredible told of Cypselus in the pseudo-Aristotelian Oeconomics ii. 1346 a .32 : 'Cypselus the Corinthian made a vow that if he ever became lord of the city he would consecrate to Zeus the whole wealth of the citizens, so he bade them register themselves, and when they were registered he took from them a tithe of their property and told them to go on working with the remainder. Each year he did the like; the result was that at the end of ten years he got into his possession all which he had consecrated; the Corinthians meanwhile had gained other property.'

There are several similar legends respecting Dionysius bimself recorded in the Oeconomics, such as the story of his collecting the women's ornaments, and after consecrating them to Demeter lending them to himself, 1349 a .14 ; or of his taking the money of the orphans and using it while they were under age, ib. b. I5; or of his imposition of a new cattle-tax, after he had induced his subjects to purchase cattle by the abolition of the tax, $\mathrm{ib} . \mathrm{b} .6$. The fertile imagination of the Greeks was a good deal occupied with inventions about the tyrants; the examples given throw a light upon the character of such narratives.
VOL. II.
11.10. $\beta$ Cp. note on text.

i.e. 'for they are both alike.'

Sc. '́kкpoieta, 'one nail is knocked out by another' = one rogue is got rid of by another. That is to say; 'The tyrant finds in rogues handy and useful instruments.' Such appears to be the application of the proverb in this passage. Yet the common meaning of it given in collections of proverbs is that 'one evil is mended by another,' Cp. Lucian, Pro Lapsu inter Salutandum, § 7 ,




11. 13. aítòv yàp cival póvov ą̧uâ towoitov ó тúpavvos.

Compare the saying attributed to the Russian Emperor Paul, 'Il n'y a pas de considérable ici que la personne à laquelle je parle, et pendant le temps que je lui parle.' Wallace's Russia, p. 280, ed. 8.


11. 16. cis oùs $\mu \notin \nu$ oỉv ôpous . . . фpov̀̃atv.

The end of $\S \mathbf{1} 6$ is bracketed by Bekker in his and Edition (aftur Schneider). It is only a repetition of what goes before, the three aims of the tyrant being stated in a different order.

The ust in $\S \mathrm{I}_{5}=3$ rd in $\$ 16$.


The parallel words are either a summary or a duplicate.
But there is no reason for excluding either of the two passages any more than for excluding the repetitions in Homer. Both versions can hardly be supposed to have come from the hand of Aristotie, but they belong to a text which we cannot go behind.

11. 17.

Literally, 'the other manner of preserving a tyranny takes pains,' i.e. works, 'from an opposite direction.'

 ${ }_{\kappa a} \lambda \bar{\omega}$.

Compare Machiavelli, who in his 'Prince' goes much farther than Aristotle in preaching the doctrine of 'doing evil that good may come' and of 'keeping up appearances' and of 'fear to be preferred to love.' 'Let it be the Prince's chief care to maintain his authority; the means he employs, be they what they may, will for this purpose always appear honourable and meet applause; for the vulgar are ever caught by appearances and judge only by the event.' (c. 18, Bohn's Translation, p. 461.) Again 'A prince ought to be very sparing of his own or of his subjects' property.'... 'To support the reputation of liberality, he will often be reduced to the necessity of levying taxes on his subjects and adopting every species of fiscal resource, which cannot fail to make him odious.' (c. 16. pp. 454, 455.) And for much of what follows, infra $\$ \$ 20$, 25 : 'He should make it a rule above all things never to utter anything which does not breathe of kindness, justice, good faith and piety; this last quality it is most important for him to appear to possess, for men judge more from appearances than from reality.' (ib.) Again, cp. §\$22,23 with Machiavelli c. 19. p. 462 : 'Nothing in my opinion renders a prince so odious as the violation of the rights of property and disregard to the honour of married women. Subjects will live contentedly enough under a prince who neither invades their property nor their honour, and then he will only have to contend against the pretensions of a few ambitious persons whom he can easily find means to restrain. A prince whose conduct is light, inconstant, pusillanimous, irresolute and effeminate is sure to be despised-these defects he ought to shun as he would so many rocks and endeavour to display a character for courage, gravity, energy and magnificence in all his actions.' Like Aristotle he advises that princes should practise economy and not overcharge the people with taxes; they should give festivals and shows at
certain periods of the year and 'should remember to support their station with becoming dignity,' p. 476. Cp. Hallam, Mid. Ages i. 66, 'The sting of taxation is wastefulness. What high-spirited man could see without indignation the earnings of his labour yielded ungrudgingly to the public defence become the spoil of parasitus and speculators?' (quoted by Congreve).
11. 19. Bekker in his and edition, following a suggestion of Schneider, adds $\epsilon$ is before $\delta \omega \rho \in a ́ s$, but unnecessarily.
11.22. The moderation here described in everything but ambition was shown by the elder Dionysius as he is pictured by Cornelius Nepos De Regibus c. 2 : 'Dionysius prior . . et manu fortis et belli peritus fuit, et, id quod in tyranno non facile reperitur, minime libidinosus, non luxuriosus, non avarus, nullius rei denique cupidus, nisi singularis perpetuique imperii, ob eamque rem crudelis. Nam dum id studuit munire, nullius pepercit vitae, quem ejus insidiatorem putaret.'

The second Dionysius would furnish a tyrant of the opposite type (§23), if we may believe the writer of the Aristotelian Polity of




These words curiously illustrate the love of ostentation inherent in the Greek character.

Like Polycrates at Samos, Gelo at Syracuse, Cypselus and Periander at Corinth, Theron at Agrigentum, Peisistratus at Athens.
11. 28. кода́бє $\omega$.

Bracketed by Bekker in his and edition after Schneider. Certainly the word is not appropriate if taken with $\dot{\eta} \lambda \Delta x i a v$, but $\ddot{\imath} \beta p \epsilon \omega s$ may be supplied with $\tau \bar{\eta} s$ єis $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{j} \lambda \iota \kappa$ ciav from the preceding.
11. 30. סıaфөєipavtes.

Sc. tòv ripavgoy.
$\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi \grave{\nu} \nu} \theta_{\nu} \mu \hat{\varphi} \mu i \chi \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.
11. 31.



For the arts of the tyrant cp. Nachiavelli's 'Prince' quoted above, especially chaps. $14,15,16,17,19,21,23$.

The consciousness that no other government could hold the halance between irreconcileable parties seems to have been the main support of recent French Imperialism.
 д̈әта, каі $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о \nu \eta \rho o ̀ v ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \pi \pi o ́ \nu \eta \rho o \nu$.

Cp. Machiavelli, Prince, c. 15. p. 453 , in a still more subtle style of reflection: 'It would doubtless be happy for a prince to unite in himself every species of good quality, but as our nature does not allow of so great a perfection a prince should have prudence cnough to avoid those defects and vices which may occasion his ruin.' And again: 'He should not shrink from encountering some Wame on account of vices which are important to the support of his states; for there are some things having the appearance of virtues which would prove the ruin of a prince, should he put them in practice, and others upon which, though seemingly bad and vicious, his actual welfare and security entirely depend.'

Hdt. vi. 126 gives the Sicyonian tyrants as 1) Andreas, 2) Myron, 12. i. 3) Aristonymus, 4) Cleisthenes. According to Pausanias x. 7.§3. p. 814 Cleisthenes is said to have won a victory in the Pythian games b.c. 582. Grote (vol. iii. c. 9. p. 43) says'there is some confusion about the names of Orthagoras and Andreas. It has been supposed with some probability that the same person is designated under both names: for the two names do not seem to occur in the same author.' Orthagoras, 'speaker for the right,' may have been a surname or second name of Andreas. Infra § I 2, Aristotle supposes the tyranny to have passed directly from Myron to Cleisthenes.
have gone to the Court of the Areopagus intending to defend him. self against a charge of homicide, but his accuser did not appear.
12. 3. Cypselidae.

The addition in this passage appears to be incorrect.

| Cypselus | 30 | years. |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Periander | 44 | $"$ |
| Psammetichus | 3 | $"$ |
|  |  |  |

From these numbers how does Aristotle get a total $73 \frac{1}{2}$ years?
 кодта. Giphanius would omit каì tétrapa after тєттарákoyтa. Suscmihl would change $\tau$ є́ттapa into $\tilde{\eta}_{\mu} \mu \sigma$, which would give exactly the sum wanted. Goettling has a very farfetched and groundless supposition that the reign of Psammetichus was omitted by Aristotle in the addition, because he was only a commander of mercenaries and not of Cypselid blood. It might also be suggested that some of the reigns overlap in consequence of a tyrant adopting his successor as colleague. But a mistake either of Aristotle or his copyists is more likely.

All the MSS. read rérrapa or ré $\sigma \sigma a p a$.

Hdt. v. 65 makes the Peisistratidae rule Athens 36 years.
Peisistratus seized the sovereignty in 560 b.c. and died in $5^{27}$; he reigned 17 years out of the 33 . Hippias reigned 14 years before the death of Hipparchus (514), and in the year 510 , four years afterwards, he was expelled. $17+14+4=35$.

The whole period $560-510$ is 50 years, 35 of actual rule. In the calculation of Herodotus there is a year more. From Thuc. vi, 54 we learn that even at Athens not 100 years after the event, there were erroneous ideas about the expulsion of the Peisistratidae.
12.6. Here the addition is correct. $7+10+1=18$, although the time assigned to Hiero's reign does not agree with the statement of Diodorus (xi. 66) that he reigned if years. But why does

Aristotle omit Dionysius, whose tyranny lasted longer, and therefore afforded a better example? Dionysius I b.c. 405-367, Dionysius II $3^{6} 7-35^{6}$, and again $346-344$, besides the shorter reigns of Dion and others, in all about 60 years.
$i \delta i \omega s$.
12. 7.
i. e. in any way specially applicable to that form of government.

We may observe that Aristotle criticises the Platonic number as $\mathbf{1 2 .} 8$. if it had a serious meaning : yet he omits $\tau \rho i s$ av $\xi_{\eta} \theta \in i s$, words which are an essential part of the calculation, after dio ippovias тарє́ $\chi$ єаи. (See Rep. viii. $54^{6 \mathrm{C}}$ C.)

12. 9.
 sentence. 'And in what is any special change made by time?' i. e. What has time alone to do with the changes of states?
 Sia riv' airiav (infra § 10 ). 'And why should things which do not begin together change together ?'

Aristotle unfairly criticizes Plato's order as if it were meant to be an order in time. The same objection might be taken to his own use of the phrases $\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta a \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ and $\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta a i \nu \epsilon \nu$ in Nic. Eth. wiii. 10, where he talks as if states always 'passed over' into their opposites :- the 'passing over' is logical, a natural connexion of ideas, not always historical.
 Sıà тiv' aitiav, кaì eis moian nodereiav.

1) *: He never says whether tyranny is or is not liable to revolutions, and if it is, what is the cause of them and into what form it changes'-a condensed sentence in which kai is omitted before

2) It is also possible and perhaps better, with Bekker in his second edition, to place a comma after the second oütc: oü $\tau^{\prime}$, si $\mu \eta$ $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma$ тai, dià riv' airiav. (It will be remembered that tyranny is the last
development of the Platonic cycle, and it is natural to ask 'Why does not the cycle continue or return into itself?') The meaning may then be paraphrased as follows: 'He never says whether (as might be expected) tyranny, like other forms of government, experiences a change, or if not, what is the explanation of this inconsistency?'
12. 12. $\dot{\eta}$ Xapı入áov.

According to Heraclides Ponticus (fr. 2 Müller) Charillus, as the name is also spelt in ii. 10. § 2 , or Charilaus, as here, made himself tyrant during the absence of Lycurgus, who on his return to Sparta restored or introduced good order. The change which he then effected in the constitution of Sparta is called by Aristotle, who appears to follow the same tradition, a change from tyranny to aristocracy.

Sc. tupavis $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu$ eis ápıбтokpatiav. Yet he says in Book ii. c. 11. § 2 -'that Carthage has never had a sedition worth speaking of, nor been under a tyrant,' and a similar statement occurs in this chapter ( $\$ \mathrm{I}_{4}$ ). Cp. also vi. $5 . \S 9$, roooitov $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тwa

 avoid this apparent contradiction St. Hilaire conjectures $\mathrm{X} a \lambda \kappa \eta \delta o \sigma^{\prime}$, a useless emendation of which there can be neither proof nor disproof; for we know nothing of the history of Chalcedon and not much of the history of Carthage.

It might be argued that the text as it stands may refer to a time in the history of Carthage before the establishment of the aristocratical constitution described in Bk, ii. c. 11, as he says in this very passage of Lacedaemon, § 12 , that it passed from tyranny into aristocracy. But such a violent supposition is hardly to be assumed in order to save Aristotle's consistency. In § I4 infra, he calls Carthage a democracy. In ii. 11. §5, he talks of it as having a democratic element.
 $\pi \in \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \nu$.

Here as elsewhere Aristotle is really objecting to a figure of speech, Plat. Rep. iv. 422 E ; viii. 551 D . It may be certainly said of a state which is governed by an oligarchy, with much more truth than of a timocracy or democracy, that it consists of two cities.

Bekker inserts кai in his and Edition-àбатеvóuevol (каi) кararoxı-12، 17. ऍósevol. The addition makes no change in the sense.

Yet in iii. 15. § 12 , Aristotle says that oligarchies passed into trannies and these into democracies.

## BOOK VI.

The greater part of Book vi. has been already anticipated in iv. There are also several repetitions of Book v. A few sentences may be paralleled out of ii, and iii. (See English Text.) The whole is only a different redaction of the same or nearly the same materials which have been already used; not much jo added. The varieties of democracy and oligarchy and the causes of their preservation or destruction are treated over again, but in a shorter form. The management of the poor is worked out in greater detail : the comparison of the military and civil constitution of a state is also more precise and exact. The magistrates required in states are regarded from a different point of view : in iv. they are considered chiefly with reference to the mode of electing them and their effect on the constitution; in vi. they are enumerated and described, and the officers necessary to all states are distinguished from those which are only needed in certain states. There are several passages in which a previous treatment of the same subjects is recognized ( $1 . \S \mathrm{I}, \S 5, \S 8, \S 10 ; 4 . \S \mathrm{I}, \S \mathrm{I}_{5}$; $5 . \S 2 ; 8 . \S 1$ ). The references seem to have been inserted with a view of combining the two treatments in a single work.

## 

scems to indicate the supplementary character of this part of the work. 1) 'As well as any omission of those matters (iecivev) which have just been mentioned,' i. e. the offices, law-courts, etc.; or $2^{*}$ ) exeivon may refer to the forms of constitutions [ $\pi$ ohict

1. 4-6. Bekker in his and edition inserts $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ tò before $\beta$ orituóuevov in § 4 , and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$ before $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$ in $\S 6$ without any authority, both apparently in order to make the language smoother and more regular. But this is not a good reason for altering the text of Aristotle.

＇which they call oligarchy，＇is perhaps only an example of un－ meaning pleonasm like the expression $\delta$ ка入oífyos ainp，Meteor．
 But it is also possible that Aristotle here uses the term in the wider sense in which he has previously spoken of oligarchy and de－ mocracy as the two principal forms of government under which the rest are included（iv． $3 . \S 6$ ）．Cp．note on iv．8．§ I．

$$
\tau \hat{\eta} \delta^{\circ} \text { äпаעтa тā̄тa. }
$$

＇All the democratic elements of which he has spoken generally and is going to speak more particularly，i．e．election by lot， elections of all out of all，no property qualification，payment of the citizens（etc．，see infra c．2．§ 5），＇may exist in the same state．＇

$\mu \epsilon r^{\prime} \chi o \nu r a s$, accusative absolute，or a second accusative after $\lambda \epsilon \gamma_{\epsilon \iota \nu} \in \dot{\omega} \theta a \sigma \iota \nu$ ，the subject and object being nearly the same．

тoût＇єival kai ténos，kai roûr＇tival tò dikalov．
2． 2.
＇That is also the end，and that is the just principle．＇
citef roû Soúnou ơvtos rò کク̆v．
2． 3
The MSS．vary between סov入eviovtos and סovinov övros．Supply


2． 4.
＇The impatience of control passes into the love of equality； mankind are unwilling to be ruled and therefore they rule and are ruled in turn．Thus the two characteristics of freedom meet or coincide．＇

2． 5 ．
The oid translator takes this as if he read $\eta_{j}^{\prime}$ k．But we may retain kai，regarding ék mávtov as explanatory of the manner in which the whole people exercised their judicial functions by the election of smaller bodies out of their own number．


 $\mu \epsilon$ yicotal kupiav] gives no suitable meaning, It is possible to correct it $\mathbf{I}^{*}$ ) by placing the words $\hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \kappa \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ after $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$, or 2) by inserting $\mu \grave{\eta}$ before $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\gamma} \tau \omega \nu$ [Lambinus].
2. 6. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$
is used in the generic sense to include the dópiotos $\dot{\mu} \rho \times \dot{\eta}$ of iii. 1. §7.

Sc. iv. 6. §5 and c. 15. § г 3.

i. e. the chief magistrates whom the law required to take their meals together. This, which is a regulation prescribed by Aristotle in vii. 12. § 2, may be inferred to have been the general custom.

The term oligarchy is here used nearly in the sense of aristocracy. Education cannot be said to be characteristic of oligarchy in the strict sense of the word. Cp. iv. 8. §3. 'The term aristocracy is applied to those forms of government which incline towards oligarchy, because birth and education are commonly the accompaniments of wealth.'

 magistrates cp. iii. $16 . \S \mathrm{I}$; v. $1 . \S \S 10$, 1 I ; c. $10 . \S 5$.

These words are translated in the text *'has survived some ancient change'; they may also mean, though the expression is somewhat inaccurate, 'have survived from the old state before the change.' For an example of such a 'survival' compare the custom at Epidamnus of the magistrates going into the assembly at elections, v. 1. § 10.

2. 9.
raṽra, i. e. 'election out of all, all over each, each over all, some parment for services, poverty, mean birth are in various degrees characteristic of all democracies.'

2. 9.
is the reading of all the MSS. except one, and is supported by Moerbek. The phrase is peculiar: 'that the poor should no more have power than the rich'- we might expect rather 'that the rich should no more have power than the poor.' But Aristotle is speaking of democracy in the previous passage. It has been suggested that we should transpose the words; for the confusion of eíropor and äropor (ii. 11. § 12 , iii. 17. §4, and v. $3, \$ 8$ ) is common, and renders such a transposition not improbable. But a sufficiently good meaning is elicited from the lext as it stands.





 is that the five hundred men of property should have as many votes as the thousand; of the second case that the proportion between the rich and the poor being maintained $(500=1000)$, the electors instead of voting directly should choose representatives in equal numbers and transfer to them all the electoral and judicial power.
$\chi$ inios is the dative after $\delta \iota e \lambda \epsilon i v:$ ' to distribute to or among the thousand the qualification of the 500.' The clause which follows (кai . . . $\pi$ тиraxooiots) is explanatory and illustrates the meaning. The qualification of the 500 is to be distributed among the 1000 , and so the 1000 are equal to the 500 . Others take the words with ioov $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \sigma \theta a t$, placing a comma at $\delta \in \epsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \nu$, 'and arrange the qualifications so that the votes of the 500 should be equal to those of the 1000 , and the 1000 equal to the 500 .' According to this
 with $\chi^{\text {aidioss, }}$ sc. moditass, for which we should have expected tois T $\hat{\omega} \nu \chi^{i \lambda i \omega \nu}$. The irregularity is not continued in the next clause.
$\delta_{i c \lambda \epsilon i \nu}^{\mu i \nu}$ ovitos. 'We ought to distribute the qualification in this proportion, i.e. so that 1000 shall have together as much as 500 have together; and carry out the principle by electing an equal number of representatives from both.' In the previous case Aristotle supposes a direct election, in this an election through representatives.

The word suapé $\sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ in this passage is doubtful. If genuine, it probably means the distribution of the citizens in classes or courts,

 кípoу к.т. $\lambda$.
' It is commonly said that the majority must prevail, but in the majority the elements both of wealth and numbers have to be included. Suppose for example there are ten rich and twenty poor, six rich are of one opinion, fifteen poor of another. Five poor vote with the six rich, and four rich with the fifteen poor. When both are added up, then of whichever side the qualification exceeds, that is supreme.'

In the instance given, assuming the qualification of the poor to be half that of the rich then the votes of the side on which

> the poor have a majority $=4 \times 2+15=23$, the rich have a majority $=6 \times 2+5=17$, Majority of poor . . .

The precise arithmetical expression which is given to an imaginary problem is rather curious. It is also remarkable that the formula which is used seems applicable to timocracy rather than to democracy, which is now being discussed. But here as elsewhere Aristotle is always trying to escape from democracy pure and simple.
 тоі̀тo ки́pьov.
ixarepots is the dative after imepreivel and a pleonastic explanation of oппотép $\omega \nu$.



 meaning that which comes first in the classification of democracies,' because it is the best and most natural, implied in Bintaros ү'ip $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o s$.
 dition of $\beta \in \lambda$ riorq $\nu$ which may be supplied from $\beta \in \lambda$ riaros. Or Aristotle may mean, that you can have a democracy (though not commonly found to exist) among a rustic population, for that is the very best material of a democracy.
$\dot{a} \pi \dot{o} \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i a s \hat{\eta} v o \mu \hat{\eta} s$. Aristotle is here speaking not of nomadic tribes 'cultivating their living farm' (i. $8 . \S 6$ ), who are far from being the most peaceable of mortals, not of an exclusively pastoral life at all (cp. § Ir infra), but of the tending of cattle as one of the ordinary pursuits of an agricultural population.




It may appear strange that their being poor should be a reason why people do not desire the property of others. But though a little paradoxical the meaning is clear. Aristotle is describing a population which having little or no independent means, is abvorbed in labour, and can only obtain through their labour the necessaries of life; they are patient as well as industrious, and too busy to covet the property of others.



These words probably mean that a body of representatives elected the magistrates, this body consisting of persons elected in turn, or by sections out of all the citizens. A similar principle was adopted in the constitution of Telecles the Milesian (iv. 14. § 4), in which the citizens were to deliberate by turns, as here they clect by turns.



 Mantinea is to be counted as a democracy 'after a fashion,' at a certain period of her history, because the electors to offices, although themselves a small body only, were elected by all, and because the whole people had the right of deliberating. Schneider thinks that the names of the magistrates mentioned in the treaty made between Athens, Argos, Mantinea and Elis, B.c. 420 (Thuc. v. 47), likewise indicate a democratic form of government. But this is fanciful. That Mantinea was at that time a democracy may be more safely inferred from the alliance which she formed with Athens and Argos. Aristotle's cautious language would lead us to suppose that the government of Mantinea, though not strictly speaking a democracy, wore the appearance of one, and was a form of government which he himself greatly admired, being in name a democracy but in reality administered by its chief citizens.
4. 5, 6. The chief magistrates are to be a select class possessing a high qualification, but they will be controlled by the whole people. Thus the democratical constitution is supposed to be happily balanced. But it may be questioned whether a democracy which has a supreme power in the assembly would be willing to elect its magistrates from a privileged class. It may equally be doubted, whether a great people like the Athenians would have submitted to the checks and artifices by which democracy is bridled. Such theories of government look well in books, but they are 'paperconstitutions' only. They may sometimes be realized in fact when erents have prepared the way for them; but cannot be imposed as the behests of political philosophy on a reluctant people merely with a view to their good.

$\delta$ ò refers to what has preceded. 'And because of the general contentment which is thereby secured, it is advantageous to this rural form of democracy to be allowed to elect officers and review and judge': a thought which is illustrated in what follows, $\S 6$.

## 

Lit. 'and they are blameless,' 'do no wrong,' or taken in connexion with the preceding words, as in the translation, *'are prevented from doing wrong.' An example of a condensed sentence in which two thoughts are compressed into one.


 каi $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{j} \nu \pi \pi_{0} \lambda \iota \nu$.

य̈п́ó ruvos tómov, 'beginning from a certain place,' reckoned in relation to the town. *If reckoning inwards, we must supply $\mu \dot{\eta}$ from $\mu \dot{\eta} \epsilon \xi \in i v a l$; if outwards, the force of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is not continued.

- The law provided that no one should possess more than a certain quantity of land; or, if he did, it was not to be within a certain distance of the city; or, regarded from another point of view, it was to be beyond a certain distance from the city.' In other words he was not to monopolize the valuable portions of the land (cp. Plato's Laws, v. 739 foll.), which were to be distributed among as many of the citizens as possible.
äcru the city is more precisely defined by $\pi{ }^{\prime} \lambda \iota s$, the Acropolis, as at Athens, cp. Thuc. ii. 15 .



That is to say, a certain portion of the land could not be pledged, and was therefore always clear of incumbrances. In ancient as well as in modern times there were agricultural troubles; and many plans were devised for securing the peasant proprietor against the money-lender.



 тєи $\nu$ таs.
©top $\theta_{0}$ iv, 'Now, when through the want of an enactment such as VOL. IL.
that which is ascribed to Oxylus the evil has already sprung up, we should correct it by the law of the Aphytaeans.'

The object aimed at was to maintain or to preserve a large number of small proprietors who were freemen. This was effected at Aphytis by dividing the lots into small portions, each of which gave a qualification for citizenship, so that every one, however poor, was included: e.g. suppose a citizen of Aphytis to have possessed fifty acres, and that forty of these were seized by the usurer, still the remaining ten were sufficient to preserve his rights of citizenship. Or, more generally, 'though the properties were often larger, the portion of land required for a qualification was small.'

The meaning of ineppaidect is doubfful. It has been thought to mean that 'even the small proprietors exceeded in number some other class, i.e. the rich or the inhabitants of the town,' or * better 'they exceeded the amount required.'

Aphytis was a city in Pallene, which, according to Heraclides Ponticus, fr. 39, Müller, vol. ii. p. 223 , bore an excellent character

 story of the stranger who bought wine and entrusted it to no one, but on returning after a voyage found it in the same place.

 some editors to bracket $\tau$ ú. Translate, 'and as regards military actions, their mode of life is an excellent training for them.' Compare Alexander's speech to his army, made a few months before his death, 323 b.c., recorded by Arrian, Exped. Alexandri, vii. 9, in which he contrasts the Oriental luxury of his Macedonian soldiers with their former life as mountain shepherds.

The pastoral democracies of the Swiss mountains have been among the most lasting democracies in the world, and they have also furnished some of the best soldiers.

sc. ràs äגas. 'The other sorts must deviate in a corresponding order.'
erout $\nu \omega$ s, i.e. 'in an order corresponding to their goodness or badness,' gathered from $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ кпi $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \nu$.

## $x^{\epsilon i p o r ~} \dot{\alpha} \in i \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0} \chi \omega \rho i \zeta \epsilon i \nu$.

4. 15 .

- At each stage we shall exclude a population worse in kind than at the preceding stage.' Thus the first and best kind of democracy excludes the class of $\tau \in \chi \hat{\imath} \tau a t$ (and a fortiori of course ail below them). The second excludes the $\theta_{i j r e s}$, and so on till at last nobody remains to be excluded. For the analogous process in oligarchy, cp. infra c. $6 . \$ \$ 2,3$.
 тєроע тà $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau a \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \dot{o} \nu$.

Either the stress is to be laid upon кai raúr $\eta$, to which the words kai ràs ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \lambda a s$ are subordinated, for other states have not been spoken of, 'Most of the causes which are wont to destroy this like other states, have been already mentioned.' Or, if the emphasis on kai tàs ä $\lambda \lambda$ as modireias is retained, the reference is to the causes of the destruction of states in bk. v.
$\hat{a} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ldots$. . fipqrat. The connexion is, 'But I need not speak of the causes which destroy states; for they have been already spoken of.' For the absolute use of $\mu \bar{u} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ cp. Plat. Phaedo 63 D, $\phi \eta \sigma \grave{o}$ jà


4. 16 .

The last word qualifies viketuv: 'For all this admission of citizens is rather natural than alien to a democracy of this kind.'

4. 17.
$0 \pi \varepsilon \rho=$ the violence of the democracy which was established after the overthrow of the royal power (Herod. iv. 16 r ), about 460 or 450 B.C., and was extended at a somewhat later period in the history of Cyrene.
$\kappa \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \sigma} \theta_{\epsilon} \nu \eta s$.
4. 18.



 фu入ás.
Cp. Schömann's Antiquities of Greece, Engl. Transl., p. 336.
The breaking up old divisions in an army and a state is not a mere change of names, but of traditions, customs, personal re-lations-to the ancients even of gods. The division of France inio departments, the reorganisation of Italy and Germany, or, to take a minor instance, the recent redistribution of the English regiments, are modern examples of the manner in which such changes affect the habits of men or offend their prejudices.

The repetition of $\epsilon p \gamma o \nu$ is awkward; but the general style of the Politics is not sufficiently accurate to justify us in omitting the word in either place.


 pprat $\pi$ pirtepoy are either omitted or altered by those who change the order of the books.

The clause tives $\sigma \omega \pi \eta p i a v$ is the explanation of $\pi \in \rho i \hat{\text { bu}}$, , and is resumed in èr roúrav.

 who are condemned, and so bring money into the public treasury,' not voluntarily, but by the penalties which they incur.

Cp. Cleon in Aristoph. Knights (923):
 iтоіненоs тaîs é $\sigma \phi$ орaîs. द̀ $\gamma \dot{\omega} \gamma$ à $\rho$ és toiss $\pi \lambda$ ouvious


Cp. iv. I4. § 4.


atpóa, 'in lump sums,' opposed to the piecemeal method of cioling out money which he had been describing above.
ei $\tau$ ts, indefinite 'if we can only collect.'
 $\sim_{u v a} \theta_{\rho} o i \zeta \omega \nu$. Bekker's emendation $\dot{d} \theta \rho o i \zeta \epsilon \omega \nu$ is unnecessary.

5. 9 .
' In the meantime,' i.e. until the poor have all received their share they should be assisted by the rich, who should pay them for attending the assembly.

5. 9.

They being excused from those services which are useless. Cp. v. $8 . \S 20$.

For Tarentum, see Müller's Dorians (iii. 9. § 14), who sug- 5. 10. gests wihout any proof that the words кoẁ̀ nooov̀res rà ктinpara refer only to the ager publicus. Compare ii. $5 . \S 8$, where Aristotle describes the Lacedaemonians as using one another's horses and dogs in common.
 toùs roùs $\delta^{\prime}$ aipctoús.
See note on text.
$\dot{a} \rho \chi \chi \hat{\eta} s$ is a genitive of respect, assisted by $\mu \epsilon \rho i i_{s} \epsilon t$. ' Either there may be two sets of offices, filled up the one by lot and the other by vote, or the same office may be filled up sometimes by lot and sometimes by vote.'
 diately follows $\pi u \imath \hat{\eta} \sigma a$, , or is in apposition with roîto; or some word like кaधıorávras is to be supplied from $\mu$ крi̧ovtas.
The people of Tarentum elected to some of their offices by vote and to some by lot ; the same result might have been attained if they had divided each office, and filled up the vacancies alternately by vote and by lot.

[^9]
With these words have to be supplied, though not therefore to be inserted in the text (Lambinus), $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta \in \tau i \sigma \tau \eta \nu \delta \eta \mu о к р a \tau i a \nu \kappa a i$ $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \nu$ from the beginning of chap. 4.
6. 2. $\eta^{*} \delta \in i$
$\overline{\dot{\eta}}=\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \bar{j} . \quad$ And in this.'
B. 2. $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \chi \epsilon \nu{ }^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \bar{\nu} \nu a t$,
sc. $\delta \in$ i.

'The people being introduced in such numbers.' An accusativo of measure. (Matth. G. G. $42 \mathrm{I} . \S 5$. )


 ridiav and with $\pi \lambda \omega t \hat{\eta} \rho \sigma$. Either ( I$)^{*}$ ' well furnished with sailors for navigation,' or (2) 'well furnished in respect of naval equipments for their sailors.' tois $\pi \lambda \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \sigma \iota \nu$ may also be construed with
 by the words which follow $\pi \lambda \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \tau v \chi \eta к \alpha ́ \tau a \quad \phi a^{i} \lambda \omega \nu$.

Interpreters correctly remark that the four kinds of military force have no connexion with the four classes of the people.

'There nature favours the establishment of an oligarchy which will be strong,' or 'we may naturally expect to establish an oligarchy.'
7. 1. ö $\pi \circ \sim \delta^{\prime}$ o $\pi \lambda i ́ t \eta \nu$.

Sc. єivai $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta x \epsilon$ understood from the previous words though with a slight change of meaning in the word civau. It is noi necessary to read r) $\dot{0} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} i \tau / v$ with Bekker (in his second edition), or 2) $\dot{i} \pi \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ with Susemihi (on the authority of one MS. which reads $\dot{\delta} \pi \lambda_{l}$ ucoj and the old translator who gives 'armativam').

The oligarchy find themselves outnumbered and overmatched $7.2,3$. by the light-armed troops. The remedy for this evil is to combine a light-armed force of their own with their cavalry and heavyarmed.
 àүшvísortal $\chi$ еip .

The change in the nominatives is observable, 'When the two parties ( $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{o s}$ кai єümopoı) fall out, the rich ( $\epsilon \ddot{\pi} \pi o p o i$ ) are often worsted in the struggle.'

$$
\text { фrí } \mu a \times о \nu . . . \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu . \quad 7.2 .
$$

'A remedy such as military commanders employ.'
тaút $\delta^{\prime}$ ध่ $\pi \iota \kappa \rho a r o v ̃ \sigma t \nu$.
7. 3

The antecedent of ravitn, 'in this way,' is not clear. It appears to mean (as we gather from the context) 'by their superior flexibility'-sc. $\delta \iota a ̀$ rò $\psi i \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \dot{v} v a \mu \nu$ civut.

Lit. 'and that persons selected out of boys [thus trained] should themselves become actual light-armed warriors.' The opposition
 selected had passed the stage of youth. For $\dot{a} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \dot{a} s \tau \omega \bar{\epsilon} \mu \gamma \omega \nu$

iv Ma $a \sigma a \lambda i a$.
7.4.

See note on v. 6.§2.

should be taken generally of some permanent work, to erect some public building or monument.

 каіे $\tau \mu \bar{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota$.

The plan of this book, which is for the most part a repetition 8. of Book iv., here abruptly breaks down. For though democracy
and oligarchy are fully discussed, nothing is said of other form: of government, notwithstanding the intention expressed at the beginning of the book, c. $1 . \S 2$, of considering 'the modes of organisation proper to each form of government.'


 àvaүка' $\omega \nu$ d̀p $\rho \bar{\omega} \nu$.

 катà тàs é $\mathrm{Y} \gamma \mathrm{p}$ раф́ás.
$\pi \rho a \xi \in t s$ is here used generally to include execution of sentences passed on criminals, and exaction of debts from public debtors.
$\tau \hat{\omega} y ~ \pi p a r i f \in \mu \in \nu \omega y$ appears to mean those whose names, having been first entered on the register as defaulters or criminals (karia ràs ézypaф́ás), are publicly posted up. Cp. infra § 10, mefi ris
 corrigible are to be written up ( $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \dot{\nu} \circ$ ) and deprived of citizenship.




 тои́т $\omega \nu$ íтépovs.

- Moreover, in some cases, the magistrates too should execute the sentence; and there should be fresh magistrates to execute the sentences on fresh offences; but in the case of old or existing offences ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\omega} \tau \omega \nu$ opposed to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ ) one magistrate should condemn, another should exact the penalty; for example, the wardens of the city should exact the fines imposed by the wardens of the agora.'



8. 11 .

 rairnv.
ró ró $\phi \iota \boldsymbol{j} a$, 'the suitable or appropriate device.' The correction rı $\sigma \dot{\prime} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$, which is supported by the expression èà $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \sigma o \phi i \xi \omega \nu r a t$ (ii. $5 . \S 19$ ), is unnecessary and feeble. Such an idiomatic use of the article is not unknown in English: e.g. 'to find out the way' or 'the proper way of making the office less unpopular.'
 the last case,' i.e. the case of the jailor and the executioner, as well as of the judge and the executioner.



The optative here would seem to require $a v$, which is inserted by Bekker in his second edition, or ciev may be altered into fiar.

 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \mu \mu \lambda \lambda i a s$ по $\lambda \epsilon \mu \kappa \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$. Bekker, in his and cdition (after Lambinus), reads $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon a$, a change which is unnecessary.

кaì пporeuもuvoû́ar.
8. 16.
'And which in addition audits them.'

8. 17.

The connexion proves that the latter words can only mean 'the final ratification and the introduction of measures.'

 trin"。
Either r)* the words èkeivols örou, or 2) ai Gurial must be supplied


Aristotie is opposing the priests, who perform the ordinary sacrifices assigned to them by law, to the great officers of state, who offer sacrifice at the public hearth of the city.

Cp. iii. 14. § 13 .

Audits by the officers called doyorai (cp. § 16). But it is hard to distinguish them from e $\bar{\xi} \epsilon \pi \dot{a} \sigma e l s$ since Aristotle (supra § 16) says
 officers.

## BOOK VII.

Bernays (Die Dialoge des Aristoteles, p. 69 ff.) has drawn 1-3. attention to the peculiar style of the opening chapters $(1,2,3)$ of this book, which he supposes to be taken from some Aristotclian dialogue. (See Essay on Structure of Aristotelian Writings.) The passage is certainly remarkable for a flow and cloquence which are not common in Aristotle. But though rare, there are other traces of grace and elevation of style to be discovered in the Politics: e. g. in the discussion about education (viii. c. 3-5), where the writer seems to derive inspiration from his subject; in the introduction to the criticism on the forms of government ii. c. 1 ; parts of ii. c. 5 , especially § if, are easy and flowing ; the descriptions of the middle class citizen iv. c. 11; of the tyrant v. c. 11 ; and of the city vii. cc. 11,12 , are graphic and striking. There are also several passages in the Nicomachean Ethics as well as many fine expressions in which beauty of style shines through the logical analysis, e.g. Eth. i. $10 . \S 14$; c. $10 . \S 12$, ö $\mu \omega \boldsymbol{\sigma} \delta \dot{\xi}$
 suppose these passages to be a fair sample of any complete writing of Aristotle, we could better understand why his style was so highly praised by Cicero (Acad. ii. 38), and other writers.
 тодtreíav.
'For the best life may be expected to show us the best staie.'


 'they lead the best life, as far as their conditions of life admit, who are governed in the best manner:' but 'they lead the best
life who have the best form of government possible under their conditions of life.'
 the first sentence, naturally occurs to the mind of Aristotle, who thinks of life under the conditions of life. Cp. infra § $\mathrm{I}_{3}$, vì $\delta^{\prime}$

 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \eta_{\nu} \pi \rho \dot{\tilde{\xi}} \boldsymbol{\xi} \epsilon \omega$.
 as we might say without much meaning and almost as a fasun de parler, ' under ordinary circumstances.'






кai $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ is partitive, 'enough has been said among, or in, the things which have been said.'
 those of Aristotle or of others, containing opinions or distinctions which were generally accepted. The threefold division of goods, into goods of the body, goods of the soul, and external goods, here said to be found in the $\epsilon \xi \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \kappa \kappa o \grave{\lambda} \lambda$ óyo, is again mentioned in Rhet. i. 5. §4, 1360 a. 25 , and would seem to have been a received notion not peculiar to Aristotle. Cp. Nic. Eth. i. 8. § 2, veveqr.




 manner and nearly in the same words by Aristotie, Nic. Eth. i. 13.
 b. 22.

 dependent on these words, or in apposition with them.

The virtues here mentioned are the four cardinal virtues of Plato (Rep. iv. 428 ), who calls фpóvots by the term ooфia, making no such distinction between oopia and фpóvqots as Aristotle afterwards introduced (Nic. Eth. vi.).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rois } \phi i \lambda \text { rátovs фíhous. 1.4. } \\
& \text { фinous is bracketed by Bekker in his second edition. But why }
\end{aligned}
$$ object to the pleonasm in a rhetorical passage?



$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ is bracketed* by Bekker in his second edition, but without reason. If retained it may either be construed with à $\sigma v \gamma \chi^{\omega \rho} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$, 'as all would agree in these things the moment they are uttered, so on the other hand they differ' etc.; or $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ may be a qualification of $\pi$ ávres, 'in a manner every one' (Schlosser, Bonitz s.v.).
'Virtue can never be in excess, and he who has the most virtue $1.5^{-1} 3$. is the best of men and the happiest; for happiness consists in virtue provided with sufficient means or instruments of good action; and this principle applies equally to individuals and to states, and is the foundation both of ethics and of politics.'

The proof that external goods are inferior to the goods of the $1.6,7$. soul is twofold:

1) 8id $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu \tilde{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$, from the fact that the former are acquired by the latter and not vice versá.
 things, because external goods, being an instrument, have a limit; of the goods of the soul there is no limit.

On the antithesis of facts and reason and the connexion between them in Aristotle, cp. note on i. 5. § $\mathbf{r}$.



Yet this is only true of the goods of the soul in their most general sense; a man cannot have too much justice, or wisdom, or intelligence, but he may have too much memory or too much imagination, and perhaps even too much courage or liberality. He cannot have too much of the highest, but he may bave 100 much of the lower intellectual and moral qualities. Cp. Ethics ii. 6 . § 17 where Aristotle, after defining virtue as a $\mu \in \sigma$ órns, is careful to explain that it is also an àkoór刀s.

 $\hat{\omega} \nu$ фapèv aùràs sival doaté $\sigma e l s ~ t a u ̂ r a s . ~$

The general meaning of this passage is simple enough. 'If one thing is superior to another, the best state of that thing is superior to the best state of the other.' But an awkwardness is cansed by the insertion of $\delta$ cácragıv, after the relative $\bar{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ in apposition with $i \pi e \rho o x \dot{\eta} y$. 'According to the excess or interval which exists between the different states of things.' The subject of $\epsilon \lambda \eta \eta \phi$ is the antecedent of $\dot{\omega} \nu$, i. e. $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mu a z a$, supplied from éкíлтоv трі́үнатоs.

Bekker, following the old translation 'sortita est,' reads cinn ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ for eilinp $\eta$ in his second edition. The change makes no real difference in the sense.





1. го. $\mu \dot{\mu} \rho \tau \tau \rho \iota \tau \hat{\varphi} e_{\epsilon \hat{\varphi}} \chi \rho \omega \mu \dot{\jmath} \nu o t s$.









The words＇$\pi \rho a ́ t r o v \sigma a \nu ~ к а \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ may be taken either with cioaínoma or with $\tau \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{d}(\sigma \tau \eta \nu \text { ，Either } \mathrm{I})^{*}$＇the happy state is that which is （morally）best，and which does rightly＇：or 2）＇the happy state and that which does rightly is the best＇：or 3 ）（and this though not the only allowable rendering of the passage probably has the most point）＇the best state and that which acts rightly is happy＇，as God has been said to be happy in the previous sentence．The
 ＇doing well，＇and＇faring well．＇The argument is that as God is happy in his own nature so the state can be happy only so far as it partakes of virtue or wisdom．

 ＊ai $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ ．
 ＇with that power or force which each man partakes of when he is called just and temperate and wise．＇Cp．for construction supra §8．

Bekker，in his second edition（after Coraes），inserts каi $\sigma \omega ф \rho о-$ oiv after фо́⿱㇒⿻二亅丷 passage symmetrical ；but there is no reason to expect this exact symmetry．

Lit．＇For this is the business of another time of leisure，＇or＇of another time when we shall be at leisure，＇or＊，＇of another dis－ cussion．＇Yet he returns to the subject at the beginning of the next chapter．The word $\sigma \chi^{\circ} \lambda \dot{\eta}$ is translated＇discussion＇in this passage by Stahr，and so explained in Liddell and Scott＇s Lexicon． It is found in this sense in the Laws of Plato， 820 C ，and perhaps in Arist．Polit．v．11．§5．

1． 14.
＇Enquiry，rather than＇treatise．＇No reference is made in the Politics to the whole work as a book．

It has been already said，c．I．§ ri，not exactly that the happiness 2．r． of the state is the same as that of the individual，but that they can
be shown to be the same by the same kind of arguments; and again, $\S 13$, the best life for both is declared to be the life of virtue, furnished sufficiently with the means of performing virtuous actions; and in $\S I_{4}$ he proposes to defer matters of controversy for the present. But at the beginning of the second chapter, as if he were dissatisfied with his conclusion, he resumes the question, which has been already in a manner briefly determined, and as if he had forgotten the intention to defer it. There appears to be a latent incongruity even in this rhetorical passage.

It has been thought by Susemihl that c. 1. § 11, éxómevov $\delta^{\circ}$ érri каi
 that if C. I. §§ 11, 12 be omitted the connexion of c. 1 and c. 2 would be restored. But the similarity of $\$ \mathrm{II}$, i2 in c. I with c. 2 is not very close; and the difference of style in the two chapters remains as striking as ever.

The analogy of the individual and the state is drawn out at length in the Republic of Plato, iv. 435 ff .
 $\pi \lambda$ eigrots.
'Whether it be a democracy or a timocracy.' The remark is parenthetical, and is not further expanded.




exeivo, sc. the question, 'which is the more eligible life?'
rov̂ro, sc. the question, 'which is the best state?' Cp. Nic. Eth. i. $2 . \S 8$.



Cp. Nic. Eth. x. 7, where the relative value of the two kinds of life is fully discussed.



Yet Aristotle does not show how the two lives of action and
contemplation are to be transferred to the sphere of politics, the parallel which he sets over against them in this passage being only the life of the tyrant and the life of the private individual. At $\$ \mathrm{r} \sigma$ he opposes the state in activity to the state in isolation; and this is perhaps the half-expressed contrast which is floating before his mind.



$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \dot{\prime} \delta t o \nu \quad \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \chi^{\epsilon c \nu}$, 'to contain an impediment.' The article may be




Cp. Plato's Laws, bk. i. 630 ff ., where the principle that the laws of nations should have some higher object than success in war is energetically maintained, and for the approval of these sentiments by Aristotle, supra, ii. 9. §34.

It may be instructive and is certainly amusing to remark that William de Moerbek either reading крivev from кpivov, 'a lily, or confusing крi $i \omega \nu$ and $\kappa \rho i \kappa \omega \nu$, translated 'lilia.'



Cp. Hdt. iv. 66, where it is said that once in every year the governor of each district mixes a bowl of wine from which those only may drink who have captured enemies.
The accusative $\sigma \kappa \dot{\chi} \phi o \nu$ repıфєрó $\mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$ may be regarded as an accusative absolute, assisted by the verb of cognate signification, 'when the cup was brought round.'

Here is a beginning of national and international morality. The 2.12-18. question whether the contemplative or the practical life is the superior was discussed in Nic. Eth. x. c. 7, but entirely with reference to the individual. In this passage an analogous question is raised convol. il.
cerning the state. May not an individual find within himself the best kind of action?-May not the state, though isolated and selfcentred, lead a true political life? These two questions to u; appear distinct; but they are very closely connected in the mind of Aristotle, to whom the individual is the image of the state.

The isolated life of the state is suggested as a possibility by Aristotle. But he is quite aware that all states have relations to their neighbours which they cannot afford to neglect. Cp. i. 6. § 7 ; c. 7. § 14.

Cp. in i. 7. §5, oioy $\dot{\eta} \delta_{\text {skaia, }}$, and infra c. 14. § 21.





' It is argued by some that power gives the opportunity for virtue, and if so, the attainment of power will be the attainment of virtue. But power in the higher sense implies the qualities which enable a man to make the true use of it, and these he will not gain but lose by violating the equality which nature prescribes.' Compare the notion of Thrasymachus (Plat. Rep. i.) that justice is the interest of the superior and supra, note on $\mathrm{i} .6 . \S 3$; also the thesis maintained by Callicles (Gorgias 484 ff .) that the tyrant is wisest and best and the refutation of this notion by Socrates.

 ठoú $\lambda \omega \nu$.

These family relations are chosen as types of government answering to various kinds of rule, aristocratical, royal, tyrannical (cp. Nic. Eth. viii. 10 ).

Aristotle means to say that a man is harmed by ruling over others unless he have a right to rule ; but this right can be given only by a natural superiority.
 3. 5 .

Either 1) 'For equals to share in the honourable is just,' or 2)* 'For to equals the honourable and the just consists in all having a turn.'

каì тои̂то= oík $\dot{a} \pi \rho а к т \varepsilon і \nu ; ~ o r ~ r a t h e r ~ s o m e ~ p o s i t i v e ~ i d e a ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ t o ~$ be elicited from these words. 'There may be in a state internal as well as external activity.'

'Like the state the individual may be isolated, yet he may have many thoughts and powers energizing within him.'


i.e. 'were happiness not possible in isolation.' Cp. Nic. Eth. ix.
 § 7 , quoted supra, c. $1 . \S$ Io.

There is no reason for bracketing these words as Bekker has done in his second edition; ='mankind generally.' Cp. supra

$\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu$.
4. 1 .
'About these general questions.'

4. I.
'Other than the best.' These words seem most naturally to refer to Books iv, $v$, and vi, and are therefore inconsistent with the altered order of the books. It is impossible to believe with Hildenbrand and Teichmüller that Book ii., in which Aristotle treats not of different forms of government, but of certain theoretical or historical constitutions, furnishes a sufficient antecedent for these words. (See Susemihl's note, 749, vol. ii. p. 180.)

4. I.


 sideration of the perfect state; but in attempting to describe the conditions of it he seems to forget his higher purpose. Unless it may be supposed that the Politics is an unfinished work.
4. 3. rìv oikeiav $\dot{\imath} \lambda \eta \nu$.
$=\tau$ as $i \pi 0 \theta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon t s$, the conditions mentioned in $\S 1$.



'That city is the greatest, not which is numerically largest, but which is best adapted to its end ; just as Hippocrates is greater, not as a man but as a physician, than somebody else who is taller.' The great city must have the qualities suited to a city, just as the great Hippocrates must have the qualities, not of a tall man, but of a physician. It is the accident of a city that it is populous, just as it is the accident of Hippocrates that he is tall.





The connexion is as follows: 'The divine power which holds together the universe can alone give order to infinity. For beauty consists in number and magnitude ; wherefore that city in which magnitude is combined with the principle of order is to be deemed the fairest.'

In this and similar passages we may note mingling with Pythagorean fancies, a true sense that proportion is the first principle



 ті̀ $\sigma \nu \mu ф ఢ ́ \rho о \nu ~ \chi \rho \tilde{j} \sigma \iota$.

тоїто refers to rá $\xi \epsilon \omega s$, but is neuter because it is attracted by द̈рүou.
i $\lambda \in \chi$ धєis öpos, 'the above-mentioned principle,'sc. cirak'a.


סio refers not to the clause immediately preceding but to the principal idea of the sentence, contained in the words ópoiws $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ кai



$\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \nu$ and $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu}$, 'We then first have a state when we first
 adverb.
 ments of the political community,' i.e. the life of a freeman and citizen.

4. 12.
$\mu \varepsilon i \xi \omega$ is unnecessarily bracketed by Bekker in his 2nd edition. The point is as follows: 'There may be also a greater city than is required by the limit of self sufficiency, but this increase is not unlimited.' He has said above (§4) 'that the more numerous city is not necessarily the greater,' but in this case it is or may be.

The $\pi \rho a \dot{\xi} \epsilon t s$, or actions of a state, are the actions of two classes which act upon each other, the governors and the governed. Cp .

àvaүкаīo $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda$ доvs. $\quad$ 4. I3.







This is a condensed sentence, meaning 'the largest number which can be seen at once, and at the same time suffices for the purposes of life.' Aristotle wishes to combine $\mu \epsilon \in \epsilon \theta$ ós $\tau \iota$ with


5. 2. $\overline{\text { En }}$ кодтаs,
like the English word 'draw,' is used neutrally, 'those who draw or pull to either extreme.'
 it may be analysed as follows: 'The city should be difficult of access to enemies, and easy of egress to the citizens; the whole territory should be seen at a glance (for a country which is easily seen is easily protected): it should be well situated both in regard to sea and land. Herein are contained two principles: 1) the one already mentioned, about inaccessibility to enemies and convenience to friends : to which may be added 2) a second principle, that the situation should be adapted to commerce.'

The words $\delta \in i \quad \gamma \dot{a} \rho . . . \dot{a}^{\pi} a \dot{a} \nu \tau \omega$ are a repetition of the words to

5.4. єis $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ó $\lambda \epsilon \chi$ Өधis öpos,



 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\xi} \xi \dot{v} \lambda a \quad \tilde{u} \lambda \eta s$ either 1 ) wood ( $\tilde{\nu} \lambda \eta)$ which is used as timber, or 2 ) timber which is used as material $(\tilde{v} \lambda \eta)$.
6. The echo of these antimaritime prejudices is heard in Cicero, who discusses the subject at length in his De Republica, Book ii. cc. 3 and 4.
6. 1. каĭ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o \lambda v a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a \nu$, sc. à $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \phi о \rho о \nu$ єivai $\phi а \sigma \iota$.

' That however, if we could get rid of these evils, there would be an advantage in a city being connected with the sea is obvious.'

'Like the individual (i. 9. § 14) the city may receive what she absolutely needs, but is not to import and export without limit.'

Aristotle would restrain foreign trade as much as possible, not because he aims at exclusiveness, but because he dislikes the moneymaking and commercial spirit.





 $\pi \rho a ̀ s a ̉ \lambda \lambda i ́ \lambda o u s$.

In this passage inápoov the reading of the MSS. has been altered into I) ináp $\chi$ tı by Schneider and by Bekker in his and Edition; and also 2) into inajpovra, in the latter case with the omission of kai. The alteration, though probable, is not necessary ;

 sition as an epexegesis. 'But now-a-days there are many cities and places in which such a mart exists, [containing] docks and harbours conveniently situated in relation to the city; and as is obvious, whatever evil there may be is aroided and the good secured, when they are placed at a moderate distance, but commanded by walls and similar fortifications.'

The inland position of the ancient Greek cities, as Thucydides (i. 7) remarks, was due to the prevalence of piracy. Their ports were added later, as the Piraeus at Athens, Nisaea at Megara, Cenchreae and Lechaeum at Corinth, Cyllene at Elis, Gythium at Sparta, Nauplia at Argos, Siphae at Thespiac, Notium at Colophon, etc.

кратеігӨat $=$ to be controlled or held in check by.

B. 7 .
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu 0 \nu \kappa \delta \dot{\prime}$, like Athens or Sparta in the days of their greatness,
 and edition is quite unnecessary. For mo入ırıкòs Bios, applied to


6. g. $k a i \pi o \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$.
$\pi o \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$, if genuine, is a difficult word. It may be taken in the sense of 'ports like the Piraeus'*; or closely connected with $\lambda_{i}$ $\mu^{\prime} \dot{y} \omega \bar{\omega}$ of 'cities in relation to their harbours,' cp. supra, c. 5. §3. But neither of these explanations is satisfactory. The word has been bracketed by Bekker in his second edition and is probably corrupt.
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \sigma \lambda i \omega \nu$ (Broughton) are not fortunate; $\pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega \omega$ might also be suggested (cp. supra, §6). But it is more probable that some words have been accidentally transposed and that we should read
 oũ $\pi \dot{\jmath} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ [or $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ] каі̀ $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho a s ~ к . т . \lambda . ~$

According to Aristotle it would seem that Europe includes the colder, that is, the Northern parts of Europe and excludes Hellas. The words кai $\tau \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ E $\dot{\rho} \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \eta \nu$ are explanatory of $\tau \dot{a}$ èv roís $\psi \cup \chi \rho o i ̂ s ~ t o ́ \pi o \iota s ~ \tilde{\varepsilon} \theta \nu \eta$. Compare the Hymn to Apollo 1. 250 :


in which a similar notion of Europe is implied.
Plato too was no stranger to speculations about race. Cp. Laws













Could Hellas have been united in a federation, she might have governed the world. But the individuality of Greek cities was too
strong to allow of such a union, and the country was too much divided by natural barriers. The cities on the coast might be coerced into an Athenian Empire, but could not be fused into a political whole. Cp. Herod. ix. 2, where the Thebans say to Mardonius that the Greeks if united would be a match for the



## 

This, like some of Aristotle's other criticisms on Plato, is chiefly interesting as shewing the difficulty which he found in understanding the play of language which is characteristic of Plato. [See Essay on Aristotle's Criticisms of Plato.] The passage referred to

 that the word фìntukis is not used by Plato.
ó $\theta v \mu$ ós. 7. 5 .
' Passion' $=$ the depth or force of character which makes a good lover or a good hater. Compare Theognis, l. Ioga Bergk-



But in the Topics ii. 7, $\mathrm{II}_{3}$ b. I Aristotle raises the question
 Like our word passion, $\theta u \mu \dot{s}$ has both a wider and narrower use, and is employed by Aristotle here in a more philosophical, but in the Topics in a more popular sense.

Aristotle truly remarks that anger is felt, not against strangers, 7. 5-8. but against friends who have wronged or slighted us. Cp. Rhet.
 and Psalm xli. 9 , 'Yea, even mine own familiar friend, whom I trusted, who did also eat of my bread, hath lifted up his heel against me.'

7.6.

The reading of the MSS. which is repudiated in the translation is not indefensible, though, in the absence of context, it is im-
possible to interpret it with certainty: 'For were they not friends about whom thou wast plagued or grieved'? cp. again from Psalm lv. 12: 'It is not an open enemy that hath done me this dishonour, for then I could have borne it.' A mot attributed to a well-known statesman who had been anonymously attacked in a newspaper is to the point, 'It must have been by a friend,' he said, 'an enemy would not have been so bitter.' The verse is very probably taken from the well-known poem of Archilochus in
 of which a fragment is preserved (Bergk 60): the metre might be restored either by omitting $\delta \dot{\eta}$, which may have been added by Aristotle, or by inserting oviv before $\delta \dot{\delta}$.

The translators William de Moerbek and Aretino render amizरeo 'a lanceis,' as if they had read or imagined they read $\dot{d} \pi$ ' $\dot{\gamma} \gamma \chi^{\epsilon} \omega \nu$.

Yet the $\mu \epsilon \gamma_{a} \lambda o \psi_{0} \chi^{o s}$ described in Nic. Eth, iv. 3. is rather unapproachable by his neighbours.



Cp. below c. 12.§ 9. Aristotle is opposing political theories to facts, as in the Ethics he contrasts the moral certainty of Ethics (Nic. Eth. i. 3. § 4) with the absolute certainty of mathematics, though the dixpi $\beta$ ecu in the two cases is different, meaning in the one the necessity and a priori truth of mathematics, in the other exactness of detail.





In this rather complex sentence Aristote is distinguishing between the conditions and the parts of the whole. The words $\bar{\omega} v$
 in the application to the state.

The editions vary between raîra and raĩá. râ̂ra is confirmed

read raità̀ it will be convenient to supply ixetivors with $\grave{\omega} \nu$ ävev, if тайта, іккєiva.
 a lower class having a unity;' 'which in its nature is a whole, and

'The end has nothing in common with the means; the final 8.3. cause with the conditions.' Just as in iii. $1 . \S 9$ things prior and posterior are said to have no quality in common with each other. Of course the modern philosopher makes the opposite reflection, 'that the end is inseparable from the means,' or, 'is only the sum of the means'; that causes are indistinguishable from condition; and equally indistinguishable from effects; 'that no line can be drawn between à priori and à posteriori truth.' The common understanding, like ancient philosophy, rebels against this higher view, because it can point to numberless visible instances in which the end is separable from the means, the effect from the causes. Both lines of reflection are constantly returning upon us, and the opposition between them gives rise to many metaphysical problems. It is the old difficulty, as old as the opposition of ideas to phenomena, of finding the similarity where there is difference or contrast.

Governed by oì $\theta_{\epsilon} \nu$ kouvóv $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \tau t$. 'The builder and his tools have nothing in common with the work; so property has nothing in common with the State.'

The connexion of this passage in which means and ends, parts 8. 5-6. and conditions are curiously combined appears to be as follows: 'Now happiness is imparted in various degrees to states, making them to be what they are according to the degree of happiness which they attain. But we must also ascertain what are the conditions of states, for in these we shall find their parts.' He seems to mean that through what is outward only we can arrive at the true elements of the state; and that happiness, which is the end of the state, is not to be confounded with the conditions of it. The argument is interrupted by the seemingly irrelevant remark that the
character of states is given to them by the degrees of happiness which they attain．Here as in other passages（cp．c．9．§ 2 infra）， when speaking of the perfect state，he occasionally goes back to the imperfect forms．

Cp ．the more complete statement of the Nic．Eth．i．7．$\S \S_{14-16,}$


－Besides considering the highest good of the state or the idea of the state in its highest terms（gathered from the previous section） we must also consider the indispensable conditions of it ，and amons them we shall find its parts．＇All the parts are conditions of a state，not all the conditions are parts；e．g．the $\theta \hat{\eta}$ res are a con－ dition but not a part ；rò ßovievópevov both a condition and a part．

8．7．$\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau о \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каі̀ $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$.
＇First，＇i．e．in honour，not in necessity，for that place he assigns to the sixth class．

Spengel would omit кaì $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \tau o v$ ．But how could the insertion of such a clause ever be explained，unless it had been put in by the piety of a Greek monk？
$\hat{\eta} \nu$ кa入ovalv iepareiav，＇which they call ritual．＇The formula $\hat{\eta} \nu$ кa入ov̄兀 seems to imply some technical or uncommon use of the word，which occurs nowhere else in classical Greek，cp．$\hat{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ ка $\mathrm{m}_{0} \hat{0} \sigma \boldsymbol{i}$ teves ò̀ryapxiav，vi．1．§ 6.

The last words are pleonastic，＇sixth in numerical succession．＇
8．9．The conjecture of Lambinus $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota k a i \omega \nu$ taken from $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \nu \mu \phi-$ ро́vт $\omega \nu$ кai $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta<к a i \omega \nu$ above，§ 7 ，has been adopted in the text． But the reading of the MSS．r $\hat{\omega} \nu$ d $\nu a \gamma \times a i \omega \nu$ ，＇of necessary matters of life，＇is really defensible and is confirmed by the word avaykaio－ ratov in § 7．àvaरkai $\omega \nu$ may also refer to punishments：see infra c． $13 . \S 6$ ．

＇This question，however，does not arise in every state，for it is
already decided. In democracies all share in all, while in oligarchies only some share in some employments or functions. But we are speaking of the ideal state in which the question remains to be considered.

кай́тєр үа̀р єїтоцєv.
8. 2.

This passage can hardly refer to ii. $1 . \S 2$, for there Aristotle is speaking of the distribution of property: here of the distribution of functions in the state. The reference is rather to iv. c. 4 and c. 14 ; see supra c. 4. § I.
 тро́тєрог.
The connexion is as follows: 'But in the best state, with which we are now concerned, all cannot participate in all, for the trader, the artisan and the husbandman have no leisure for education, neither are they capable of political functions.'
 in describing the perfect state no longer, as in a democracy (cp. vi. c. 4.), regards the husbandmen as the best material out of which to form citizens.

```
тoùs \mué\lambda\lambdaovtas \epsilon̈\sigma\epsilon\sigma0al,
    9.4.
```



```
the best state.'
```


 sarily. Without it we may translate : 'Are these also to be distinct, or are both to be given to the same persons?'

Compare Book ii. 5. § 26 . 9.5 .

The use of $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ is singular: the force of the preposition may be paraphrased as follows: ' they too should have a near interest in property, an indirect way of expressing what is more distinctly said


The necessity seems to arise from the impossibility of the husbandman having the leisure which a citizen requires for mental cultivation and the fulfilment of political duties, $\mathrm{cp} . \S_{4}$.

тои́т $\omega \nu$, i. e. not merely the $\dot{\delta \pi} \lambda \iota \tau \kappa \dot{o} \nu$ and ßou入cutıкív; to these must be added the $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma o i$, тєұиітаи, and rò $\theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v$, in all five. The two first interchange with each other, but never with the three last.

The division between the mere conditions of the state (viz. the
 Bovגeut*kóv) is permanent. The division between tò óm $\lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \dot{o} \nu, \tau \dot{o} \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$
 same persons may belong in turn, or at different stages of life, to all three classes.



This chapter has been regarded, and perhaps with reason, as a criticism of Plato, Aristotle being desirous of disproving by historical facts the claim of Plato to originality in instituting the system of caste and of common meals.
10. 2. $\tau \dot{a} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\jmath}$ К $\rho \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \nu \quad \gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$ к.т. $\lambda$.

In apposition with $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \sigma \sigma \iota t i \omega \nu \quad \dot{\eta}$ ráģıs, 'the custom in Crete going back to the reign of Minos.'
10. 3-5. 'The name Italy was originally confined to the district between the Lametic and Scylletic Gulfs' (Golfo di Eufemia and Golfo di Squillace), 'and was derived from Italus, an ancient king of the Oenotrians' (called by Thucydides vi. 2 a Sicel king) 'who inhabited these regions. The people to the north-west towards Tyrrhenia were called Ausones and those to the north-east in the district called Siritis' (on the shore of the Tarentine gulf) 'Chones.'

The mention of Italy (taken in this narrower sense) leads the writer to particularise its different regions; but nothing is said about how far the custom of common meals may have extended.
 or enclosed at its narrowest point by the two gulfs. The reason (aं $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \chi^{\epsilon \prime}$ yà $\rho$ rav̂ra) is imperfectly expressed: "You may call this the boundary because the distance is so small between the two gulfs.' It is in fact about 20 miles.

It has been asked, 'What does Aristotle purpose in this digression ?' There is a fallacy in requiring that every part of an ancient work should have a distinct purpose. Aristotle, like Aeschylus, Herodotus, Thucydides, 'breaks out' into the favourite subject of geography, and his conceptions of it, as might be expected in the beginning of such studies, are not perfectly accurate or distinct.

It is evident that common meals played a great part in the political organisation of Hellas and the south of Italy. But, according to Susemihl, no other writer mentions their existence in Italy.

Eiprov is the reading of most MSS., oiprך of two only. The 10.5 . MSS. of the old translator appear all to give syrtem. Sipt is conjectured by Heyne, who compares Arist. Fragm. Пo入ıreial 542,

 Goëttling's conjecture Eıpïtıs the district of Siris. Of any district of Italy called Syrtes or Syrtis there is no mention elsewhere.



is translated in the English text: 'From this part of the world originally came the institution of common tables; the separation into castes [which was much older] from Egypt, for the reign of Sesostris is of far greater antiquity than that of Minos.'

It is also possible to supply the ellipse differently: "The separation into castes came [not from Italy or Crete, but] from Egypt.'

The sentence is then parallel with the other statements. Common tables existed in Crete and in Italy: the latter were the older, and therefore are called 'the origin of the institution' ( $\$ \S 2,4$ ); similarly, caste existed in Crete and in Egypt; in the latter
country its origin dates further back than in the former, for Sesostris is older than Minos, and therefore it is said to have originated there.



A favourite reflection of Aristotle's. See note on text for parallel passages.

'All political institutions are ancient; for they are found in Egypt which is the most ancient of all countries.' Cp. Plat. Laws ii. 657 . 'Their (i.e. the Egyptian) works of art are painted or moulded in the same forms which they had ten thousand years ago; this is literally true, and no exaggeration.' For further references see note on text. That this sameness was the weakness of Egypt, and that the life of Hellas was progress, seems not to have occurred either to Aristotle or Plato.
10.8. Toîs $\mu$ èv єipquévots
is the reading of the MSS., altered in the text after Lambinus into eip ${ }^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}$ vors, a change which seems to be required by the want of a suitable antecedent and by the parallelism of $\pi а \rho a \lambda \epsilon \lambda є \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \varepsilon a . ~ С р . ~$
 ii. 5. § 16 .
10. 10. च̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ є่ $\rho о \hat{\mu \epsilon \nu . ~}$

This promise is not fulfilled. In c. 12. § I the common meals are only mentioned in passing; no reason is given in support of the institution.

A lesson learned from the experience of Athens during the Peloponnesian War. The Acharnians whose lands lay on the borders, seeing them ravaged, wished to attack the invaders rashly (Thuc. ii. 2 I ), and afterwards when they had lost their possessions as Archidamus thought likely (Thuc. ii. 20 écre $\rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \phi \epsilon-$

$\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ dè èvé $\sigma \in \sigma \theta a u$ ), and as Aristophanes in his 'Acharnians' seems to imply, were wanting to make peace.

For reference to Plato and criticism on him see note on text. 10. ir.

10. 13.
 Bapßápous $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon p t o i k o u s$, a comparison which has led to the insertion of $\eta$ before $\pi \epsilon \rho$ oikous in this passage, or to the omission of it in c. 9. The text of the MSS. is probably right in both passages. ' If we could have the very best thing, the husbandmen should be slaves; or if slaves cannot be had, then perioeci of alien stock.'
 те́tтара $\beta \lambda$ е́тоитаs.


The four points to be attended to appear to be as follows: 1) healthy and airy situation, open to the winds (cp. §4, infra):

 $\pi р a \hat{\xi} \epsilon(s)$.




Vitruvius i. 6 tells us how the inhabitants of Mitylene suffered from the situation of their town: 'Oppidum magnificenter est aedificatum et eleganter; sed positum non prudenter. In quâ civitate auster cum flat homines aegrotant, cum eurus, tussiunt, cum septentrio, restituuntur in sanitatem, sed in angiportis et plateis non possunt consistere propter vehementiam frigoris.' (Quoted by Eaton.)

## Seúteqov סè karà ßopéar.

11. 2. 

кат̇̀ $\beta$ opéav='facing the same way that the North wind does,' (cp. karà fóov) i. e. sheltered from the North wind. Cp. Plat. Crit.
 т̂̂̀ äpктш $k a r a ́ \beta o \rho ’ \rho o s$.

YOL. I.
$\delta$ eirfeov may either be taken as *an alternative, or as introducing a second condition of healthfulness, so that a South Eastern aspect is what is recommended; i.e. a situation which is open to the healthy East winds and affords shelter from the North wind.

is the reading of all the MSS. The conjecture of Lambinus, tipingtal, adopted by Bekker in his second edition, is unnecessary.
тnîtó $\gamma$ ' cipprau='a remedy has been found for this,' i. e. 'a remedy may be found.' The language is not quite symmetrical, but this is no reason for altering it.

Five MSS. read $j^{\mu} \beta$ piovs, a possible reading, 'rain cisterns for water' instead of 'cisterns for rain water.'

'In the situation described, and looking to the quarter described.'

The reading of the best MSS. and the old translator, 'such streams as I have spoken of above,' that is to say, 'good streams' (iү $\gamma \epsilon \omega \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ §4).
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ ious.

It may be asked: 'Why should a single fortress be adapted to a monarchy, or oligarchy, several strongholds to an aristocracy?' Probably because in the former case the government is more concentrated. A small governing class, if they are to maintain their power against the people, must draw together. An aristocracy has only to defend itself against foreign enemies, and is therefore better dispersed.
 à $\mu \pi \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ б $\sigma \sigma \pi a ́ \delta a s$.

The last word is explained by Hesychius (under guaraits) as

akoverv, i.e. 1) *vines planted thichly or in clumps, or 2) vines planted irregularly. If we adopt the first of these interpretations and take the image literally, Aristotle is suggesting that the city should be built partly in regular streets, but here and there in blocks which would have the character of strong places. If we take the second, he would seem to mean that the city should be built in part irregularly, with a view to confusing or perplexing an enemy after he had entered it.

11. 8.



The absence of walls in Sparta suggested to Plato the poetical fancy that the walls of cities should be left to slumber in the ground: it may reasonably be conjectured that the position of Sparta and the military character of her citizens rendered artificial defences unnecessary.

11. 8.

The disasters of Leuctra (в.c. 37 I ) and of Mantinea (b.c. $3^{62}$ ) had done a great deal to diminish the admiration for Sparta. (Cp. ii. 9.§ ro and infra c. 14. § 16). Yet the allusion is hardly to the point, for Sparta was never taken by an enemy: Epaminondas after the battle of Leuctra refrained from attacking it, Xen. Hell. vi. 5 .



A somewhat romantic notion with which may be compared the further refinement of § II, infra; also the saying of Archidamus, the son of Agesilaus, when he saw catapults brought from Sicily, which in other words and under other circumstances has no doubt often been ejaculated by the African or New Zealand savage,


11. 9.

Either 'the most truly warlike in character' or *' the best defence of the warrior.' Both meanings may be included.

Private houses as well as cities, especially in the country, might in many cases need the protection of walls.

12. 1. aủtá,
sc. т $\dot{a} \tau \epsilon i \chi \eta$, i. e. the position of the walls; or more generally, 'the consideration of these circumstances.'
12.2. à $\chi^{2} i \omega v$.

The MSS. vary between $\dot{a} \rho \chi \tilde{\omega} \nu, \dot{a} \rho \chi a i \omega \nu, \dot{a}_{\rho} \rho \chi^{\prime} \omega \nu$.



Lit. 'This place should be of a sort which has conspicuousness, suitable to the position of virtue, and towering aloft over the neighbouring parts of the city.'

Thomas Aquinas, who wrote a Commentary on the Politics, if we may judge from his Latin 'bene se habentem ad apparentiam
 vecav. (Susemihl.) But the words are better as they are found in the Greek MSS.

The habitation of virtue is to be like that of the Gods who have their temples in the Acropolis. Cp. Vitruv. 1. 7 'Aedibus vero sacris quorum deorum maxime in tutela civitas videtur esse, unde moenium maxima pars conspiciatur areae distribuantur' (quoted by Schneider) ; and Burke, French Revolution, p. 107, 'The temple of honour ought to be seated on an eminence.'




 фо́ßov.

The opposition of $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ and $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ before $\nu \in \omega \tau \epsilon \rho o r s$ and $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta u \tau \epsilon \rho o v s$ seems to imply that the youth are to perform under the eye of certain magistrates, and the elders under the eye of the magistrates
as a body. The distinction appears to be in the one case, that some of the magistrates are to go to the gymnasium, in the other the exercises are to take place in or near the public buildings appropriated to the magistrates. Everywhere the presence of the authorities is required. *s Some of the rulers are to be present ( $\delta a \operatorname{arpi} \beta=w$ ) at the exercises of the younger men, but the elders are to perform their exercises with the rulers.' Here either another verb has to be supplied with mapà rois äp $p \chi^{\circ} v a(\nu$ or the word סıarpi $\beta \epsilon \iota \nu$ is to be taken in a slightly different sense. Or 2) we may translate, 'and the elders shall be placed at the side of the magistrates.' This, however, disregards $\mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu$ and $\dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon}$ and seems not to cohere with the words $\delta i \eta p \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \operatorname{caza}$ ràs $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota x i a s$ : for thus no mention is made of the gymmastics of the elders. 3) The most natural way of taking the Greek words (rovs $\delta \hat{\delta}$. . "t $p \chi$ रovocv) that 'the magistrates shall perform their gymnastic exercises before the elders,' (St. Hilaire) gives a very poor sense. The clause $\dot{\eta}$
 the requirement of the presence of the magistrates at all gymnastic exercises.
The word kó $\sigma \mu \nu$ is difficult. It may be taken in the sense of 'institution,' which is in some degree supported by the use of kó $\mu^{\prime}$ os $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ modereias for 'the order or constitution of the state,'
 accusative after $\delta u p \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a t$ and may be taken with Adolph Stahr in the sense of 'this embellishment of the state:' [dieser Schmuck der Stadt]. In this case it is better to make $\delta \iota \eta \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ impersonal, ко́бرov being the indirect accusative following it. кai roirov, this institution too, i.e. as well as the offices of state which in c. 9 are divided between old and young.
$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \nu i \omega \nu$ к.т. $\lambda$.
12. 6.

Cp. supra, c. 5. § 4 .

The enumeration is incomplete, because Aristotle has only occasion to speak of priests and magistrates. The places assigned to their common tables, like those of the soldiers and the guardians of the country, are to be situated conveniently for their employ-
ments. The baldness of the expression suggests the possibility that something may have dropped out. The first words imei $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \pi^{\lambda} \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ at the beginning of the Chapter. $\pi \lambda \dot{\lambda} \theta_{o s}$ is used for the citizens generally, not as opposed to the upper classes.

'To have their proper place.' Cp. §8, тìv єip $\quad$ mévqu rásu. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$. . oiko $\delta о \mu \eta \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$, sc. $\tau a \dot{\xi} \downarrow \nu$, is to be supplied.

The qualifying кa入ovéép, if not a mere pleonasm, seems to indicate the more uncommon or technical expression. Cp. note on c. 8 . § 7 supra, and on vi. $1 . \S 6$.
12.8. The MSS, vary between $\nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \mu \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\mu \epsilon \mu \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta a u$. $\mathrm{P}^{4}$ has compounded them into $\nu \epsilon \varepsilon \epsilon \mu \mu \eta \bar{\eta} \theta a$. Bekker in his second edition has adopted $\mu \not \mu \mu \mu \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a t$. Cp. vi. 2. § 7 , where certain magistrates are required by law to take their meals together.

Hitherto Aristotle has been speaking only of the conditions of the best state, which are its $\tilde{i} \lambda \eta$ (supra c. 4. §§ $1-3$ ). Now he is going on to speak of the mòretia itself, which is the cious of a лólıs (cp. iii. 3. §§ 7-9).

Chapters $\mathrm{I}_{3}, 14,15$ form a transition to the subject of education, which is begun in c. 16, and is continued in Book viii. But it cannot be said that Aristotle fulfils the promise of discussing the 'constitution' of the best state. He describes the life of his citizens from birth to boyhood, but says nothing about their judicial or political duties.

## 

'Stands out well,' or 'distinctly.' For the thought, cp. Eud.
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \sigma к о \pi \grave{v} \nu ~ \delta и а \mu а \rho т a ́ v \epsilon \ell \nu . ~$
13. 3. In this passage, of which the connexion is obscure, Aristotle seems to say that the good man is superior to the ordinary con-
ditions of existence, and so to a certain extent, but to a certain
 make his citizens superior to external conditions. Cp. Nic. Eth. i. cc. 9-12.


入avөivetiv.

The connexion is as follows: 'In various ways men mistake the nature of happiness, but we recognise it to be the great object of a state, and therefore we should ascertain its nature.'

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 13. } 5 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

It is difficult to say why Aristotle should speak thus doubtfully or depreciatingly of a principle which lies at the basis both of his ethical and political philosophy. Is the expression to be attributed only to the Greek love of qualifying language?
 13. 5.

These words are not found in the Nicomachean Ethics (see references in note on text), and therefore may be supposed to be added by Aristotle as an explanation.

##  <br> 13. 5, 6.

' Happiness is an absolute good, whereas punishments are only good under certain conditions;' they are evils which prevent greater evils. The negative and the positive senses of the word 'just,'-just punishments, just actions,--needed to be distinguished in the beginning of philosophy.




'They have their rightness, not as ends, but as means or conditions of something else which is an end.' For the use of


 play of words, Aristotle appears to comprehend not only the external goods which are the conditions of individual life, but the penalties imposed by law, which are the conditions of the existence of states.


'The one is a voluntary choice of an evil,' i.e. for the sake of removing some other evil. For example, punishment puts an end to crime.

The conjecture duaipeas, which is adopted by Schneider, Coraes, Bekker (2nd edition), and Susemihl, is unnecessary.
 таîs фaì

Compare Nic. Eth. i. ro, especially the noble words in § 12.






'The good man will make a use of external goods which is absolutely good. And because ( $\delta 00$ ) this use of external goods is good in him, men think that external goods are the causes of happiness, which is just as if we were to attribute the melody to the lyre and not to the player.'
 and is inserted by Bekker in his 2nd edition.


1) 'Since therefore some things must be presupposed ( $8 i \circ$ ), our prayer and desire is that our city may be so constituted as to have
 that her constitution in respect of the goods of fortune may answer

exs'vols $\omega \nu$; or 3) 'we ask if we could only have our prayer,' or 'though it be only an ideal,' as above, кar' ciरív, iv. 11. § I ,




He seems to mean that although there might be some common idea of virtue which the citizens attained collectively, such as patriotism, yet it would be better that each individual should be virtuous, for each implies all. Compare, ii. 3. § 2, rò $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ mávtes Sirtóv, к.т.ג., where he distinguishes 'each' from 'all.'
 13. II.

Lit. 'Some qualities there is no use in having by nature; for habit alters them; and through nature,' or 'such is their nature that, they are swayed by habit both towards good and towards evil.' To us the reasoning of this passage appears singular. Yet probably what Aristotle means to say is, that moral qualities, if given by nature, would cease to be moral, and in so far as they are moral would cease to be natural. Nature in this passage is used for 'instinct,' or ' natural impulse.' From another point of view (Nic. Eth. ii. 1. § 2) he shows, using the term фúrs in a somewhat different sense, that things which are purely natural cannot be altered by habit; but that nature supplies the conditions under which habits may be cultivated. Cp. also infra, c. 15. § 7.

ध́тє́pous . . . \#̀ toìs aitoùs $\delta i a ̀ ~ \beta i o u . ~$
14. 1.
'Are rulers and subjects to differ at different times, or to be the same always?'

## roîs àp $\quad$ о $\mu$ évots.

14. 2. 
1) *Dative of reference: 'In relation to their subjects,' or, 2) with a more obvious construction, but with a feebler sense, rois apxopéyous may be taken after фарєрá,' so that the superiority of the governors is manifest to their subjects.'

## ミкú入ag.

14. 3. 

The same who is mentioned in Herodotus (iv. 44) as sailing down the Indus by order of Darius Hystaspes. Whether the
writings passing under his name with which Aristotle was ac. quainted were genuine or not we cannot say. The short summary of the geography of the habitable world which has come down to us under the name of Scylax contains allusions to events later than the time of Herodotus, and is therefore certainly either spurious or interpolated.
14.4. тávтєs ví катà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi$ đ́́pav.

Not country as opposed to town-' the country people combine with the malcontents of the town;' but, 'all the inhabitants minus the rulers,' i.e. the perioeci, metics, or any others, who, though personally free, had no political rights, make common cause with the subject classes and desire revolution.



Lit. 'For nature herself has given the principle of choice when she created in the very race the same element, i.e. the same human beings, partly young and partly old, of whom the one are fitted to obey, the others to command.'
 aicó, and is omitted altogether in one MS. and in Aretino's translation. Aitò may be translated: 'In the human race nature has created the very same thing, making a distinction of old and young, corresponding to that of rulers and subjects.' The correction $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ avi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ for $a \dot{\nu} \tau \hat{\varphi}$ is unnecessary.
 ápiotou à $\nu$ סós.
i. e. in the best state which he is here discussing.



 in like manner there must be a division of the actions of the soul;' $\dot{\omega} \sigma a u ́ r \omega s$ answers to duádoyov ë $\chi \in \omega$, and is to be taken closely with каї тàs $\pi \rho a ́ \xi ॄ є \iota$.

$\dot{\eta} \pi a \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \tau 0 \hat{i} \nu \delta \nu o i v$, sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$. 'The simple action of the highest principle is better than the mixed action of all or of two, that is the union of the higher with the lower, or the practical and speculative reason combined (roiv $\delta$ voiv).' Aristotle is here speaking of that life of mind which in the Ethics he conceives to
 Nic. Eth. x. 8. §3). But we are unable to understand how this pure mind condescends to take a part in human things-the analogous difficulty in Aristotle to the relation of $\underset{\alpha}{a} \nu o o v \mu \in \nu a$ and rà фavóuєva in Plato. We know that within the sphere of practice thought and reflection must always be reappearing if the legislator is endowed with them. But Aristotle nowhere explains how the speculative, either in private or public life, is related to the practical, or what is the higher training which fits the citizen for either.






 Cp. c. II. $\S 8$, about walls, and ii. 9 . § ro, about the women.

14. 17.

Who Thibron was is unknown. But we have an example of a treatise such as he might have written in the 'de Republica Lacedemoniorum,' attributed to Xenophon. Was he more likely to have been a Spartan, or only an admirer of Sparta, like the Philolacon in other states of Hellas? The name is Lacedaemonian.
 remind us how large a literature of political philosophy must have existed in the time of Aristotle, although we are apt to imagine him the first writer on such subjects. Cp. ii. 1. § r ; c. 7. § r ; c. 12. § I.


' If their greatness depended on their laws, it is ridiculous to suppose that they can have retained their laws and lost their happiness.'

' If states are trained in virtue only that they may rule over their neighbours, the same principle will impel individuals 10 usurp the government in their own states.'
14. 20. Пavaavia $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ßagi $\lambda \epsilon \hat{1}$.

See note on v. 1. § 10.
 $\psi \nu \chi a i ̂ s ~ \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \bar{\nu} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$.

There is a slight flaw in the text, which may be corrected (with Susemihl) by adding $\tau \epsilon$ after tóv.

Cp. Soph. Aj. 650 (Dindorf) :-


$\pi \rho \grave{s} \tau \eta \bar{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta} s$ रuvaukós.
15. In the Nic. Eth. x. 7, Aristotle dwells at length on the thesis that the true happiness of man is to be sought in leisure and contemplation. But we have a diffculty in realizing his meaning. For we naturally ask how is the leisure to be employed? and on what is contemplation to feed? To these questions his writings supply no answer. We have no difficulty in understanding that by a philosopher the mind and the use of the mind is deemed higher than the body and its functions, or that the intellectual is to be preferred to the moral, or that the life of a gentleman is to be passed in liberal occupations, not in trade or servile toil. But when we attempt to go further we can only discern a negative idealism; we are put off with words such as $\theta_{\epsilon \omega p i a}$, ovoia, and the like, which absorbed the minds of that generation, but which to us appear to have no context or meaning.

But if in the sphere of the individual the idea of contemplative leisure is feeble and uncertain, much more shadowy is the meaning
of the word when applied to the state. We can see that peace is to be preferred to war; that the Athenians 'provided for their weary spirits many relaxations from toil' (Thuc. ii. 38); that 'they could fix their minds upon the greatness of Athens until they became filled with the love of her' (ib. 43); that into education an element of philosophy should enter; that sleep is sweet to weary mortals; that to the Greek leisure was a necessity of the higher life. But we fail to perceive how the leisure of a state, the interest of a spectacle, the tranquillity of wealth is better than some great struggle for freedom; or how the sons of those who fought at Thermopylae and Salamis were more fortunate than their fathers. Aristotle himself seems to acknowledge that greater virtues of some kind would be required in 'the islands of the blest' than in the ordinary life of man. The contemplative end which he imagines is not suited to the human character and is nearly unmeaning. To us there appears to be more truth in the sentiment, which has been repeated in many forms, that 'the search after knowledge is a greater blessing to man than the attainment of it.'

'The virtues of leisure imply the virtues of business, for business supplies the means of leisure.'

15. 3.

Cp. Tennyson's Maud I. vi.-xiii. :-
' Why do they prate of the blessings of peace?
Peace in her vineyard-yes !-but a company forges the wine.'
Yet there is corruption in war as well as in peace, now as of old, in furnishing the commissariat of an army, in making appointments, in conferring distinctions, sometimes followed by a fearful retribution.

 à $\rho \in \tau \bar{\eta} \mathrm{s}$.
'The Lacedaemonians agree with the rest of mankind that the good life is the end, but they differ in supposing the end to be obtained by military virtue alone.'

Cp. (though a different point of view from that which is here taken) ii. $9 . \S \S 34, .35$ : 'Although the Lacedaemonians truly think that the goods for which they contend are to be acquired by virtue rather than by vice, they err in supposing that these goods are to be preferred to the virtue which gains them.'







$\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta_{\hat{\epsilon}}$ introduces the apodosis which is resumed in rov̂to $\delta \frac{\pi}{7}$ $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \in \%$.




The meaning of $\bar{\eta} \theta \theta a t$ is simply 'trained;' whether for good or evil depends on the sense given to $\dot{\delta} \mu \mathrm{i} \omega \mathrm{s}$. Either r)* 'in the same i.e. a mistaken way'; or 2) 'all the same' $=$ ' nevertheless.' The first is most in accordance with the context $\delta \iota \eta \mu a \rho \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon ́ v a l ~ k a l ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda o ́ y o u . ~$ The kai is needlessly bracketed by Bekker in his and edition. 'For even reason (which we might least expect to err) is not infallible.'




1) *The connexion is as follows: 'We have to consider whether men are to be trained by reason or by habit: Thus much is clear -that there is a succession of means and ends: every birth having a beginning and every end having a beginning in some other end; and the end of nature being reason and intelligence.' That is to say: 'In every birth there are previous elements and in like manner in the end or intellectual perfection of human nature other antecedents, such as education, are implied, which from other points of view are themselves ends.'
2) According to Susemihl the words are to be taken as follows: 'It is clear that generation implies some antecedent principle and the end which springs from an antecedent principle is in turn relative to a further end.' According to this way of taking the
 Generation has an antecedent principle of which it is the end. The end which thus springs from an antecedent principle has a further end, namely, intelligence and reason. But two objections may be offered to this way of translating the words. a) tuw has no meaning. b) The less natural construction is adopted instead of the more natural. For aid $\lambda$ ov $\tau \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ 交ovs would naturally depend upon the words which immediately precede, ¿̀ $\pi$ ó $\tau$ woos à $\alpha \bar{\eta} \bar{s}$.
3) Once more, Mr. Postgate proposes to take the passage as follows: 'So much then is evident-first here, as in other cases, coming into existence is the beginning of all, and what is the end, viewed from a certain beginning, is itself directed towards a further end.' To this interpretation it may be objected that $\dot{d} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi^{\hat{\eta}} s$ is
 as in the preceding explanation, is construed unnaturally.

See infra note on § 9 .
ті̀̀ $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \dot{\sigma} \nu$.
18. 7.
 of two MSS. is probably made up from the context. Out of these words Göttling has constructed a hexameter àdגè véas, Tpoítnv, ǜoкas $\mu \dot{\eta}$ т $\epsilon \mu \nu \varepsilon$ קadeias. The equivocation may either consist in the double meaning of $\nu \in a ̂ s ~ ' f a l l o w ~ g r o u n d ' ~(i n ~ A t t i c ~ u s e d ~ f o r ~ \nu e t a ̂ s) ~$ and $\nu$ eas 'the young maiden :' or the disputed point may have been only whether the oracle was to be taken literally or metaphorically.



The words $\dot{\eta} \mu \kappa \kappa \rho \dot{\partial} \nu$ probably mean 'thereabouts' or 'nearly,' like $\mu_{l \times \rho o v i}$; or some word such as $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o v$ may have dropped out.
The disparity of age between the man and woman appears to be great; but as Aristotle extends the term for the women from 18 to 50 , and for the men from 35 to 70 years, the time allowed
for cohabitation in either would nearly coincide, i.e. 35 and 32 years. There is therefore no reason for doubting the reading.

The relative ages to us appear singular. Malthus, On Population vol. i. p. 237, remarks that this regulation 'must of course condemn a great number of women to celibacy, as there never can be so many men of thirty-seven as there are women of eighteen,' But the real and great disparity is between the total number of women after eighteen and the total number of men after thirtyfive.

Plato in the Republic (v. 460) makes the interval less. He assigns twenty to forty as the marriageable age for women: for men, from the time 'when they have passed the greatest speed of life ' (twenty-five?) to fifty-five. In the Laws (iv. 721) the citizens are required to marry between the ages of thirty and thirty-five; but in another passage ( $772 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}$ ) between twenty-five and thityfive.

In the History of Animals (Aristotle?) the age proper for marriage in men is limited to sixty, or at the utmost seventy; in women to forty, or at the utmost fifty.




According to this way of reckoning Aristotle seems to consider the prime of life to be thirty-five. The father having begun to keep house at thirty-five years of age would at seventy give up to the son, who might be expected to begin family life over again at thirty-five.

In speaking of the succession of children to their parents Aristotle takes account only of the fathers.



Sc. $\delta \in \hat{i}$ oũ̃ $\omega s$ nociciv, taking $\delta \in i$ from the previous sentence. The better MSS. read $\delta \in i ̂ \not \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta$ aı after $\chi$ рóvots, but this is unnecessary, and the repetition of $\chi \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \tau a u$ after $\chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ is unpleasant.
ouvau入iav, 'cohabitation' probably from aù入ो not from aỉós.

кaì aủroùs $\eta$ 万̈ $\delta \eta$.
16. II,
i.e. 'themselves when they come to be parents as well as the writers on these subjects.'

Like Plato, Aristotle prescribes gymnastics for women as well as 18. I3. men. Cp. Plat. Laws vii. 789; Rep. v. 457.





- But when there are too many children (for we have settled that there is to be a limit of population), they must not be exposed merely for this reason. If, however, it should happen that a couple exceed the number allowed by law, then abortion must be practised before sense and life have begun.'
 the previous remark. 'I speak of this because population has been limited.' Cp. ii. 7. §5, where Aristotle says that the legislator who fixes the amount of property should also fix the limit of population; and ii. 6 . § 10 , where he censures Plato for supposing that population will be kept down even if nothing is done to secure this object: and Rep. v. 461, where abortion and exposure are allowed, or in certain cases enforced; also a curious and interesting passage quoted from Musonius a Stoic philosopher (about 60 A.D.), by Stobaeus $\S 15 \cdot$ p. 450, in which he denounces abortion and similar practices as offences against Zeus the god of kindred.

Respecting the seven ages, see infra, note on $c .17 . \S 15$; and 16.17. for the regulations of Aristotle respecting marriage, the time after marriage, procreation and nursing of children and their early education, cp. Laws vii. 788-794.

17. 1.
sc. $\delta \varepsilon \hat{i}$. To be gathered from the previous paragraph.


vOL. II.

This is another misrepresentation of Plato, who only says that when children are silent they are pleased, and that they ought io have as little pain as possible in early childhood lest they grow up morose in character. ('When anything is brought to the infant and he is silent, then he is supposed to be pleased, but when be weeps and cries out, then he is not pleased. For tears and crics are the inauspicious signs by which children show what they love and hate.' Laws vii. 792 A ). Yet the words ìv roîs vipus sufficiently show that Plato is the writer to whom Aristotle is referring.
rùs Sharávets, 'the passions or struggles,' a neutral word to be interpreted by $\kappa \lambda a v \theta \mu o i$ which follows.
 Eepíar kai тクддкoútous öpras.

A thought enlarged upon by Plato Rep. ii. 377 ff.
Bekker in his ist edition has unnecessarily altered duveneverpiav, the reading of the majority of the MSS., into advecevepias. In his and edition he has substituted avedeveipov, which has some MS.
 be taken as an accusative of the remoter object. à $\pi \epsilon \lambda$ aivet has been altered by Susemihl into $\grave{\pi} \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda a \beta \in i v$, a change which is partly grounded on a various reading amodavitu, and partly on the 'absumere' of the old translator.
 age,' i.e. alchough they are so young, care must be taken about what they see and hear ; or 2) kaì may be emphatic, 'especially at this early age when they cannot take care of themselves.'





 improvisation at the Dionysiac festival of which Aristophanes furnishes an imitation in the Acharnians 263 ff.

The words $\pi$ pòs $\delta$ ò roúrots introduce a second exception: 'in-
decency may be allowed in the temples of certain Gods;' $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$ dí rouroos, 'and also to persons of full age whom the law allows to worship in such temples.' Cp. once more Plat. Rep. ii. 378: - The doings of Cronus, and the sufferings which his son in turn inflicted upon him, even if they were true, ought certainly not to be lightly told to young and simple persons; if possible, they had better be buried in silence. But if there is an absolute necessity for their mention, a chosen few might hear them in a mystery, and in order to reduce the number of hearers they should sacrifice not a common [Eleusinian] pig, but some huge and unprocurable victim.'

$$
\text { Өєóócoos. } 17 . \text { І } 3 .
$$

A great Athenian actor and performer of Sophocles who took the part of Antigone: Aeschines was his tritagonist who played Creon. Dem. Fal. Leg. 418 . He is mentioned in the Rhetoric of Aristotle ii. 23.1400 b. 16, iii. 13.1414 b. 13.



It is uncertain whether we should read $*_{0 \dot{\nu}} \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega s}$ or ov как $\hat{\omega}$ in this passage. The authority of the MSS. and the immediate context confirm the former. On the other hand ou kakês is the more idiomatic expression, and is not irreconcileable with the context:--' Those who divide the ages of men by seven are not far wrong, and yet we should rather observe the divisions made by nature;' or, 'and we should observe the divisions made by nature, i. e. the divisions into sevens' (Bergk 25). This is also confirmed by the passage in c. 16. § I7, aüTך [sc. $\dot{\eta}$ т $\hat{\eta} s$ davoias

 коута ётติע.

It may be observed too that Aristotle himself in this passage divides ages by sevens-seven, fourteen (puberty), twenty-one.

The 'sevens' of Aristotle agree with the 'sevens' of Solon (?) in the years which he assigns to marriage (35) and to the highest development of the mind (49 or 50 ) :-



















Compare an interesting note of Mr. Cope's in his edition of Aristotle's Rhetoric, vol. ii. p. 160.
${ }^{1}$ al. lect. $\sigma \hat{\mu} \mu \mathrm{a} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ кai $\mathbf{~ \delta u ́ v a \mu i s . ~}$

## BOOK VIII.

##  <br> 1. 2.

Here Susemihl has adopted $\pi a \iota \delta \in \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$ after Aretino's translation. But rohırєve $\theta$ Aat the reading of the Greek MSS. is also confirmed by William de Moerbek, 'politizare,' and is more in accordance with the context: 'For the life of the citizen should conform to the state, because the state is of one character, and this unity in the end of the state necessitates unity in the education of the citizens.'


 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi<\mu \dot{e} \lambda \epsilon t a \nu$ каi $\dot{d} \rho \theta \dot{\prime} \nu$, where he goes on to show that public education can be best enforced, but that, since it is generally neglected, we must have recourse to private education, which moreover will take into account the peculiarities of the individual case; also that the education of individuals must be based upon general principles, and these are to be gathered from the science or art of legislation.



Aristotle appears to praise the Lacedaemonians, not for the quality of their education (cp. infra c. 4), but for the circumstance that it was established by law. According to Isocrates Panath. ${ }_{27} 7 \mathrm{~d}$, the Spartans fell so far below the general standard of education in Hellas, that they did not even know their letters,
 $\gamma p$ cip $\mu a \tau a$ $\mu a v$ Qávov $\sigma \nu$ : and according to Plato, or rather according to the author of the Platonic Hippias Major ( 285 C), ' not many of them could count.'

кai. тойто. кai is found in all the MSS., and was the reading of Moerbek. There is no difficulty in explaining it: 'One may praise the Lacedaemonians for this also,' as he has already praised their common use of property in ii. 5. § 7. Cp. Nic. Eth. x.




- We are agreed about the necessity of a state education, but we differ about the subjects of education' or 'about the things to be
 $\dot{a} \nu \subset \lambda \epsilon \tau \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$.

'The customary education' or 'the education which meets us in life' -without any idea of obstruction.

' It is impossible to consider the theory of education apart from the prevalent custom; and it would be equally impossible even if we could frame a perfect theory to carry it out in practice.'
2.2. т $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \mathrm{p} \tau \mathrm{a}$ á.

Lit. 'things in excess,' i. e. not included in the ordinary training either for life or virtue, in modern language 'the higher knowledge.' For the use of the word cp. ii. $6 . \S 6$; Nic. Eth. vi. 7.§ 4.
2.2. kpırás rivas.

Cp. for the use of the word De Anima i. 405 b. $8, \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a$ tà $\sigma r o t \chi \in i a$ $\kappa \rho \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \bar{\eta} s \gamma \bar{\eta} s$, 'All these views have found approvers.'



2.6. èтанфотерígovaı,
'are of a double character,' partly liberal, partly illiberal.

$\mu o v a \iota \grave{y}$ is here separated from ypá $\mu a r a$, which in Plato's Republic are included under it.

We may remark the form of sentence: 'There are four;' but the fourth is introduced with a qualification, tétaptol ëroo.

> aüt $\gamma^{\text {à }} \rho$ à $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
> 3. 2.

Not $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma$ s but $\dot{\eta} \sigma \chi^{\circ} \lambda \dot{\eta}$, as is shown by the clause which follows,
 perhaps to Nic. Eth. x. 6.
${ }_{0} \lambda \omega s$. 3. $3 \cdot$

Either, 1) 'the general question must be asked;' or 2) *taking ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \omega s$ in an emphatic sense, 'the question must be surely' or 'absolutely asked.' In what follows $\S \S 3^{-6}$, Aristotle passes on to discuss the more general subjects of refreshments or relaxations, and returns to music in $\S 7$.

But ö $\lambda \omega s$ is only a conjecture of Victorius. All the MSS. read rédos, except one ( $\mathrm{P}^{5}$ ), which reads rècvraion. (Cp. the old trans. 'finaliter.') The reading rélos gives a sufficient but not a very good sense ('lastly'), nor can any objection be made to it on the ground that the word occurs in the following line with a different meaning. For such false echoes are not uncommon. Cp. avvá$\gamma \in i v$, used in two senses, iv. $15 . § 8$, note.
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{l} \sigma \chi^{\sigma \lambda} \dot{\eta} \nu$.
3.6.

Cp. infra § 8, $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ '่ $\nu \tau \bar{\eta} \sigma \chi o \lambda \hat{\eta} \delta \iota a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$. The two expressions are nearly equivalent: 1) 'the leisure occupied in ivarayn:' 2) 'the $\delta a ⿱ 䒑 \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$ of leisure.' It is hard to find any satisfactory phrase in English to express what Aristotle throughout this book terms $\delta \iota a \omega \gamma{ }^{\prime}$. The first sense of the word is that employment of leisure




 it is joined with фоómots (c. 5. § 4. init. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta t a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu \sigma \nu \mu \beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau a i ~ \tau \iota$ кai фо́v $\quad \bar{\eta} \iota \nu$ ) and therefore seems to mean the rational or intellectual employment and enjoyment of leisure. It is always distinguished from $\pi a \iota \delta \iota \grave{a}$ and àvánavots 'amusement' and 'relaxation,' which are properly, not ends, but only means to renewed exertion (cp.

Nic. Eth. x. 6. §6); and so means to means, whereas $\delta(a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{ }$; and $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \eta$ are ends in themselves. The idea of 'culture,' implying a use of the intellect, not for the sake of any further end, but for itself, would so far correspond to $\delta 丿 a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$.


rútrovalv, sc. aủ $\ddagger \dot{\eta} \nu$ or music. 'They reckon music in that class of intellectual enjoyments which they suppose to be peculiar to freemen.'

The line is not found in our Homer. There is no doubt that in the original $\theta a \lambda \epsilon i \eta \nu$ is to be taken with $\delta a i \neq a$; but it is probably quoted by Aristotle in reference to the Muse Thalia : and калсiv Өa入inv is said in the same way as kàéovoiv aoodò in the following quotation.

i.e. 'the fact that the ancients included music in education proves thus much, that they considered it a noble part of education';-they would not have included what was purely utilitarian.


'The Lacedaemonians do not run into the error of spoiling the frames of their children, but they spoil their characters.'



'And even if they train with a view to courage they do not attain to it; for courage is not to be found in brutal but in mild and lionlike natures, whether (the comparison is made) of animals or of barbarians.' Cp. Plat. Rep. ii. 375 and Aristotle's Criticism on this passage in the Politics vii. $7 . \S \S 5^{-8}$.

Not ' of Epirus,' which would be wholly disconnected from the

Pontus and could hardly have been described as in this state of savagery, nor as in the translation 'there are other inland tribes,' for the Achaeans are not inland tribes (unless indeed the tribes 'about the Pontus' are called continental with reference to the Mediterranean), but more accurately 'other tribes on the mainland.' For another mention of these cannibals in Aristotle, cp. Nic. Eth. vii. $5 .{ }^{\text {§ }} 2$.

4. 4.
 due to the improvements of the other Hellenes in gymnastics; though the equal or superior military discipline of Macedon at last overpowered them.

The fall and decay of Sparta is a political lesson which greatly 4. 4-7. impresses Aristotle, cp. notes on vii. $11 . \S 8$ and c. $14 . \S$ r 6 ff.

So in modern times the superiority of nations has often been due to their superior organization. Those who organize first will be first victorious until others become in their turn better trained and prepared. By organization Frederick the Great crushed Austria, as she was afterwards crushed once more in 1866; again the military organization both of Prussia and Austria crumbled before Napoleon at Jena, as the French organization was in turn overpowered by the new military development of Germany in 1870. The Germans have still to prove, eite $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ roùs $\nu$ véous rounáges tòv


4. 6.

Cp. Plato (e.g. Phaedo 87 A, Soph. 238 B) for a similar personification of the argument.

A warning against overstraining of the faculties in youth which 4.8. may be applied to the young student of modern times as well as to the young Olympic victor.

[^10]music =' the keynote,' 'that what we have to say may be a sort of keynote to any future discussion of the subject.' Cp. Arist. Rhet.

 Bei oữw $\gamma \beta$ ú $\phi$ etv.
5. 2-4. Aristotle suggests three reasons which might be given for the cultivation of music :

1) $\pi a \delta \delta i ̂ a ̂ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ a ̀ v a \pi a v ̇ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ e ̈ v e x a, ~ l i k e ~ s l e e p, ~ w i n e, ~ d a n c i n g ~(c p . ~ N i c . ~$ Eth. x. 6. §6), amusement and relaxation being the means to renewed exertion.
2) Because of its influence on character. Hence its value in education ( $\pi$ aod $\in(a)$.
3) $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta t a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ кaì $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \tau \tau v$, as an end.

In c. 7. § 3 he speaks of music as being used for a) madein, b) kútapots, c) $\delta(a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$; a) corresponds to 2) of c. 5 (лрòs тì $\pi a(\delta \delta(a \nu), c)$ to 3 ).

This leaves b) kádapots to correspond to the use of music as a relaxation, and would seem to show that Aristotle gave the lower meaning to ќäapots (i. e. 'purgation' rather than 'purification').
 каі̀ каАípбєнs. See note on c. $7 . \S 3$.

Goettling and Bekker (in his second edition), against the authority of the MSS. of the Politics, have altered ä $\mu a$ mav́є intcàaatave, an unnecessary change, and unsupported by the MSS. of Euripides, which cannot be quoted on either side ; for the citation, like many others in Aristote, is inaccurate. The words referred to occur in Eur. Bacch. 380 :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Olagevély te xopois } \\
& \mu \epsilon \tau a ́ \text { т’ aì入où } \gamma є \lambda \text { àáau, }
\end{aligned}
$$

8. 3. Tátrovat aùtív.

Sc. eis nabità kai dvaitavow understood from the words preceding.
5. 3. Reading ürvч for ouँч, gathered from ürvov кai $\mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \theta_{\eta}$ s supra, with

Bekker's and edition, but against the authority of all the MSS. and of William de Moerbek.
 raís rotaúrats.

The particle $\tau \epsilon$ is not easily explained. It may be suggested either that 1) it should be omitted, or 2) should be changed into tı or rois, or 3) that kai poovnoty should be added after it from
 каі фро́m
 5. 4 .

A singular and almost verbal fancy. 'The imperfect is opposed to the perfect, and therefore the immature youth is not intended for reason and contemplation.' Yet the meaning of rédos is


$\S \S 5-8$ are a series of dropiat which take the form of a sup-5.5-8. pressed dialogue, i) But a child may learn music with a view to a time when he will be grown up; 2) But why should he learn himself? 3) He will not appreciate unless he does; 4) Then why should he not learn cookery? 5) And how will his morals be improved by playing himself rather than by hearing others perform? Yet infra c. 6 these cobwebs are dashed aside; and it is acknowledged that the truer and deeper effect of music can only be produced on the mind by actual practice.



Cp. what Plato says of the 'timocratic man,' in Rep. viii.





In Il. i. 603 it is Apollo, not Zeus, who plays to the assembly of the gods.




There is a finality about pleasure, which leads to a confusion with happiness. Like the greater end of life it comes after toil; it is sensible to the eye or feeling; it is the anticipation of we know not what: no account can be given of it. taúryv, sc. oì tìv ruxoṽaav, 'the higher pleasure;' ékeiomp, 'the lower pleasure.'






 тavitas póvas oĭoutau eival.

sc. ڭŋroù̃a.


i. e. 'any imitation, whether accompanied by rhythm or song or not, creates sympathetic feeling.'

' Near to or not far removed from their true natures.'


 $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ каиข
'As to the senses [other than the sense of hearing], objects of sight alone furnish representations of ethical character ; (for figures are 1) objects of sight, or $2^{*}$ ) are of an ethical character) ; but to a certain extent only, and this intellectual element (though feeble) is common to all.'

The obscurity of the passage has led to the insertion of ov before mévecs: but the construction is then abrupt and the meaning
thus obtained, 'all do not participate in the sense of figure,' would be a strange statement.

' Yet such figures and colours (which have been previously called representations) are not really representations but more truly signs and indications.'



 $\chi^{\text {tipous, }}$,
 5. 2 I .
'But though hardly discernible in painting we hare the very expression of the feeling in music.'

кaì roîs püraîs eival.
5. 25 .

Bekker in his 2nd edition has inserted $\pi \rho \dot{s}$ s $\pi \eta_{\nu} \psi \dot{v} \chi \eta \nu \quad$ before eivat. Cp. a reading which is confirmed by one MS. of the old translator, 'cognatio ad animan.' Aretino's translation suggests $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu}$, , but the same sense can be got out of the Greck as it stands,
 or oi peot in the previous sentence.

For the doctrine that the soul is a harmony, cp. Plat. Phaedo 86, 92-95; Timaeus 35, 36 .


8. 6.

Though there is no variation in the MSS., or in the old translator, there seems to be a corruption in this passage. Susemihl transposes $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon t s$ and $\mu \pi \theta^{\prime} \tilde{\sigma} \epsilon$ s. Goetting omits both. If retained in their present order, they must be translated as in the text, and may be supposed to mean that practice precedes theory. In the Republic practical life precedes philosophical leisure, and at the end of the Ethics (x, 9, §20) Aristotle says that the sophist
having no experience of politics cannot teach them (cp. Plat. Tim. 19 D).

But a fatal objection to this way of interpreting the passage is the word $\mu \dot{\alpha} \theta_{\eta \sigma t s}$, which elsewhere in this chapter, and even in the next sentence, means 'early education,' not ' mature philosophical speculation.'
8. 7. Compare Plat. Rep. ii. 4 Ir. In the Laws vii. 8ro he limits the time allowed for the study of music to three years.

'Speech,' as in bk. i. 2. § 10.
8. ir. The singular outburst of intellectual life at Athens, which we may well believe to have arisen after the Persian War, belongs to a period of Greek history known to us only from the very short summary of Athenian history contained in a few pages of Thucydides. It was the age of Pindar and Simonides and Phrynichus and Aeschylus, of Heraclitus and Parmenides, of Protagoras and Gorgias.
6. 12. 'Eкфаитiồ.

A very ancient comic poet who flourished in the generation before Aristophanes.

This, like many other sentences beginning with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$, is an anacoluthon, of which the real apodosis is to be found in the
 $\kappa \omega т$ épav.

Three alternatives are given: 1) Shall we use all the harmonies and rhythms in education? 2) Shall we make the same distinctions about them in education which are made in other uses of them? Or 3) Shall we make some other distinction?
rpírov $\dot{\text { cei }}$ has been suspected. rpitov is certainly not symmetrical because it introduces not a third case but a subdivision of the second case. Yet other divisions in Aristotle are unsymmetrical (cp. supra c. 3. § 1 and vii. 11. §§ 1-4).
$\nu \quad \mu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s$.
7. 2.
'After the manner of a law,' i. e. $\epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\epsilon} \pi \varphi$ explained by the words which follow.

These distinctions are but feebly represented by modern styles; the first is in some degree analogous to sacred music, the second to military music, and the third to the music of the dance.

ттро̀s ä̀ $\lambda \lambda \frac{\mu}{\mu} \rho o s$,
sc. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \psi \sim \chi \hat{\eta} s$ or ${ }^{*} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$. 7. 3 .



This promise is very imperfectly fulfilled in the short allusion to



'Therefore it is for such harmonies and for such melodies that we must establish the competitions of musical performers,' i. e. we must leave such strains of art to regular performers.

таракє $\chi \rho \omega \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v a$,
$\pi a \rho a \chi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ are explained to mean 'deviations from the received
cale in music.'



This criticism of Plato appears to be just.
 $\mu u ́ \theta o u s$.

The emendation múgovs (adopted by Bekker in his and edition) is unnecessary. The words may also mean 'to compose a dithyramb called the "Fables."" Whether fables could be written in a dithyrambic form or not, the difficulty which Philoxenus experienced was of another kind: what he found hopeless was the
attempt to compose dithyrambic poetry adapted to the severe Dorian music.

is abruptly expressed and possibly something may be omitted. The general meaning is 'that if there be a harmony suited to the young it must be tested by the three principles of education; the mean, the possible, the becoming.'
7. ri5. Without assuming that Aristotle wrote a complete treatise on the subject of education, in which he includes gymnastic, music, drawing, and literature (cp. c. $3 . \S$ ) , it is hard to imagine that, if the work had received from his hands its present form, he would have broken off in this abrupt manner.

## INDEX TO THE NOTES. I.

## A.

Abbott, Mr. E., emendation of, I79. Abydos, revolution at, 202, 204.
Acarnania, village communities in, 5.

Accusative, the remote, 32 ; of measure, 246 :-accusativus pendens, 205.
Aetolia, village communities in, 5 .
Agathias, Epigram of, quoted, 8.
Age for marriage in Plato and Aristotle, 288.
Agis II, King of Sparta, 95 .
Alcaeus, quoted (Fr. 50), 88.
Alexander Aphrodisiensis, 126; quoted ( 539 b. 12), 45 .
Alexander the Great, 95, 169.
Alfred the Great, all old English institutions popularly attributed to, 78 .
Amasis, King of Egypt, 38.
Amateur, the, and the artist, which is the better judge? 131.
Ambracia, foundation of, 197.
Amphipolis, revolutions at, 193.
Amyntas the Little (probably Amyntas II), 217.
Anacoluthon, 13, 39, 119, 125, 130, 143, 302.
'Analytics, Posterior,' quoted (71 a. I), I.

Andreas, tyrant of Sicyon, 229.
'Anima, De,' quoted (405 b. 8), 294 ; (412 b. 25), 214.
Antecedent, the vague, 2, 3, 4, 25, $32,33,49,50,66,70,83,108,112$, 121, 152, $157,159,160,203,206$, 211, 213, 237, 247, 256, 258, 259, 270; the inexact, common in Aristotle, 26.
Antithesis, the, of $\lambda$ ó oos and épyov, in Aristotle's philosophy, 15.
Aphytis, 242.
Apodosis of a sentence, omitted, $36,53,125,132$.

Apollodorns, cited, 135 .
Arcadia, 44 ; village communities in, 5 .
Archelaus, King of Macedonia, 218.

Archidamus III, King of Sparta, saying of, quoted, 275 .
Areopagus, the Council of, said by Aristotle (according to Plutarch) to have paid the sailors before the battle of Salamis, 196 .
Aretino, Latin translation of, cited, $160,266,282,293,301$.
Argos, admission of Perjoeci to citizenship at, 79; the change of government after the first battle of Mantinea, 197; tyranny of Pheidon, 215.
Aristides, ostracism of, 137 ; said to have extended the right of voting to the fourth class, after the battle of Salamis, 196.
Aristophancs, quoted, Knights (347), 109 ; ( 372 and Schol. ad loc.), 73; (923), 244: Clouds (1286), 33 :-Thesmoph. (846), 33.

Aristotle: begins his works with a general statement, I; proceeds by the historical as well as by the analytical method, 4 ; his style praised by Cicero, 251 ; inconsistent in his use of language, 7,10 ; sometimes states contradictory propositions without reconciling them, 22,127 ; gave a new sense to old words, in; often uses pleonastic expressions, 25,105 ; fond of geographical digressions, 50, 27 I ; supposed the inventions of arts and laws to have been made many times over, 55, 272: overmastered by his own logical distinctions, 107, 184 ; peculiarities in his use of quotations, 115, 120, 159 ; was ignorant of etymology, 123; often
reaches common sense conclusions through casuistical discussions, 124 :-presupposes a material upon which the legislator works, 9 ; makes psychology the basis of politics, 16 ; has no idea of a nation in the higher sense, 44 ; thought that there could not be a permanent division between rulers and ruled, 45 ; recognised the attractiveness of socialistic schemes, 53 ; ascribed social evils rather to human nature than to faulty institutions, 53 ; had not so wide a conception of the state as Plato, 59 ; believed that even the best state must be limited by certain conditions, 60; his conclusion as to the identity of the state, 112 ; wavers between two views of the state, an ideal and an ordinary, 113 ; his doctrine of 'collective wisdom,' 129, 130, 131, 142; his succession of states, 142 : accepts democracy only as a necessity, 143; his views respecting the relation of the good citizen to the good man, 147 ; understood the connexion between the judicial and political institutions of a country, 182 ; weakness of his political philosophy, 240 :-inconsistent in his statements respecting the origin of monarchy, 6, 139, 215 :-entertained a prejudice against money, 30 ; was perplexed between its usefulness and its uselessness, ib.; did not observe the advantages of usury, 34 ; attempts to make a difference in kind between the legitimate and illegitimate use of exchange, 3 I:-wishes to discriminate between the artisan and the household slave, 14 ; thought slavery just, when based on the natural superiority of the master, 19, 20:-attributed sex to plants, 4 ; belicyed that insects were vermiparous, 25 :-recognises a common principle in organic and inorganic nature, 15 ; supposes throughout nature that the lower exists for the higher, 26, 33 :
infers the existence of a superior and inferior in nature from the analogy of the soul, 38 :-divides quantity into continuous and discrete, 15:-his feeling towards Plato one of respect, 60 ; his criticisms of Plato generally inaccurate, $42,55,56,65,156$, 265,290 ; argues (against Plato) that political and domestic rule differ in kind, 2; that there cannot be a common idea of virtue, 39 ; that slaves may be conversed with, 40 ; supposes that crimes will be more frequent in Platn's Republic because relationships will be unknown, 49, 51 ; that incestuous loves would be permitted, 49 ; that chastity would be destroyed by communism, ${ }_{53}$; that danger will arise because the rulers are always the same, 57 ; that there could be no individual happiness in Plato's Republic, 58 ; that the state of the 'Laws' would be impracticable, owing to the number of the citizens, 60 ; that it would be impossible to manage the two households, 63 ; complains that Plato has not defined the position of the lower classes, 58 ; that he has neglected the question of foreign policy, 60 ; that he has not provided against over-population, 62 ; thinks that in the 'Laws' more space is given to laws than to the constitution, $59:-$ feebleness of his criticisms on the Spartan constitution, 87 ; appears to assume that Lycurgus was the author of all the Spartan institutions, $78,8 \mathrm{I}$; seems to have supposed that Lycurgus lived after the Messenian Wars, 8r ; censures the Spartan lawgiver for encouraging ambition and avarice in his citizens, 86 , 88; regards the Spartan legislation as pre-Dorian and borrowed from Minos, 89 :-agrees with Ephorus in his account of the Cretan constitution, 90:superficial in his criticisms on Carthage, 95 :-believed that Solon was the creator of the

Athenian Democracy, IoI, 169; classes Pericles among the demagogues, 101 :-was impressed by the antiquity and sameness of Egyptian institutions, 272.
Arrhibaeus, King of the Lyncestians, 218.
Artapanes, conspiracy of, 220.
Artifices, political, 17 I .
Artisan, the, and the household slave, not clearly discriminated by Aristotle, 14 ; the contrast drawn by him between them, 40 .
Artist, the, and the amateur, which is the better judge? 13 I .
Athens, law at, providing for the maintenance of the children of citizens who had fallen in battle, 75 ; the outburst of intellectual life after the Persian War, 302 ; the payment of the dicasteries, 70 ; the democracy (in Aristotle's opinion) first created by Solon, Ior ; part played by the sailors in establishing the democracy, 194.

Autophradates, Satrap of Lydia, 69 .

## B.

Bekker, 22, 61. 62, 65, 120, 121, 136, 139, 144, 146, 147, 153, 164, 180, $183,186,187,222,224,234,246$, 249, 253, 254, 255, 259, 261, 263, 269, 278, 280, 286, 298, 299.
Bentham, how far justified in his condemnation of Usury Laws, 34.

Bernays, 10, 15, 19, 20, 23, 25, 26, $28,3 \mathrm{I}, 38,39,43,5 \mathrm{I}, 54,56,60$, $69,76,77,87,89,93,95,108,114$, $117,123,132,135,136,137,138$, 146, 251.
Bojesen, 69.
Broughton, 264.
Burke, quoted, 9, 124, 125, 126, 276.

Butler, quoted, 26.
Bywater, Mr., conjecture of, I38.

## C.

Camerarius, 37, 108.
Carthage, superficial character of Aristotle's criticisms upon, 95 ; inconsistencies in his statements, 232; meaning of his re-
mark that offices were sold there, 99 ; the Carthaginian institutions not really like the Spartan, 95 ; nature of the Carthaginian magistracies, 97.
Cavalry, the use of, among the Greeks, 172.
Chalcis, war between, and Eretria, 204.

Chares, the Athenian general, 203.
Charicles, part played by, among the Thirty at Athens, 202.
Charilaus, King of Sparta, 232.
Charondas, the legislation of, 102; the fragments of his laws in Stobaeus and Diodorus, not genuine, 103.
Chios, revolution at, 205.
Cicero, praises the style of Aristotie, 251 :-quoted, De Off. (i. 17), 6; De Rep. (i. 34), 124; (ii. 23), 63; Tusc. Disp. (i. 14), 16.
Cinadon, conspiracy of, 206.
Cleisthenes, the Athenian, rog, 136 , 243.

- tyrant of Sicyon, 209.

Cleopatra, widow of Perdiccas II, 218.

Codrus, King of Athens, 216.
'Coelo, De,' quoted (295 a. 30), 20.
Collective wisdom, Aristotle's doctrine of, 129, $130,131,142$.
Colonization, has saved England from revolution, 99.
Common meals, the, (at Sparta) originally a military institution, 88 ; (in Italy) not mentioned by any ancient writer except Aristotle, 271.
Communistic usages, (ancient), often survive among the lower classes, 89.

Communities, religious, frequency of quarrels among, 54 .

- Village, survival of, in Hellas, 5.

Construction, unsymmetrical, 126.
Coraes, 37, 70, 255, 264, 280.
Corruption at Carthage, 99.
Cosmi, the, (in Crete), criticisms of Aristotle upon, 91,93; tenure of their office, 93 .
Cotys, King of the Odrysians in Thrace, 219.
Crete, 55 ; why free from slave insurrections, $79:-$ Cretan common tables, The, description of, in Dosiades, 91:-Cretan institu-
tions, Aristotle's account of, agrees with that of Ephorus, 90.
Ctesias, always mentioned by Aristotle in terms of distrust, 220.
Cyclopes, the, 6 .
Cypselidae, the duration of their power, 230.
Cypselus, said (in the Oeconomics) to have taken the whole wealth of his subjects by taxation, 225 .
Cyrene, 243.
Cyrus, Aristotle's account of, different from that of Herodotus, 221.

## D.

Daphnaeus, of Syracuse, 199.
Darius, son of Xerxes, 220.
Dative of the instrument, 10,136 , 209 ; of the manner, 167; of reference, 281 :-after $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ aúrín $\nu$, 1 58 ; after $\delta t \epsilon \lambda c i v, 237$; after ілтртеірєє, 238.
Delphi, revolution at, 195 .
Delphian Knife, 4.
Democracy, only accepted by Aristotle as a necessity, 143 ; is not (as Aristotle supposed) free from the danger of dissension, 187.
Demosthencs, quoted, (383.4), I3I ; (489. 20), 85 : relates ( 460 ) that the city repaid money borrowed by the Thirty, 110 .
Derdas, prince of Elimeia, 217.
Dialogue, suppressed, instances of, in the Politics, 127, 141 .
Diodorus Siculus, ignorant of Italian geography, 190.
Diogenes Laertius, quoted, (v. I, ( 1), 73.
Dion, 221, 222, 223; said by Plutarch to have been made a Spar$\tan$ citizen, 84.
Dionysius the Elder, stories of the excessive taxation imposed by him on his subjects, 225 ; description of his character by Cornelius Nepos, 228; duration of his power, 231.
Dionysius the Younger, 209, 222, 223 ; description of his character in the Aristotelian 'Polities,' 228; duration of his power, 23 I .
Dionysius (of Halicarnassus), mentions the great reputation of Theo. dectes as a rhetorician, 21.

Diophantus, 70.
Dislocations in the Politics, 36 .
Dittographies, the so-called, in the Politics, 132.
Dosiades, quoted, 89, 91.
Doxander, of Mitylene, not mentioned in Thucydides, 195.
Draco, proverbial for the severity of his legislation, Io4.

## E.

Ecphantides, 302.
Egypt, effect produced by the antiquity and sameness of, on the Greek mind, 272.
Election, double, futility of, 66.
Elis, election of the Senate at, 203.
English constitution, the, the growth of accident, 64.
Epaminondas, united the Arcadian villages to form the city of Megalopolis, 5, 44; his invasion of Sparta, 80.
Ephoralty, the, the institution of, attributed by Aristotle both to Lycurgus and to Theopompus, 78, 224 :- Ephors, the ; mode of their election unknown, 85 .
Ephorus, agrees with Aristotle in his account of the Cretan constitution, 90 ; states that Zaleucus fixed by law the penalties for crimes, 102.
Epidamnus, revolution at, 18 ;.
Epitadeus, said to have been the author of the law at Sparta allowing the alienation of property, 82, 83 .
Eretria, war between, and Chalcis, 204.

Ethics, the Eudemian, quoted (ii. I1. §2), 278 ; (vii. 9. § 4), 37 :the Nicomachean, quoted (i. I. § I) $\mathrm{I} ;(6 . \S \mathrm{I}), 60 ;(6 . \S 2)$, 107, 184; (6. § 10), 46 ; (7. § 21), $158 ;(8 . \S 2), 252:-$ (ii. 3 . § 10) , 229; (5. §14), 114; (6. § 14), 28; (7.§ $2,3,8,11$ ), 12 ; (ib. §8), 165 ; (ib. §9), 3:(iii. 5. §17), 39 :-(v. 5. § I), 45 ; (ib. § II), 29 ; (ib. § 17), 170; (6.§8), 11 ; ( $7 . \S 4$ ), 104 ; (8. § 14), $17:-$ (vi. 5. § 5), II4; (8. § I), 114 ; (ID. § 2), 118; (13. § 1), 10 ; (ib. § 8),

138:-(vii. 6. §7), 10 ; ( $7 . \S 6$ ), 21 ; (13..§6), 300 ; (14. §8), 254:-(viii. I. §4), 20; (9. §§ I-3), 20; (10. § 2), 216; (ib. \& 5), 38, 83; (ib. §6), 63; (11. §7), 22; (12. §7), 7 ; (16. §3), 247:-(ix. c. 8), 53:-(x.6.§ 2),279; (ib. §8), 125 ; (8. §7), 254 ; (9. §13), 7, 294; (ib. § I4), 293.
Ethiopians, 154 .
Eubulus, tyrant of Atarneus, 69.
Euripides; story of his having Decamnichus scourged, 219 :quotations showing a sophistic or humanistic feeling, 12 ; cited, (Aeol. fr. 16), 115 ; (Andr. 595), 80 ; (Bacch. 380), 298 ; (Suppl. 238), 168: Medea, scholia on (1. 653), 165.

Europe, extent of, according to Aristotle's conception, 264.
Evagoras, tyrant of Salamis in Cyprus, 218.
Exchange, Aristotle's two kinds of, 31.

## F.

Fallacy, the, of $\sigma i v \theta_{\text {e } \sigma \text { s }}$ and siai$\rho \in \sigma \iota, 46$.

## G.

'Generatione Animalium, De,' quoted (732, b. 10), 25 ; (743, b. 24), 53 .

Genitive, the partitive, 120, 122, I50, 186, 252 ; the epexegetic, 126; of respect, 245 ; of value, 192; after крiveiv, 160 .
Giphanius, 230.
Goethe, quoted, 10, 129.
Goods, the threefold division of, not peculiar to Aristotle, 252.
Gorgias of Leontini, 39, 108.
Göttling, 4, 26, 201, 230, 271, 287, 298, 301.
Greeks, the, limited the divine by the human, 7 .
Grote, 67, 82, 84, IOI, 109, 190, 229.

## H.

Hallam, 228.
Hanno, conspiracy of, 206.
Harmodius and Aristogeiton, conspiracy of, 217.
Hebdomè (the battle of), meaning of the word, 191.

Heliaea, the, at Epidamnus. 186.
Hellas, 51 ; vilhage communities in, 5: a federation of, why impossible, 264.
Hendiadys, 25.
Heraclea (in Pontus), 263 : revolutions at. 198:- (? in Trachis), 204.
Heracleidics, one of the assassins of Cotys, 219.
Heracleides l'onticus, 232, 242.
Hermae, the mutiation of the, 77 .
Hermeas, the friend of Aristotle, 69.
Herodotus, asserts that Tisamenes and Hegias were the only foreigners to whom rights of citizenship at Sparta were granted, 82 ; is fond of geographical digressions, po; censured by Aristotle in the Historia Animalium, 221: -quoted, (i. 191), I11; (il). 1961, 67 ; (ii. 172), 38 ; (iii. 74 ), 43; (iv. 180 ), 48 ; (v. 49), 138 ; (1i. 57, 83 ; (vii. 16), 43; (ib. 50), 77 ; (ix. 2), 265; (ib. 122), 264.
Hesychius, 4, 274 .
Heyne, C. G., 27 I.
Hiero, tyrant of Syracuse, 230.
Hierocles (the philosopher), quoted, 127.

Hildenbrand, 259.
Hipparinus, the father of Dion, 203.
Hippodamus of Miletus, 67, 7074 ; possessed great legislative ingenuity, 75 ; not really the first proposer of the law that the children of citizens slain in battle should be brought up at the public expense, 75:- the Frag. ments of, not genuine, 69 ; translated, 71-73.
'Historia Animalium,' quoted (489, b. 8), 33 .

Homer, quoted (Il. xiii. 359), 18 ; (Odyssey, ii. 365), 51 ; (Hymn to Apollo, 250 ), 264 :- quotations from, not found in our 「ext, 138, 296.

Humanistic or Sophistic feeling in Greek Poetry, 12.
Hybrias the Cretan, the Scolium of, 79.

Hyperbolus, ostracism of, 137.
I.

Inconsistencies in the Politics, 63,

78, 90, 95, 101, 166, 169, 224, 232, 256, 260.
Inscription found in Crete, 214.
Inventions, the, of arts and laws, supposed by Plato and Aristotle to have been made many times over, 55, 272.
Iphiades, 204.
Isocrates, the teacher of Theodectes, 21 ; quoted (Panath. 276), 293.

Italy, 270.

$$
\mathrm{J} .
$$

Jason of Pherae, sayings ascribed to, 115.
Judicial and political institutions, connexion between, I81.
Justin, 206 ; quoted (xix. 2), 98.

## K .

Knife, The Delphian, 4.
Knights, the, in the Athenian constitution, 102.

## L.

Lacedaemon; unwillingness of the Lacedaemonians to conform to circumstances, 64 ; the rapid decline in their numbers, 83, 84; theirimmorality, 84 ; their avarice, 88 ; said by ancient writers to have been without education, 293; had an element of communism in their customs, 52, 55 ; all their institutions popularly ascribed to Lycurgus, 78 ; (according to Herodotus) rarely conferred citizenship on foreigners, 83; the double kingship, 87 ; the quarrels of the kings, ib.; the common meals originally a military institution, 88.
Laconia, village communities in, 5 .
Lambinus, 236, 246, 249, 268, 272, 274.

Lassalle, 40.
Latin Version, the old, see William of Moerbeke.
Laveleye, E., quoted, 26.
Law, importance of unwritten, in ancient times, 145.
Leonides of Tarentum, epigram of, quoted, 109.
Leuctra, battle of, 83, 275, 283.

Livy, quoted (xxxiii. 46), 98.
Locri, (in Italy), seized by Dionysius the younger, 209.
Logic, Aristotle greatly influenced by, 107, 184 .
Lucian, quoted (Pro Lapsu inter Salut. § 7), 226.
Lycophron, 126.
Lycurgus, 67, 77, 78, 81, 82, 87; (according to Aristotle and Ephorus, ) copied the legislation of Minos, 89, 90 ; supposed by Aristotle to have gone to Crete before he gave laws to Sparta, 88 ; said (by Ephorus) to have been contemporary with Homer, 102 ; Aristotle's statement that he belonged to the middle class, 168.
Lygdamis, tyrant of Naxos, 201.
Lysander, 88 ; conspiracy of, 185 , 206.

## M.

Machiavelli, quoted, 227, 229.
Magistracies, the, of 5,100, and 104 at Carthage, 97.
Magistrates, the, of Lacedaemon and Carthage, how distinguished, 107.
'Magna Moralia,' quoted (II79 b. 39), 10.

Maithus, quoted, 62, 288.
Manin, Daniel, a saying of, quoted, 69.

Mantinea, the first battle of, 197 ; the second, $82,275,283$; representative government at, 239 ; why said to have been under a democracy, 240 .
Manuscripts of the Politics, cited, $5,8,13,26,29,37,42,51,61,62$, $65,69,96,100,105,120,121,124$, 136, 139, $140,141,144,145,146$, $148,149,159,164,167,180,186$, 187, 188, 204, 211, 214, 220, 223, $224,230,235,236,237,245,246$, $263,265,268,271,272,273,274$, $276,278,280,282,287,288,290$, 291, 293, 294, 295, 298, 299, 301.
Massalia, 202.
Mazzini, a saying of, quoted, 6r.
Megalopolis, foundation of, 5, 44.
Megara, revolutions at, 178,189 , 199.

Merit，importance of opening a career to， 119.
Metaphysics，quoted，（980 a．22），I； （991 a．22）， 58 ；（995 a．3）， 78 ； （1004a．5）， 115 ；（1020b．3），183； （1038 a．35）， 141 ；（1072 b．26）， 254 ；（1074 b．I）， 260 ；（ib．8）， 55.
＇Meteorologica，＇quoted（346 a．10）， 43.

Method，both the historical and the analytical，employed by Aristotle， 4.

Midas，the story of， 30 ．
Mill，quoted， $25,28,66,100,212$.
Minos，the laws of， 89 ．
Mithridates， 221.
Mnason，the Phocian，said by Timaeus to have been a friend of Aristotle， 196.
Moerbeke，William of，see William of Moerbeke．
Monarchy，Aristotle＇s different theories respecting the origin of， 6，139，215：－the＇barbarian；＇ Aristotle＇s account of，inconsis－ tent， 166.
Money，Aristotle＇s account of， 30.
Mïller，O．，quoted，62，186， 245.
Muretus，6I．
Musonius，fragment of，quoted， 289.
Myron，tyrant of Sicyon， 229.

## N．

Nature，can design be attributed to？ 26.
Nicocles，（according to Diodorus） the name of the eunuch who assassinated Evagoras， 218.

## 0.

Oaths of hostility，taken by states， 2 T 4.
Oenophyta，battle of， 189.
Oligarchies，the of Greece worse than the democracies，171．
Oncken，12， 92.
Oreus，the later name of Hestiaea， 192.

Organization，importance of， 297.
Orthagoras，tyrant of Sicyon， 229.
Ostracism， 136.
Ovid， 30.
Oxylus，the law of， 242.

## 1 ．

Parrhon，one of the assassins of Cotys， 219.
Parthenii，story of the， 205.
＇Partibus Animalium，De，＇quoted （ 687 a .2 y ）， 13.
Paul，Emperor of Russia，saying attributed to， 226 ．
Pausanias，called by Aristotle＇King，＇ 185.
－the assassin of Philip， 218.
Peisistratus，acquisition of the $t y-$ ranny by， 199 ；said to have been summoned before the Areopagus， 229 ：－Peisistratidae，the，duration of their power， 230 ．
Penthelidae，the，of Mitylene， 219.
Penthilus， 219.
Periander of Corinth and Periander of Ambracia， 197.
－of Ambracia，assassination of， 217.

Pericles，improvements made by， at Athens（P．C．444）， 74 ；classed by Aristotle among the dema－ gogues，IOI．
Perioeci，the，（of Crete）meaning of the term， 79 ；why said by Aris－ totle to have retained the laws of Minos， 89.
Perizonius， 120.
Phalaecus，son of Onomarchus，the Phocian leader， 94.
Phalaris，tyrant of Agrigentum， 215.

Phaleas of Chalcedon， 67.
Pheidon the Corinthian， 62.
－King or tyrant of Argos，62， 215.

Philemon，quotations from， 12.
Philip，King of Macedonia， 217.
Philoxenus， 303.
Photius， 102.
Phreattys，the court of， 180 ．
Pbrynichus，part played by，among the Four Hundred， 202.
Piraeus，why more democratic than Athens，194；laid out by Hippo－ damus， 73.
Plants，sex attributed to，by Plato and Aristotle， 4.
Plato，attributed sex to plants， 4 ； made psychology the basis of politics，16；thought that the division between ruler and ruled
should be permanent, 45 ; aimed (in the Republic) at an almost impossible strictness in the relation of the sexes, 53 ; supposed the inventions of arts and laws to have been made many times over, 55 ; did not consider the question of slavery, 59 ; had a wider conception of the state than Aristotle, 59 :-the Republic inadequately summarised by Aristotle, ib.:-criticised by Aristotle, 2, 39, 40, 47, 48, 50, 51, 54, 56, $57,58,59,60,64,65,103,156$, 265, 270, 290, (see Aristotle):quoted, Alcibiades I, I3I E, 50:Gorgias, 515. 516, 101 :-Hippias (The Lesser), 368A, 73:Laws i. $634 \mathrm{D}, 78$ : i. 657,272 : iii. 677 foll., $55 ; 680,6 ; 691,87$; 692,85 : iv. 712,64 : v. 731 E , 53;738 D, 261; 747 D, 264 : vi. 767 A, 105; ib. C, $74 ; 776 \mathrm{C}, 78 ; 777$, $41 ; 778 \mathrm{D}, 275 ; 780,80:$ viii. 845 A, 53 : xil. 95 I, 162 :-Meno, 73, $39: 95$ E, 50 :-Phaedo, 63 D , 243 :-Phaedrus, $250 \mathrm{D}, 18$ :Politicus, $259 \mathrm{~A}, 13 \mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{ib} . \mathrm{C}, 2 ; 263$ D, 26 : $278 \mathrm{D}, 15$; $301 \mathrm{E}, 122$ :Republic, i. $349,350,28$; ii. 370 C , $78 ; 37 \mathrm{IB}, 29$; 374, 45 ; 375 E , $265 ; 378,291$ : iv. $423 \mathrm{~A}, 47$; ib. E, 214; 435 E, 264: v. 463 E , $47 ; 469$ B. 21 : vi. 495 A, B, II : viii. $544 \mathrm{D}, 100$; $548 \mathrm{E}, 299$; $556 \mathrm{D}, 214:$ x. $601 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, 118$; $607 \mathrm{C}, 138:-$ Sophist, 222 C , 26:-Symposium, 191 D, 165; 193 A, 44 :- Theaetetus, $154 \mathrm{~A}, 77$; $174 \mathrm{~A}, 37$; $182 \mathrm{~A}, 11:-$ Timaeus, $19 \mathrm{~B}, 55$; $24 \mathrm{C}, 264$.
Pleonastic expressions and repetitions, $25,105,138,160,163,211$, 235, 238, 253, 268, 278.
Plot, the Popish, 77.
Plutarch, quoted (Agesilaus, 31), 82; (Agis, 5), 83 ; (Cleomenes, 10 ), 168 ; (Dio, 7), 84 ; (Lycurgus, 3), 169 ; (ib. 26), 86 ; (Solon, 20), 167: (Apophth. Lac. 215 D), II8; (ib. 219 A) 275.
Poetics, The, quoted (1448 a. 5), 301; (1451 a. 3), 261; (1454 b. 10), 170.

Political and judicial institutions, connexion between, 181.

Political discoveries, danger of rewarding, 77 .
Politics, The, written not earlier than 336 B.C., 218,222 ; probably unfinished, 260,304 ; dislocations, 36 ; unfulfilled promises, 4I, 63, $66,93,181,272,303$; genuineness or spuriousness of II, c. 12, 103 ; the references to other works of Aristotle, possibly interpolations, 45: passages remarkable for smoothness and regularity, 57 ; repetitions, 226 ; inconsistencies, $63,78,90,95,101,166,169$, 224,232,256,260; variations in the use of language, 223 ; casuistry, 124; suppressed dialogue, 127; the supposed dittographies, I32; references to discussions not found in the present text, 151 ; erroneous additions of numbers, 230 ; unsymmetrical divisions, 302 ; irregularities in the order of discussion of subjects, 188 ; character of Book VI, 234, 247; general inaccuracy of style, 24t; passages omitted or altered by those who change the order of the books, ib.; opening chapters of Book VII, 25 I.
'Polities,' The, quoted, ( 5559 b. 28), 88; (I568 a. II), 27 ; (ib. b. 19), 228.

Polybius, quoted, (vi. 45), 88, 93 ; (ib. 56), 99 .
Polycrates, tyrant of Samos, 225 .
Postgate, 287.
Prior and posterior, 7, 9, 107.
Promises, unfulfilled, in the Politics, 41, 63, 66, 93, 181, 272, 303.
Proverbiorum Centuria, quoted, 4.
Pseudo-Demosthenes, quoted ( 1460 , 26), 36.

Psychology, made the basis of politics by Aristotle and Plato, 16.

Pythagorean brotherhoods, 54.
Pythagoreans, the, used the term $\dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \pi \circ \nu \theta \sin$ in an ethical sense, 45 .
Q.

Quantity, divided into continuous and discrete by Aristotle, 15 .
Quotations, Aristotle's use of, 115 , 120, 1 59:-from Homer, not found in our text, 139, 296.

## R.

References, the, in the Politics to other works of Aristotle, possibly insertions, 45 :-to discussions not found in the present work, 151.

Reorganization, effects of, on a state, 244.
Revolutions, arise from a combination of great political causes, and small personal reasons, 194.
Rhetoric, The, quoted, (1355 b. 4), 10; (1359 b. 31), 36; (1360 a. 23), 212; (1361 a. 10), 80; (1365 b. 19), 51; (1368 b. 7), 145; (137 I b. 18), 53; (1373 a. 26), 116; (1376, b. 10), 126; (1378 a. 6), 212; (1379 b. 2), 265; (1397 b. 31), 217; (1399 a. 7), 2I; (1400 b. 21), 104; (1402 b. 26), 13; (1408 b. 20), 109; (1414 b. 22), 298; (1419 a. 31), 84.
Rhodes, said by Strabo to have been built by Hippodamus of Miletus, 73 ; revolutions in, 189 , 198.

Riese, 118.
Roman Law, allowed a verdict of 'non liquet,' 75 .
Royalty, the different theories of Aristotie respecting the origin of, 6, 139, 215.

> S.

Samos, works of Polycrates at, 225 ; Samian colonists at Zancle, I92.
Sardanapalus, 220.
Scaliger, 70.
Schlosser, 4, 80, 151, 169, 253.
Schmidt, 264.
Schneider, 56, 69, 120, I44, 228, 240, 263, 280.
Schömann, Ior.
Scylax, 281.
Sentences, irregular, 24 ; condensed, 241.

Serf, the, contrasted with the artisan by Socialist writers, 40 .
Service, domestic, 40.
Seuthes, the Thracian, 22 I.
Sex, attributed to plants by Plato and Aristotle, 4.
Shakspere, quoted, (M. of V. i. 3), 34 ; (R. II, iii. 4), 136.
Sicyon, the tyrants of, 229.
Simos, 204.

Sirra, 219.
Slave, the household, how distinguished by Aristotle from the artisan, $14,40$.
Slavery, opinion of Aristotle respecting, 19: condemned by some of the Greck poets, il; not sufficiently discussed by Plato, 59:-Slavery in Crete, 79.
Smith, Adam, 28.
Socialism, fallacies of, 128 .
Socrates, 2, 39, 45, 49, 50, 56; spoken of as though he were the chief speaker in the 'Laws,' 58 .
Solon, 77,78 ; the law of, limiting acquisition of land. 68 :- forbideling neutrality in a sedition, 167 ; believed by Aristotle to have founded the Athenian Democracy, 101, 169; supposed by Aristotle to have belonged to the middle class, 168 :-quoted, (fr. 4), 102 ; (5), 169 ; (25), 291.

Sophistic or humanistic feeling in Greek Poetry, 12 ;
'Sophistici Elenchi,' quoted, (I74 b. 32), 126; (177 a. 33), 47.

Sophocles, quoted, (Aj. 650), 284 ; (Oed. Tyr. 1286), 78.
Sosicrates, quoted, 79, no.
Soul, the analogy of the, used by Aristotle to show the existence of a superior and inferior throughout nature, 38 .
Sparta, see Lacedaemon.
Spengel, 9, 184, 268.
Stahr, $15,93,136,277$.
State, the; Aristotle's answer to the question, What makes the identity of the state? II2; he hesitates between two conceptions of the state, an ideal and an ordinary, il3.
States, succession of, in Aristotle and Plato, 142 ; Austin's classification of, 155 .
Stephen, Sir J. F., quoted, 9.
St. Hilaire, 232.
Stobaeus, quoted (xiv. 304), 103.
Strabo, denies that the Spartan institutions were derived from Crete, 90 :-quoted, (vi. 260), 102 ; (x. 482), 102.

Suidas, 21, 102.
Susemihl, $15,43,93,118,148$, 150 , 159, 164, 174, 176, 207, 210, 222,

246, 256, 259, 280, 284, 287, 290, 293, 301.
Sybaris, foundation and destruction of, 192.
Sylbur'r. 230.
Syracuse, revolutions at, 190, 193:Aristotle's statements respecting the constitutional changes at, after the defeat of the Sicilian expedition, not in accord with Thucydides, 197 :-the 'time when the Syracusans were well governed,' 222.

## T.

Tacitus, quoted (Ann. i. 3), 127 ; (ib. xi. 24), 209.
Tarentum 52, 245 ; changes of government at, 190 :-defeat of the Tarentines by the Iapygians, 190.
Teichmïller, 259.
Telecles, the Milesian, 173.
Thales, the philosopher, 37 :-(probably) the Cretan poet, 102.
Theagenes, of Megara, 199.
Theban history, Aristotle imperfectly acquainted with, 204.
Themistocles, ostracism of, 137 .
Theodectes, 21 .
Theodorus, the actor. 291 .
Theognis, quoted, (535), 18 ; (1091), 265 ; a line cited (227), which is also found in Solon, 27.
Theophrastus, quoted, (Hist. Plant. i. 2. §3), 39 .

Theopompus, King of Sparta, 78 , 224.

Thibron, 283 .
Thomas Aquinas, 276.
Thrasybulus, brother of Hiero, 222.
Thrasydaeus, the Eunuch who assassinated Evagoras, 218.
Thucydides, quoted for the existence of village communities in ancient Hellas, 5 ; his use of the
 his account of the Lesbian War not inconsistent with that of Aristotle, 195 :-quoted, (i. I8), 221; (ib. 77), 106 ; (ib. 131), 84; (ib. 137), 66 ; (ii. 20), 272; (ib. 37), 145 ; (ib. 39), 283; (ib. 40), 130, 167 ; (ib. 45 ), 118 ; (ib. 60), 212 ; (iii. 37), 76, 163.

Thurii, foundation of, 74: revolutions at, 192, 207.
Thurot, 164.
Timoleon, 204.
Timophanes, the brother of Timoleon, 204.
Topics, quoted, (105 b. 30 ), 133.
Troezenians, oracle given to the, 287.

Tyrtaeus, quoted, (fr. 3), 224.

## U.

United States, the, couble election of the senate in, 66 .
Usury, why condemned by Aristotle, 30 ;-Usury Laws, how far justified, 34 .

## V.

Victorius, 159, 177, 224, 295.
Village, the, a colony of the family, 5. 6.

Village Communities, 44 ; survival of, in Hellas, 5.
Vitruvius, quoted, $273,276$.

## W.

Wallace, M., quoted ('Russia'), 5 .
William of Moerbeke, $5,8,53,26$, $29,42,52,76,96,124,159,164$, 167, 180, 204, 224, 235, 237, 254, 257, 266, 271, 293, 294, 295, 299, 301.

## X.

Xenophanes, quoted, 155 .
Xenophon. quoted, (Cyropaedia, vii. 5. §73), 18 ; (Hellen. i. 6. § 14), 21 ; (vi. 5. § 28), 81 ; (Hiero, c. 9. §5), 211; (Memorab.i. 2. §9), 45 ; (iii. 4. § 12), 2; (ib. 6. § Io), 141 ; (Oec. c. 9. §4), 273 ; (Resp. Lac. c. 6 . §§ $1,3,4$ ), 53 .

Xerxes, 220.

## Z.

Zaleucus, affirmed by Ephorus to have fixed by law the penalties of crimes, IO2; a saying of, quoted, 103 ; the fragments of his laws in Stobaeus and Diodorus not genuine, ib .
Zeller, 169.

## INDEX TO THE NOTES．II．

## A．

 ＇A $\rho \gamma \dot{\omega}$ ，iii．13，§ 16）， 135 ；（ $\kappa$ ai ó óa
 286.
 i． $2, \S 10), 8$.
à $\theta \lambda \eta r \eta s$（à $\theta \lambda \eta r a ̀ s ~ \in i v a t ~ a i r n i s ~ t \hat{\omega}$ ． $\ddot{\epsilon}_{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$, vi．7，si 3l，247．
 245.


 （2）， 212 ．
 15．§3）， 175.
 ii．10，§ 14 ）， 94 ．
 тàs ä̀入入as $\pi$ o入ıteías，v．9，§8）， 213 ；

 259.
 179.
 ává入oүov，v．I，§ II），I86．
＊Avoplot（＇̇v toís＇A $\nu \delta$ piols，ii． $9, \S 20$ ）， 84.
 ii． 2, § 4）， 44 ．
 то入itas ö́ool àvvாєن́Өvol，iv．4，§ 24）， 159.
$\dot{a} \pi a \gamma \chi \in 0$（reading of the MSS．，vii．7， § 6）， 265.
 271.
 v．10，§3）， 215 ；（ảmó тLvos тómov， vi． 4, § 8）， 24 I ．
 iv， 11, § 19）， 169.
rimopia，ánopol，confused with єvimnpir，
tiropot in the MSS．， $100,14 \%$ ， 187，191， 237.
aptorokparia，Aristotle＇s etymology of the word， 123.




т $\bar{\omega}$ ，ii． 9 ．今 8 ， 80 ．
äorv，how distinguished from mónıs， 24 I ．
$\dot{a} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$（e conj．：MSS．aùtஸ̄v，iii． 5 ， § 8）， 120.
äтєроו $\lambda о ́ \gamma 01(\mathrm{i} .6, \S 4), 20$.
avits，＝＇in turn，＇II．

13.
 14，\＆4）， 137.

 6）， 167 ．
ßov $\lambda \dot{\eta}$ ，meaning of the word in Crete， gI．
ßoúnoнal，ßoúneтal（used imperson－

 ठ́v́vatal，i．6，§8）， 21.

## $\Gamma$ ．

$\gamma a \mu<\kappa \dot{\eta}, ~ I I$.
yáp，implying an objection which is not expressed，io8．
$\gamma \in \omega \rho \bar{\epsilon} \omega$ ，peculiar uses of， 76.


 8，§ 11）， 25 ；（ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ रєцо́мєуор $\delta^{\prime}$ о $\mu$ oi $\omega$ ，iv． $15, \$ 21$ ）， 180 ．
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \lambda a ф \cup \rho \omega \hat{s}, 89$.
$\Delta$.
$8 \dot{\eta}={ }^{\prime}$ certainly； 37.
ঠпицочро́s， 108 ．
 т́́pш тои̂ ठخ̆unv, v, 1, § 16), 188.
 9, § 13), 127 ; ( $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota a \gamma \omega \bar{\eta}$ $\sigma \times{ }^{0 \lambda 1 \nu}$, viii. $\left.3, \$ 6\right), 295$.
 pectiv, v. 9,§ 2), 212; (toútous
 § I), 237, 238.
 eneiv, vi. 3, § 1), 237 ; ( (Tiva $\delta \in i$
 ( $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ ס̀ $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$ $\delta t \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu$, ii. 2, § I), 43 .
 пávтєs, v. 4, §ं2), 194.
 vii. I, § 8), 254.
 $\ldots$. . oi к $\omega \lambda$ v́o 1 тes, vii. 17, § 6), 289.
ס̀nфopá (кar' aùràs tàs diaфopàs tw̄ д̀ $\rho \bar{\omega} \nu$, iv. 15, §े 10), 176.
 $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \lambda_{i} \gamma \omega \nu$, i. $6, \S 4,20$.
 (4), 189.
 к.т.入., iv. I4. § i1), 174.
 'Aфvтaiwv vó $\mu \omega$, vi. 4, § 9), 241.
 $\delta \iota \chi \bar{\omega} s, v .1, \S 8), 184$.
$\delta \iota \omega \beta \epsilon \lambda i n, 70$.
$\delta_{\rho a \hat{\mu} a}$ (conj. Campbell: MSS. öpafa, i. 11, § 12), 37.

Sovapus, meaning of the term in Aristotle, 77.

## E.

 єүүрафа́s, vi. 8, § 8), 248.
EVvos, use of the word in Aristotle, $44:-\epsilon \ddot{6} \theta v \eta$, for ' barbarians,' 6, 52.
 ii. 11, § 4), 96 .
ciסos, (iv. 4, §22), 158 ; pleonastic, (iii. $15, \$ 2$ ), 140.
 тénos кai тìv єíđфорáv, vi. 8, §17), 249.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mathrm{k}$, partitive use of, I 5
 278.
 vi. 7, § 3), 247.
 à̀т̀̀v єì $\mu \epsilon$ рía, vii. 2, §7, 257.
 viii. 2, § 2), 294.
 $\lambda \epsilon \omega v$, vii. 6, § 91, 264.
 є́ $\sigma \tau a \tau o \nu$ ßiov, i. 8, § 8), 25.
 174.
 tois גóyous, viii, $5, \$$ 1), 297.
 vi. 8, § I0), 248.
 iii. 4, § II), I 16 .

ё́sets aipetai (e conj. MSS. àpetaí, ii. $6, \S 9$ ), 62 .







 тро́s ті̀ $\beta^{\beta a \sigma t \lambda \epsilon i a \nu, ~ i v . ~ 10, ~ § ~ 2), ~}$ 166.

і̇ $\pi \mu \mu ф т є р і \zeta \omega, 294$.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$, construction of sentences commencing with, 13, 302.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$, with the genitive, $(\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \geqslant \hat{\eta} s$ d $\rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$


 $\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu_{0} \mu \dot{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$, iv. II, § 19), 169; (' $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime}$



 § 3), 122.
 фаעє poùs єivat, v. 11, § 6), 224.
 § 12 ), 69.
є̇пкivסvvov, used indefinitely, 66.


$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \lambda o \gamma i \sigma \mu \dot{s}, 250$.
$\epsilon \in \pi \iota v \in i \omega \nu$ (conj. Coraes: MSS. $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$, vii. $6, \$ 9$ ), 264.

є̇льєєює́ต, probably pleonastic, (ii. 5 , § 17), 56.
є $\pi о \mu \epsilon \bar{\nu} \omega \mathrm{~s}, 242$.


 15.
eủvola（．．．roîs $\mu$ ùv cêvola Sokei tò Sikatov fivat，i．5，§ 4）， 20.
є $\dot{0} \dot{\phi} \phi \theta a \lambda_{\mu}{ }^{2}, 76$.
 äторо in the MSS．，100，146，187， 191， 237.
єüpŋца（conj．Camerarius：MSS． бра $\mu a$ ，і．I I，§ 12）， 37.
 274.

 єіхо́цєөа к．т．$\lambda .$, vii．13，§ 9）， 280 ．


 246.

## Z．

 §tкaiws，iii．10，§ 1 ）， 127.
$\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i$, （？）used impersonally， 37.

## H．

 iv．II，§ 18 ）， 169 ．
$\eta \neq \eta, 36$.
 oı $\mu \mathrm{i}$ ，v．II，§ І 3 ）， 226.
$\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \tau<\kappa o ́ s(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \bar{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \tau\llcorner\kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ， viii．4，§ 3）， 296.

## $\theta$.

 296.



$\theta \in \omega \dot{\rho} \eta \mu a$（conj．Coraes：MSS．д̈раца， i．II，§ 12）， 37.
Өv цós， 265.
Өvpa（кai $\delta t a \tau \rho i \beta \in \iota \nu \pi \in \rho i \theta_{v} \rho a s, ~ v, ~ I I$, § 6）， 224.

## I．

iठเต́тクs， 66.
ifpattia， 268.
imás（the＇Knights＇in the Athenian constitution）， 102.
íoótךs（codd．omn．，ii．I，§ 2）， 42.

## K．

ка́Өapots，298， 303.
$\kappa a i=$＇and indeed，＇ 47 ：－кai $\nu \stackrel{\nu}{\nu}, 56$,
 10，§ 37）， 223 ．
какота́трья， 139.
 v． $\mathbf{1}, \$ 6$ ），235；（ $\hat{\eta} \nu$ кa入oīor ípa－ тeiav，vii．8，\＆7）， 268 ；（（ $\grave{\nu} \nu$ той

 ขоиiаи，vii．12，5）， 278.
 §15）， 291.
 S13），94．
кará，with accusative（кarà Bopéal， vii．II，§ 2），273．
 $\mu$ atroets，viii． $2, \$ 61,294$.
кatá入oyos，（Sià tò èx toù katadóyou








 коथшрiav，vil．4，§12）， 261.
кoдoúetr（e conj．：MSS．кш入úvev，iii． $13,818), 136$.

 12，§4）．275， 277.
кpivetv，with genitive， 160.
крıтís＝the advocate or approver of a doctrine， 294.
ктךт＜кŕ，divisions of， 35 ．
 216.
$\kappa \omega$ ，$\dot{\varepsilon}$ ev（found in some MSS．，iii．I3， § 18 ）， 136.

## $\Lambda$.

$\lambda a \mu \beta i ́ \nu \omega\left(\pi a ̈ \lambda \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \lambda \eta \phi \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu\right)$, iii． $10, \S 2), 128$.
Aaptocaiou，meaning of the word （iii．2，§2）， 108.
 Broilifias，iv． $10, ~ § 1), 166$.

 33.

入iav（oủk án $\lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$ ठí $\lambda i a \nu$ ，iii．I，§ 5）， 106.
dóxos，both a military and a civil division， 211.



## M．

$\mu \dot{u} \theta \eta \sigma t s, 302$.
 $\lambda o \nu$, ii． 6, § 8），6I ；（ $\epsilon i$ र̀̀ $\rho \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda 0 \nu$ тò ti $\mu \epsilon ́ y \in$ Hos，iii．12，§ 6）， 133 ；
 223 ：－used absolutely， 243 ．

 vii．I，§（4）， 255.
 § 25）， 137.

 iii． $17, \S 7$ ）， 146 ；（ $\quad$ ois $\gamma$ ỳp ópoious
 vii． 3,85 ）， 259 ：－катà $\mu$ द́pus＝＇by sections，＇107，（？）239；＝＇suc－ cessively， 140 ．
$\mu \in \tau a \dot{\beta} \cup \lambda \dot{\eta}\left(\hat{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \chi^{a i a s} \mu \epsilon \tau a \beta \circ \lambda \hat{\eta} s\right.$, vi． 2, § 8）， 236 ．
 iii． 2, （3）， 109 ．
$\mu$ ккро́s（i）$\mu$ ккро́⿱亠䒑，vii．16，§ 9）， 287.

 $\epsilon \xi \operatorname{ij} \rho \chi \bar{\eta} s$, ii． $2, \S 6), 4 弓$.
movapxia（ноvapxia киi tupavviठes $\mu \ddot{\lambda \lambda \lambda o v, ~ v . ~ 10, ~ 37), ~} 223$.
Móoovs（e conj．：MSS．$\mu$ ítovs，viii．7， （11）， 303.

## N ．

vаиклприа， $3 б$.
עéos（ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ téuдe véà ầока，found in the margin of two MSS．，vii．I6， § 7）， 287.
 vi． 8, § IO）， $24^{8}$ ．
 is． 2,8 I）， 15 I．
$\nu о \mu \iota \omega \bar{\omega}, 303$.
vómos，used pleonastically，i38．
 $\chi_{\text {ELb }}$ ，reading of some MSS．，iii． 16，5）， 144.
$\nu \dot{\nu} \nu=‘$ as things are，＇ 83 ．

## 0.


 § 12 ）， 76.
oinv，in an explanatory sense，23， 56. ùivapxin，used in peculiar senses， （vi．I，§ 6；2，§ 7，235． 236.
 $\sigma \kappa \circ \pi \in i \nu$, iii．13，§ 6）， 134.
 סikaiou tivos，i．6，§5），2o；（e conj．： MSS．Ténos，viii．3，§ 3 ）， 295.
入актаs，i．2，\＆6）， 5 ．
äpoos（buolous eival кai toìs tuxóntas， ii．8，§21）， 77.
 mous］，i． 2, § 5）， 5 ．
$\dot{\delta} \pi \lambda i \tau \eta s\left(\sigma \pi o \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\partial} \pi \lambda i \tau \eta \nu\right.$, vi． 7 ，今 1 ）， 246.
 i．2，§ 16）， 10.



 दõt，ib．§4）， 14.


ös（vo ois，iii．9，§ 3）， 125 ．
 § 10 ） 192.
 ii． $3, \$ 5,47$ ．

## п．



$\pi \dot{u} \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{~s}$（ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ठокй $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega s$ єìvat бофi－


 21 I ．

 \＄7）， 303.
 v． 5, § 10 ）， 200.
 i． 9,12 ， 30.
$\pi \in \mu i$ ，with the accusative，$(\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ каi тás ктijgeıs deí єival $\pi \epsilon p i$ тоí－ rous，vii．9，\＆7）， 269.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \pi \pi o \lambda i \omega \nu$（conj．Broughton：MSS． $\pi о ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$, （ii．6，§ g）， 264.
 rois ádious，ii． $1 \mathrm{I}, \S \mathrm{I}$ ）， 95 ；（кai

 viii．2，§ 2）， 294 ．
$\pi \in \tau \in i v o i s$, read in the margin of one MS．for $\pi \in \pi$ rois（i． 2, \＆ 10 ）， 8.
 $\pi \epsilon \tau \tau 0 i s$, i． $2, \S 10), 8$ ．
mó入ıs（каĭ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu, ~ M S S ., ~ v i i . ~ 6, ~ § ~ 9), ~$ 264.
$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a$ ，employed in a peculiar（？） sense（iii．3，§7），113 ：－for＇the constitutional government，＇ 123, 164 ：－for＇any good form of government，＇ $152,211:$－for the members of the governing body， 202：－for the title of the＇Re－ public，＇and for＇Plato＇s State＇ generally，42，157，173：－подıтtia and $\pi o \lambda i \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a, 122,160,186:$（ $\epsilon 2$


 4, § 15 ）， 243 ；（ib．vii．4，§ I）， 259. $\pi о \lambda i \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a, 122,160$ ；（ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \pi$ то入ь－ $\tau \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau \iota$, v．I，§ II）， 186.

 گйбєтаи ßinv，vii．6，§7），2б́3；（ $\pi 0 \lambda_{1-}$
 § 2）， 202.
$\pi о \lambda \iota \tau<\kappa \omega ิ, 108$.
 $\nu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ rò $\mu \dot{\eta} \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \nu \cap \mu \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{a} p \iota \sigma \tau u-$
 краточ $\mu$ е́ $\eta \eta$, iv．8，§，5），г64．
 тıкウ̀v плајнатєiav，iv．I5，§ 4）， 175.
 ка $\sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \varphi \tau \omega \nu\left[\dot{a} \rho \chi^{i}\right]$ ］，vi． $\left.8, \S 8\right), 248$.
$\pi \rho \delta^{\prime}=$＇taking precedence of，＇ 13,23 ．
$\pi \rho o ́ s$, taken with étı by Bernays， （ii．2，§ 1）， 43 ．

 vi．8，§ 16）， 249.



 ［d $\left.\rho \times \chi^{\prime}\right]$, vi． $\left.8, \S 8\right), 248$.

 $16:-\tau \eta \nu \pi \rho \omega \tau \pi \nu=$＇to begin with，＇ 140.

## $\Sigma$.

Sá $\mu 0 \nu$（codd．omn．，v．6，§ I3；edd． इímov）， 204.

 когокєi，i．8，§ 10）， 25 ．
 ，$\pi \in$ рì таи́тך！，vi．8，§ 12）， 249.
入ous，iii．9，§ 61， 125 ；（Eira iк

 （1）， 165.

 87.
 кш入íi tois punip

ouvayo，employed in two senses，
 к．т．A．，ii． $5,161,55$.
 кочขшиіа，ii．5，41， 52.
ouvaidia（opnatares xę
 § 10）， 288.
ovviorqul（oí ié avoravtes aùtà̀， V．10，§31）， 222.

 172.
 $\pi 0 \lambda$ тrei（n，ソ．4，8．8），196．
Eúprap（reading of must MSS．，vii． 10，§ $51,271$.
 Gvatáfas，vii． 11, 7），274．
$\sigma \times n \lambda i \prime$ ，folluwed by a genitive， 78 ：
 тайта，vii．I，13）， 255.

## T．

 （i）$\mu \mu$ ór $\tau \epsilon$, viii． $5, \$ 4), 299$.
тєкротоиптикi，new sense given to， by Aristotle，II．
 tédos kai tiju cis фopáv，vi．8，§17，
 ä $\lambda$ גou té $\lambda o u s$, vii． $15,8,8,286$ ； （n viii．5，（4）， $299:$－reading of the MSS．for $\ddot{\text { un }}$（viii． 3, S 3 ， 295.
тєтрй $\mu є р о$ ， 14 I．
 17，§7）， 200.
rowiros，with varue meaning to be gathered from an antecedent sentence，2，25，50，53，66，70， 111，121，162，203， 274.
$\tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \omega$ (ö $\pi \omega s$ $\ddot{\eta}$ тє $\phi \nu \lambda a \kappa \grave{\eta} \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \eta \tau \alpha$, v. 11, § 8), 224.
 $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \iota$ тарат $\eta \sigma$ iav тטраขvєк $\bar{\eta}$, iii. $14, \S 6)$, 139.

## $Y$.

 vii. $5, \$ 4), 262$.
$\dot{v} \pi a ́ \rho \chi \omega(\kappa а і ̈$ уà $\rho$ таиิта тои́тоьs ímá $\rho \chi є \iota$, iii. I, § 4), IO5; (єंтei סè кai עù
 каi mó̀єсо $\nu$, vii. 6, § 5), 263; indi $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, reading of most MSS. (iv. $4, \S 22$ ), 158 .

 4, §9), 241.
útepé $\chi \in I \nu$ (found in two MSS. for ímap $\chi \in i v$, iv. $4, \$ 22$ ), I 59.
í $\pi \epsilon \rho \circ \chi \dot{\eta}$ ( $\delta$ à $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{i} \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ rồ $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta}$ Gous, iv. 6, § 5), 16 I ; ( $\phi$ ©ípoutes
 214.


 (6), 53.
 к.т. $\lambda_{\text {, }}$ iv. II, § 21 ), 170 .

 § 8), гоб.
 útoкрineogal тоivavtiov, v. 9, § I1), 214 .

$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \psi \cup \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$, i. 13, § 6), 38 ; (катà т $\dot{\nu} \nu \dot{i} \phi \eta \gamma \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \theta o \delta o \nu$, i. I,
 $\pi 0 \nu$, i. $8, \S$ I), 24.

## $\Phi$.

 äpxovтas, ii. 6, § 19), 64.
$\phi i \lambda a \rho \chi o v \sigma t$, found in some MSS. for $\phi \nu \lambda a \rho \chi o v \sigma \iota$ (iv. 1 I, §5), 167.
форт $\quad$ бia, 36.
фрovpós ( $\pi$ oteî yà $\rho$ roùs фúdakas oion ф povpous, ii. 5, § 20), 56.

 §6), 167.
фúvis, in a pleonastic sense, 25, 140.

## X.

$\chi \in i \rho\left(\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \chi \in \iota \rho o ̀ s ~ \nu o ́ \mu \omega, ~ i i i . ~ I 4, ~ § 4\right), ~$ 138.
 kT $\hat{\eta} \sigma t s$, i. $9, \S$ I5), 32 ; ( $\pi \rho$ òs $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$

 àjoís катà т $\nu \nu \chi \omega ́ \rho a \nu$, ii. $5, \S 7$ ), 53; (Távтes oi кaтà тウ̀v хஸ́pav, vii. 14, § 4), 282.
 тằıц каi étє́p $\omega \nu$, i. 9, § 5), 28 ;
 тò $\chi \in i ̂ \rho o \nu ~ a ́ \epsilon i ~ \pi \lambda \bar{\eta} \theta o s \chi \omega \rho i \zeta є \iota \nu$, vi. 4, § 15), 243.

## $\Omega$.

$\dot{\omega}$, with the genitive after $\lambda \epsilon \operatorname{\gamma \epsilon c}, 50$.
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## LEXICONS, GRAMMARS, \&c.

Anglo-Saxon.-An Anglo-Saxon Dictionary, based on the MS. Collections of the late Joseph Bosworth. I.1)., Professor of Anglo-Saxon. Oxford. Edited and enlarged by Prof T. N. Toller. M.A. (To be conpletet in four parts.) Parts I and II, A-FWISTLIAN (1p. vi, 576). 1882. 410 igs. each.
Chinese. - A Handbook of the Chinese Langrage. By James Summers. 1863 . Svo. balf bound, 1 l .8 s .
English.-A Ncw English Dictionary, on Historical lrinciples: founded mainly on the materiah, collected by the lhilolonical Society. Edited by James A. H. Murray, LL.I., l'resident of the Philolugical bociety; with the assistance of many Scholars and men of Scince. Part I. A-ANT (pp. xvi, 352). Part II. ANT - BATTEN (pp, viii, 353 ;04). Imperial 4to. 12s. 6id. each.

- An Etymological Dictionary of the English Languagc. By W. W. Skeat, M.A. Second Edition. 18\%4. 4to. 2\%.4s.
—Supplement to the First Edition of the above. 1884. 4to. 2s. $6 d$.
-_ A Concise Etymological Dictionary of the English Language. By W. W. Skeat, M.A. Sciond Etition. I885. Crown 8vo. 5s. $6 a$. Greek.-A Greek-English Lexicon, by Henry Goorge Liddell, D.D., and Robert Scott, D.D. Seventh Edition, Revised and Augmented throughout. 1883 . 4to. 1 l . I 6 s.
- A Greek-English Lexicon, abridged from Liddell and Scott's 4 to. edition, chiefly for the use of Schools. Twenty-first Edition. 1884. Square 12 mo . 7 8. 6 d .
—_A copious Greek-English Vocabulary, compiled from the best authorities. 1850.24 mo. 3 s.
- A Practical Introduction to Greek Accentuation, by H. W. Chandier, M.A. Second Edition. 188r. 8vo. 10s. 6 d .

Hebrew.-The Book of Hebrew Roots, by Abu 'l-Walid Marwân ibn Janâh, otherwise called Rabbî Yônâh. Now first edited, with an Appendix, by Ad. Neubauer. 1875. 4to. 2l. 7 s .6 d .

- A Treatise on the use of the Tenses in Hebrezu. By S. R. Driver, D.D. Second Edition, Revised and Enlarged. 188ı. Extra fcap. 8 vo . 7 se .6 d .
-Hebrew Accentuation of Psalms, Proverbs, and $70 b$. By William Wickes, D.D. 188ı. Demy 8vo. stiff covers, 5 s.
ICElandic.-An Icelandic-English Dictionary, based on the MS. collections of the late Richard Cleasby. Enlarged and completed ly G. Vigfisson, M.A. With an Introduction, and Life of Richard Cleasby, by G. Webbe Dasent, D.C.L. 1874. 4to. 3l. 7 s .
——A List of English Words the Etymology of which is illustrated by comparison with Icelandit. Prepared in the form of an Afpendix to the above. By W. W. Skeat, M.A. I876. stitched, 2 s .
- An Icelandic Prose Reader, with Notes, Grammar and Glossary, by Dr. Gudbrand Vigfússon and F. York Powell, M.A. ${ }^{1879}$ Extra fcap. 8vo. tos. $6 d$.
Latin.-A Latin Dictionary, founded on Andrews' edition of Freund's Latin Dictionary, revised, enlarged, and in great part rewritten by Charlton T. Lewis, Ph.D., and Charles Short, LL.D. I879. 4to. Il. $5 s$.
Melanesian.-The Melanesian Languages. By R. H. Codrington, D.D., of the Melanesian Mission, Fellow of Wadham College, Oxford. 8vo. 18s. Just Published.
SANskrit.-A Practical Grammar of the Sanserit Languagc, arranged with reference to the Classical Languages of Europe, for the use of English Students, by Monier Williams, M.A. Fourth Edition, 1877. 8vo. 1 as. A Sanskrit-English Dictionary, Etymologically and Philologically arranged, with special reference to Greek, Latin, German, AngloSaxon, English, and other cognate Iudo-European Languages. By Monier Williams, M.A. $1872.4^{40}$. $4^{6.145}$. 6 d .

Nalopakhyánam. Story of Nala, an Episode of the Mahá-Bhárata: the Sanskrit text, with a copious Yocabulary, and an improved version of Dean Milman's Translation, by Monier Williams, M.A. Second Edition, Revised and Improved. 1879 . 8vo. 155 .
Sakuntalā. A Sanskrit Drama, in Seven Acts. Edited by Monier Williams, M.A. Second Edition, 1876 . 8vo. 21s.
SyRIAC-Thesaurus Syriacus: collegerunt Quatremère, Bernstein, Lorsbach, Arnoldi, Agrell, Field, Roediger: edidit R. Payne Smith. S.T.P. Fasc. I-VI. $1868-83$. sm. fol. each, il. is. Vol. I, containing Fasc. I-V, sm. fol. 5 . s s .
The Book of Kalilah and Dimnah. Translated from Arabic into Syriac. Edited by W. Wright, LL.D. 1884. 8vo. a1s.

## GREEK CLASSICS, \&c.

Aristophanes: A Complete Concordance to the Comedies and Fragments. By Henry Dunbar, M.D. 4to. 1l. Is.
Aristotle: The Politics, translated into English, with Introduction, Marginal Analysis, Notes, and Indices, by B. Jowett, M.A. Medium 8 vo .2 vols. 21 s . Just Pubisthed.
Heracliti Ephesii Reliquiae. Recensuit I. Bywater, M.A. Appendicis loco additae sunt Diogenis Laertii Vita Heracliti, Particulae Hippocratei De Diaeta Libri Primi, Epistolae Heracliteae. 1877. Svo. 6 .
Herculanensium Voluminum. Partes II. 1824. 8vo. Ios.
Fragmenta Herculanensia. A Descriptive Cataloguc of the Oxford copies of the Herculanean Rolls, together with the texts of several papyri, accompanied by facsimiles. Edited by Walter Scott, M.A., Fellow of Merton College, Oxford. Royal 8 vo . cloth, 215 . Just Publishad.
Homer: A Complete Concordance to the Odysscy and Hymns of Homer ; to which is added a Concordance to the Parallel Passages in the Iliad, Odyssey, and Hymns. By Henry Dunbar, M.D. 1880 . 410 . $1 /$. is.

Scholia Graeca in Iliadom. Edited by Professor W. Dindorf, after a new collation of the Venetian MSS. by D. B. Monro M.A., Provost of Oriel College. 4 vols. 8vo. 2l. Ios. Vols. V and VI. In the Press.
-_Scholia Graeca in Odysseam. Edidit Guil. Dindorfius. Tomi II. 1855.8 vo . 15 s. 6 d .
Plato: Apology, with a revised Text and English Notes, and a Digest of Platonic Idioms, by James Riddell, M.A. 1878. 8vo. 8s. $6 d$.

Philebus, with a revised Text and English Notes, by Edward Poste, M.A. 1860. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

- Sophistes and Politicus, with a revised Text and English Notes, by L. Campbell, M.A. 1867. 8vo. 18s.

Theaetetus, with a revised Text and English Notes, by L. Campbell, M.A. Second Edition. 8ro. 10s. 6 d.

The Dialogues, translated into English, with Analyses and Introductions, by B. Jowett, M.A. A new Edition in 5 volumes, medium 8 vo . 1875.3 3. 105.
The Republic, translated into English, with an Analysis and Introduction, by B. Jowett, M.A. Medium 8vo. $12 s .6 d$.
Thucydides: Translated into English, with Introduction, Marginal Analysis, Notes, and Indices. By B. Jowett, M.A. 2 vols. $188{ }_{1}$. Medium 8vo. Il. i2s.

## THE HOLY SCRIPTURES, \&c.

Studia Biblica.-Essays in Biblical Archæology and Criti. cism, and kindred subjects. By Members of the University of Oxford. 8vo. ios. 6d. Just Published.

English.-The Holy Bible in the earliest English Versions, made from the Latin Vulgate by John Wycliffe and his followers : edited by the Rev. J. Forshall and Sir F. Madden. 4 vols, 1850 . Royal 4 to. 3 l. 3 s .
[Also reprinted from the above, with Introduction and Glossary by W. W. Skeat, M.A.
—— The Books of Fob, Psalms, Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, and the Song of Solomon: according to the Wycliffite Version made by Nicholas de Hereford, about A.D. I38I, and Revised by John Purvey, about A.D. I $3^{88}$. Extra fcap. 8 vo . 3 s .6 d .

The New Testament in English, according to the Version by John Wycliffe, about A.D. 1380 , and Revised by John Purvey, about A.d. 1388. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s.]

The Holy Bible: an exact reprint, page for page, of the Authorised Version published in the year 16́ri. Demy 4to. balf bound, il. is.
The Psalter, or Psalms of David, and certain Canticles, with a Translation and Exposition in English, by Richard Rolle of Hampole. Edited by H. R. Bramley, M.A., Fellow of S. M. Magdalen College, Oxford. With an Introduction and Glossary. Demy 8 vo . Il. Is.
——Lectures on Ecclesiastes. Delivered in Westminster Abbey by the Very Rev. George Granville Bradley, D.D., Dean of Westminster. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d. Just Published.
Gothic.-The Gospel of St. Mark in Gothic, according to the translation made by Wulfila in the Fourth Century. Edited with a Grammatical Introduction and Glossarial Index by W. W. Skeat, M.A. Extra fcap. 8 vo . 4 .
Greek.-Vetus Testamentum ex Versione Septuaginta Interpretum secundum exemplar Vaticanum Romae editum. Accedit potior varietas Codicis Alexandrini. Tomi III. Editio Altera. I8mo. 18s.

Origenis Hexaplorum quae supersunt; sive, Veterum Interpretum Graecorum in totum Vetus Testamentum Fragmenta. Edidit Fridericus Field, A.M. 2 vols. 1875.4 to. 5 l. 5 s.

The Book of Wisdom: the Greek Text, the Latin Vulgate, and the Authorised English Version; with an Introduction, Critical Apparatus, and a Commentary. By William J. Deane, M.A. Small 4 to. i 25.6 d .

Novum Testamentum Graece. Antiquissimorum Codicum Textus in ordine parallelo dispositi. Accedit collatio Codicis Sinaitici. Edidit E. H. Hansell, S.T. B. Tomi III. 1864. 880. half morocco, 2l. $125.6 d$.

Greek.-Novum Testamentum Gracce. Accedunt parallela S. Scripturae loca, necnon vetus capitulerum notatio et canones Eusebii. Edidit Carolus Lloyd, S.T.P.R. 18 mo . ${ }_{3}$ s.
The same on writing paper, with large margin, ror.
-_ Novum Testamentum Graece juxta Exemplar Millianum. 18mo. 2s. 6 d .

The same on writing paper, with large margin, gs.

- Evangelia Sacra Graece. Fcap. 8vo. limp, is. $6 d$.
- The Greek Testament, with the Readings adopted by the Revisers of the Authorised Version:-
(1) Pica type, with Marginal References. Demy 8vo. ios. $6 d$.
(2) Long Primer type. Fcap. $8 v 0.4 s, 6 d$.
(3) The same, on writing paper, with wide margin, iss.

The Parallel New Testament, Greek and English; being the Authorised Version, 1611 ; the Revised Version, 1881; and the Greek Text followed in the Revised Version. 8vo. 125.6 6 d.
The Revised Version is the joint property of the Universities of Oxford and Cambridge.
Canon Muratorianus: the earliest Catalogue of the Books of the New Testament. Edited with Notes and a Facsimile of the MS. in the Ambrosian Library at Milan, by S. P. Tregelles, LL.D. $186{ }_{7}$. 4to. 10s. $6 d$.

Outlines of Textual Criticism applied to the New Tcstament. By C. E. Hammond, M.A. Fourth Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3 s .6 d .
Hebrew, etc.-The Psalms in Hebrew without points. 1879. Crown 8vo. ${ }^{3}$. 6 d .

- A Commentary on the Book of Proverbs. Attributed to Abraham Ibn Ezra. Edited from a MS. in the Bodleian Library ly S. R. Driver, M.A. Crown 8 vo . paper covers, 3 s . 6 d .

The Book of Tobit. A Chaldee Text, from a unique MS. in the Bodleian Library; with cther Rabbinical Texts, Fnglish Transin. tions, and the Itala. Edited by Ad. Neubauer, M.A. i878. Crown 8 vo . 6 .

Horae Hebraicae et Talmudicae, a J. Lightfoot. A new Edition, by R. Gandell, M.A. 4 vols. 1859.8 vo . th. is.
Lativ.-Libri Psalnorum Versio antiqua Latina, cum Paraphrasi Anglo-Saxonica. Edidit B. Thorpe, F.A.S. 1835. 8vo. ios, 6 di.
-_Old-Latin Biblical Texts: No. I. The Gospel according to St. Matthew from the St. Germain MS. (g). Edited with Introduction and Appendices by John Word,worth, M.A. Small 4 to., stiff covers, $6 s$.
Old-French,-Libri Psalmorum Versio antiqua Gallica e Cod. MS. in Bibl. Bodleiana adservato, una cum Versione Metrica aiiisque Monumentis pervetustis. Nunc primum descripsit et edidit Franciscus Michel, Phil. Doc. 1860 . 8vo. 10s. 6d.

## FATHERS OF THE CHURCH, \&c.

St. Athanasius: Historical Writings, according to the Benedictine Text. With an Introduction by William Bright, D.D. 188ı. Crown 8 vo . 10s. 6 d .
-Orations against the Arians, With an Account of his Life by William Bright, D.D. 1873. Crown 8vo. 9s.
St.Augustine: Select Anti-Pelagian Treatises, and the Acts of the Second Council of Orange. With an Introduction by William Bright, D.D. Crown 8 vo . gs.

Canons of the First Four General Councils of Nicaea, Constantinople, Ephesus, and Chalcedon. 1877. Crown 8vo. 25. 6 d .
—— Notes on the Canons of the First Four General Councils. By William Bright, D.D. 1882. Crown 8vo. 5 s. $6 d$ d.
Cyrilli Archiepiscopi Alexandrini in XII Prophetas. Edidit P. E. Pusey, A.M. Tomi II. 1868.8 vo. cloth, 27.25 .
—in D. Foannis Evangelium. Accedunt Fragmenta varia necnon Tractatus ad Tiberium Diaconum duo. Edidit post Aubertum P. E. Pusey, A.M. Tomi III. 1872. 8vo. 2l. 5 s.
-Commentarii in Lucae Evangelium quae supersunt Syriace. E MSS, apud Mus. Britan. edidit R. Payne Smith, A.M. ${ }^{185}$. 4to. 1l. 2 s .

Translated by R. Payne Smith, M.A. 2 vols. 1859. 8 vo . I 4 s.
Ephraemi Syri, Rabulae Episcopi Edesseni, Balaei, aliorumque Opera Selecta. E Codd. Syriacis MSS. in Museo Britannico et Bibliotheca Bodleiana asservatis primus edidit J. J. Overbeck. 1865 . 8 vo . Il. Is.
Eusebius' Ecclesiastical History, according to the text of Burton, with an Introduction by William Bright, D.D. I88ı. Crown 8 vo . 8s. 6 d .
Irenaeus: The Third Book of St. Irenaeus, Bishop of Lyons, against Heresies. With short Notes and a Glossary by H. Deane, B.D. 1874. Crown 8vo. 5s. 6d.

Patrum Apostolicorum, S. Clementis Romani, S. Ignatii, S. Polycarpi, quae supersunt. Edidit Guil. Jacobson, S.T.P.R. Tomi II. Fourth Edition, I 863.8 vo . il. is.
Socrates' Ecclesiastical History, according to the Text of Hussey, with an Introduction by William Bright, D.D. 187я. Crown 8vo. 7s. $\operatorname{tad}$.

## ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY, BIOGRAPHY, \&e.

Ancient Liturgy of the Church of England, according to the uses of Sarum, York, Hereford, and Bangor, and the Roman Liturgy arranged in parallel columns, with preface and notes. By William Maskell, M.A. Third Edition. 1882. 8vo. 15 s.
Baedae Historia Ecclesiastica. Edited, with English Notes, by G. H. Moberly, M.A. r88ı. Crown 8ro. 1os. 6. d. $^{\text {d }}$
Bright (W.). Chapters of Early English Church History. 1878. 8 vo . 125 .

Burnet's History of the Reformation of the Church of England. A new Edition. Carefully revised, and the Records collated wilh the originals, by N. Yocock, M.A. 7 vols. 1865. 8vo. Price reduced to 1l. 10s.
Councils and Ecclesiastical Documents relating to Great Britain and Ireland. Edited, after Spelman and Wilkins, by A. W. Haddan, B.D., and W. Stubbs, M.A. Vols. I. and III. 1869-71. Medium 8 vo. each Il. 1s.

Vol. II. Part I. 1873. Medium 8vo. ros. 64 .
Vol. II. Part II. 1878. Church of Ireland; Memorials of St. Patrick. Stiff covers, 35.6 .
Hamilton (Fohn, Archbishop of St. Andrews), The Catechism of. Edited, with Introduction and Glossary, by Thomas Graves Law. With a Preface by the Right Hon. W. E. Gladstone. 8vo. i 2 s . Gd.
Hammond (C. E.). Liturgies, Easten and Western. Edited, with Introduction, Notes, and Liturgical Glossary. 1878. Crown 8vo, 1os. $6 d$. An Appendix to the above. 1879. Crown 8vo paper covers, is. $6 d$.
Fohn, Bishop of Ephesus. The Third Part of his Ecclesiastical History. [In Syriac.] Now first edited by William Cureton, M.A. 1853 . $4^{\text {to. }} 1 \mathrm{l}$. 12 s .
——Translated by R. Payne Smith, M.A. 1860. 8vo. 10 s.
Leofric Missal, The, as used in the Cathedral of Exeter during the Episcopate of its first Bishop, A.I. 1050-1072; together with some Account of the Red Book of Derby, the Missal of Robert of Jumieges, and a few other early MS. Service Books of the English Church. Edited, with Introduction and Notes, by F. E. Warren, B.D. $4^{\text {to }}$, balf morocco, $3^{5 s}$.
Monumenta Ritualia Ecclesiae Anglicanac. The occasional Offices of the Church of England according to the old use of Salisbury, the Prymer in English, and other prayers and forms, with dissertations and notes. By William Maskell, M.A. Second Edition. 1882. 3 vols. 8 vo . 2l. IOs.
Records of the Reformation. The Divorce, 1527-1533. Mostly now for the first time printed from MSS. in the British Museum and other libra. ries. Collected and arranged by N. Pocock, M.A. 1870. 2 vols. 8 vo . 11.16 .

Shirley (W.W.). Some Account of the Church in the Apostolic Age. Second Edition, 1874. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
Stubbs (W.). Registrum Sacrum Anglicanum. An attempt to exhibit the course of Episcopal Succession in England. 1858. Small 4 to. 8s. $6 d$.
Warren (F. E.). Liturgy and Ritual of the Celtic Church. 188ı. 8vo. 145.

## ENGLISH THEOLOGY.

Butler's Works, with an Index to the Analogy. 2 vols. 1874. 8vo. i1s. Also separately, Sermons, 5s.6d. Analogy of Religion, 5s.6d.
Greswell's Harmonia Evangelica. Fifth Edition. 8vo. 1855. 9s. $6 d$.
Heurtley's Harmonia Symbolica: Creeds of the Western Church. ${ }^{8} 58$. 8vo. 6 s. 6 d .
Homilies appointed to be read in Churches. Edited by J. Griffiths, M.A. 1859.8 vo . 7 s . 6 d .

Hooker's Works, with his life by Walton, arranged by John Keble, M.A. Sixth Edition, 1874. 3 vols. 8 vo . Il. iss. $6 d$,
_- the text as arranged by John Keble, M.A. 2 vols. 1875. 8vo. is.

Fewel's Works. Edited by R. W. Jelf, D.D. 8 vols. 1848. 8vo. 1l. 10 .
Pearson's Exposition of the Creed. Revised and corrected by E. Burton, D.D. Sixth Edition, 1877. 8vo. Ios. 6 d.

Waterland's Review of the Doctrine of the Eucharist, with a Preface by the late Bishop of London. Crown 8 vo .6 s .6 d .

- Works, with Life, by Bp. Van Mildert. A new Edition, with copious Indexes. 6 vols. $\mathrm{r}_{5} 56.8 \mathrm{vo}$. $2 l . \mathrm{irs}$.
Wheatly's Illustration of the Book of Common Prayer. A new Edition, 8846 . 8vo. ss.
Wyclif. A Catalogue of the Original Works of Fohn Wyclif, by W. W. Shirley, D.D. 1865 . 8vo. $3^{s, 6 d}$.
——Select English Works. By T. Arnold, M.A. 3 vols. 1869-1871. 8vo. Price reduced to 1 ll . 1 s.
- Trialogus. With the Supplement now first edited. By Gotthard Lechler, 1869. 8vo. Price reduced to 7 s .


## HISTORICAL AND DOCUMENTARY WORES.

British Barrows, a Record of the Examination of Sepulchral Mounds in various parts of England. By William Greenwell, M.A., F.S.A. Together with Description of Figures of Skulls, General Remarks on Prehistoric Crania, and an Appendix by George Rolleston, M.D., F.R.S. 1877. Medium 8vo. ${ }^{25 s}$.
Britton. A Treatise upon the Common Law of England, composed by order of King Edward I. The French Text carefully revised, with an English Translation, Introduction, and Notes, by F. M. Nichols, M.A. 2 vols. 1865 . Royal 8 vo . I 1.16 s.
Clarendon's History of the Rebellion and Civil Wars in England. 7 vols. 1839.18 mo . 1 l . is .
Clarendon's History of the Rebellion and Civil Wars in England. Also his Life, written by himself, in which is included a Continuation of his History of the Grand Rebellion. With copious Indexes. In one volume, royal 8 vo . 1842 , Il. 2 s .
Clinton's Epitome of the Fasti Hellenici. 185ı. 8vo. 6s.6d.
——Epitome of the Fasti Romani. 1854. 8vo. 7s.
Corpus Poeticum Boreale. The Poetry of the Old Northern Tongue, from the Earliest Times to the Thirteenth Century. Edited, classified, and translated. with Introduction, Excursus, and Notes, by Gudbrand Vigfússon, M.A., and F. York Powell, M.A. 2 vols. 1883.8 vo. $4^{2 s .}$
Freeman (E. A.). History of the Norman Conquest of England; its Causes and Results. In Six Volumes. 8ro. 5l. 9s. $6 d$.
Freeman (E. A.). The Reign of William Rufus and the Accession of Henry the First. 2 vols. 8 vo . Il . $16 \mathrm{\sigma}$.
Gascoigne's Theological Dictionary ("Liber Veritatum"): Selected Passages, illustrating the condition of Church and State, I403-1458. With an Introduction by James E. Thorold Rogers, M.P. Small 4to. ros. 6 d.
Magna Carta, a careful Reprint. Edited by W. Stubbs, M.A. 1879. 4to. stitched, is.

Passio et Miracula Beati Olaui. Edited from a TwelfthCentury MS. in the Library of Corpus Christi College, Oxford, with an Introduction and Notes, by Frederick Metcalfe, M.A. Small 4 to. stiff covers, $6 s$,
Protests of the Lords, including those which have been expunged, from 1624 to 1874 ; with Historical Introductions. Edited by James E. Thorold Rogers, M.A. 1875 . 3 vols. 8vo. 2l. 25 .

Rogers (F. E. T.). History of Agriculture and Prices in England, A.D. 1259-1 793.

Vols. I and II ( $1259-14<0$ ). 1866.8 vo .27 .2 s .
Vols. III and IV (I4OI-1582). 1882. 8vo. 26 100.

Saxon Chronicles (Two of the) parallel, with Supplementary Extracts from the Others. Edited, with Introduction, Notes, and a Glossarial Index, by J. Earle, M.A. 1865. 8vo. 16s.
Sturlunga Saga, including the Islendinga Saga of Lawman Sturla Thordsson and other works. Edited by Dr. Gudbrand Vigfússon. In 2 vols. $1878.8 \mathrm{vo} .2 l, 2 \mathrm{~s}$.
York Plays. The Plays performed by the Crafts or Mysteries of York on the day of Corpus Christi in the 14 th, 15 th, and 16 th centuries. Now first printed from the unique manuscript in the Library of Lord Ashburnham. Edited with Introduction and Glossary by Lucy Toulmin Smith. 8vo. 21s. Just Published.

Statutes made for the University of Oxford, and for the Colleges and Halls therein, by the University of Oxford Commissioners. 1882. 8vo. $12 s .6 d$.
Statuta Universitatis Oxoniensis. 1885 . 8vo. 5 s.
The Examination Statutes for the Degrees of B.A., B. Mus., B.C.L., and B.M. Revised to Trinity Term, 1885 . 8 vo . sewed, is.

The Studont's Handbook to the University and Colleges of Oxford. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d .
The Oxford University Calendar for the year 1885. Crown 8 vo .45 s .6 d .
The present Edition includes all Class Lists and other University distinctions for the five years ending with $\mathbf{1 8 8} 4$.

> Also, supplementary to the above, price 5s. (pp. 606),

The Honours Register of the University of Oxford. A complete Record of University Honours, Officers, Distinctions, and Class Lists; of the Heads of Colleges, \&c., \&cc., from the Thirteenth Century to 1883.

## MATHEMATICS, PHYSICAL SCIENCE, \&c.

Acland (H. W., M.D.. F.R.S.). Synopsis of the Pathological Serics in the Oxford Museum. 1867. 8vo. 2s. $6 d$.
Astronomical Observations made at the University Observatory, Oxford, under the direction of C. Pritchard, M.A. No. I. 1878. Royal 8vo. paper covers, 3 s. $6 d$.
De Bary (Dr. A.) Comparative Anatomy of the Vegetative Organs of the Phanerogams and Ferns. Translated and Annotated by F. O. Bower, M.A., F.L.S., and D. H. Scott, M.A., Ph.D., F.L.S. With two hundred and forty-one woodcuts and an Index. Royal 8vo., half morocco, 1l. 2 s. $6 d$.

Miller ( $\mathcal{F}$ ). On certain Variations in the Vocal Organs of the Passeres that have hitherto escaped notice. Translated by F. J. Bell, B.A., and edited, with an Appendix, by A. H. Garrod, M.A., F.R.S. With Plates. 1878. 4to. paper covers, 7 f . $6 d$.

Phillips (Foln, M.A., F.RS.). Geology of Oxford and the Valley of the Thames. 1871.8 vo . 2 s s.
——Vesuvius. 1869. Crown 8vo. 10s. $6 d$.
Price (Bartholomew, M.A., F.R.S.). Treatise on Infinitesimal Calculus.
Vol. I. Diferential Calculus. Second Edition. 8 vo, its. $6 d$.
Vol. II. Integral Calculus, Calculus of Variations, and Differential Equations. Second Edition, 8865.8 vo . 18 s .
Vol. III. Statics, including Attractions; Dynamics of a Material Particle. Second Edition, I868. 8vo. $16 s$.
Vol. IV. Dynamics of Material Systems; together with a chapter on Theoretical Dynamics, by W. F. Donkin, M.A., F.R.S. 1862. 8vo. 16 s.
Rigaud's Correspondence of Scientific Men of the shth Century, with Table of Contents by A. de Morgan, and Index by the Rev. J. Rigaud, M.A. 2 vols. $1841-1862.8 \mathrm{vo}$. 18 s . 6 d.

Rolleston (George, M.D., F.R.S.). Scicntific Papars and Addresses. Arranged and Edited by William Tumer, M.B., F.R.S. With a Biographical Sketch by Edward Tylor, F.R.S. With Portrait, Plates, and Woodcuts. 2 vols. 8 ro. il. 4 s.
Sachs' Text-Book of Botany, Morphological and Physiological. A New Edition. Translated by S. H. Vines, M.A. 1882. Royal 8vo., half morocco, 1l. 11s. 6 d.
Westwood (7. O., M.A., F.R.S.). Thesaurus Entomologicus Hopecianus, or a Description of the rarest Insects in the Collection given to the University by the Rev. William Hope. With 40 Plates. 1874. Small folio, half morocco, 7 i. ios.

## Cbe Eacrer Books of the East.

Translated by variot's Oriental Scholars, and edited by F. Max Müller.
[Demy 8vo. cloth.]
Vol. I. The Upanishads. Translated by F. Max Müller. Part I. The Khâncogya-upanishad, The Talavakâra-upanishad, The Aitareya- $_{\text {and }}$ âranyaka, The Kaushitaki-brâhmana-upanishad, and The Vâgasaneyi-sa $m$ hitâupanishad. ros. $6 d$.
Vol. II. The Sacred Laws of the Âryas, as taught in the Schools of Apastamba, Gautama, Vâsishtha, and Baudhâyana. Translated by Prof, Georg Buihler. Part I. apastamba and Gautama. ros. $6 d$.

Vol. III. The Sacred Books of China. The Texts of Confucianism. Translated by James Legge. Part I. The Shû King, The Religious portions of the Shih King, and The Hsiâo King. i2s. $6 d$.
Vol. IV. The Zend-Avesta. Translated by James Darmesteter. Part I. The Vendidầd. ios. $6 d$.
Vol. V. The Pahlavi Texts. Translated by E. W. West. Part I. The Bundahis, Bahman Yast, and Shâyast lâ-shâyast. I2s. 6 d .
Vols. VI and IX. The Qur'ân. Parts I and II. Translated by E. H. Palmer. $21 s$.
Vol. VII. The Institutes of Vish $n \mathrm{u}$. Translated by Julius Jolly. 10s. 6a.
Vol. VIII. The Bhagavadgîtâ, with The Sanatsugâtîya, and The Anugita. Transiated by Kâshinâth Trimbak Telang. Ios. $6 d$ d.
Vol. X. The Dhammapada, translated from Pâli by F. Max Mïller; and The Sutta-Nipâta, translated from Pâli by V. Fausböll; being Canonical Books of the Buddhists. Ios. 6 d .

Vol. XI. Buddhist Suttas. Translated from Pâli by T. W. Rhys Davids. I. The Makâparinibbâna Suttanta; 2. The Dhamma-kakkappayattana Sutta; 3. The Tevissaa Suttanta; 4. The Akankheyya Sutta; 5. The Ketokhila Sutta; 6. The Mahà-sucassana Suttanta ; 7. The Sabbâsava Sutta, 10s.6.6.

Vol. XII. The Satapatha-Brâhmana, according to the Text of the Mâdhyandina School. Translated by Julius Eggeling. Fart I. Rooks I and II. 12s. $6 \mathrm{\sigma d}$.

Vol. XIII. Vinaya Texts. Translated from the Pâli by T. W. Rhys Davids and Hermaun Oldenberg. Part I. The Pâtimokkba. The Mahâragga, I-IV. Ios. 6 d.
Vol. XIV. The Sacred Laws of the Âryas, as taught in the Schools of Apastamba, Gautama, Vâsishtha and Baudhâyana. Translated by Georg Bühler. Part II. Vasishtha and Baudbâyana. 10s. 6d.
Vol. XV. The Upanishads. Translated by F. Max Müller. Part II. The Katha-upanishad, The Mundaka-upanishad, The Taittiry yakaupanishad, The Brihadâranyaka-upanishad, The Svetasvatara-upanishad, The Prassia-upanishad, and The Maitrấyana-Brâhmana-upanishad. ios. $6 d$.
Vol. XVI. The Sacred Books of China. The Texts of Confucianism. Translated by James Legge. Part II. The Yî King. ros. $6 d$.
Vol. XVII. Vinaya Texts. Translated from the Pali by T. W. Rhys Davids and Hermann Oldenberg. Part II. The Mahâvagga, $\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{X}$. The Kullavagga, I III. Ior, 6 d.

Vol. XVIII. Pahlavi Texts. Translated by E. W. West. Part II. The Dâdistân-1̂ Dînîk and The Epistles of Mânûskithar. ias. $6 d$.

Vol. XIX. The Fo-sho-hing-tsan-king. A Life of Buddha by Asvaghosha Bodhisattva, translated from Sanskrit into Chinese by Dbarmaraksha, A.D. 420 , and from Chinese into English by Samuel Beal. Ios. $6 d$.

Vol. XX. Vinaya Texts. Translated from the Pali by T. W. Rhys Davids and Hermann Oldenberg. Part III. The Kullavagga, IV-XII. 10s. 6 d .

Vol. XXI. The Saddharma-pundarika; or, the Lotus of the True Law. Translated by H. Kern. $12 s$. $6 d$.

Vol. XXII. Gaina-Sîtras. Translated from Prâkrit by Hermann Jacobi. Part I. The Âkârầiga-Sâtra. The Kalpa-Sûtra. ios. $6 d$ d.

Vol. XXIII. The Zend-Avesta. Translated by James Darmesteter. Part II. The Sî̀ozahs, Yasts, and Nyâyis. ios. $6 d$.

Vol. XXIV. Pahlavi Texts. Translated by E. W. West. Part III. Dînâ̂̂̀ Mâ̂nôg-î Khirad, Sikand-gûmânîk, and Sad-Dar. ros. 6 d.

## Second Series.

The following Volumes are in the Press:-
Vol. XXV. Manu. Translated by Georg Bühler.
Vol. XXVI. The Satapatha-Brâhmana. Translated by Julius Eggeling. Part II.

Vols. XXVII and XXVIII. The Sacred Books of China. The Texts of Confucianism. Translated by James Legge. Parts III and IV. The Lî $K_{1}$, or Collection of Treatises on the Rules of Propriety, or Ceremonial Usages.

Vols. XXIX and XXX. The Grihya-sûtras, Rules of Vedic Domestic Ceremonies. Translated by Hermann Oldenberg. Parts I and II.

Vol. XXXI. The Zend-Avesta. Part III. The Yazna, Visparad, Afrigân, and Gâhs. Translated by the Rev. L. H. Mills.

Vol. XXXII. Vedic Hymns. Translated by F. Max Müller. Part 1.
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## I. ENGIISH.

A First Reading Book. By Marie Eichens of Berlin; and edited by Anne J. Clough. Extra fcap. 8vo. stiff covers, $4 d$.
Oxford Reading Book, Part I. For Little Children. Extra fcap. 8vo. stiff covers, $6 d$.
Oxford Reading Book, Part II. For Junior Classes. Extra fcap. 8vo. stiff covers, $6 d$.
An Elementary English Grammar and Exercise Book. By O. W. Tancock, M.A. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. is. $6 d$.

An English Grammar and Reading Book, for Lower Forms in Classical Schools. By O. W. Tancock, M.A. Fourth Edition. Extra fcap. 8 vo . 3 s. 6 d .
Typical Selections from the best English Writers, with Introductory Notices. Second Edition. In Two Volumes. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6 d. each.
Vol. I. Latimer to Berkeley. Vol. II. Pope to Macanlay.
Shairp (7.C., LL.D.). Aspects of Poetry; being Lectures delivered at Oxford. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6 .

A Book for the Beginner in Anglo-Saxon. By John Earle, M.A. Third Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d.

An Anglo-Saxon Reader. In Prose and Verse. With Grammatical Introduction, Notes, and Glossary. By Henry Sweet, M.A. Fourth Edition, Revised and Enlarged. Extra fcap. 8vo. 8s. $6 d$.
An Anglo-Saxon Primer, with Grammar, Notes, and Glossary. By the same Author. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s, $6 d$.
Old English Reading Primers; edited by Henry Sweet, M.A.
I. Selected Homilies of $\not$ elfric. Extra fap. 8vo., stiff covers, is. $6 d$.
II. Extracts from Alfred's Orosius. Extra fcap. 8vo., stiff covers, is. $6 d$.

First Middle English Primer, with Grammar and Glossary. By the same Author. Extra fcap. 8vo. $2 s$.
The Philology of the English Tongue. By J. Earle, M.A. Third Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. $7 \mathrm{7s}$. 6 d .
A Handbook of Phonetics, including a Popular Exposition of the Principles of Spelling Reform. By H. Sweet, M.A. Extra fcap. 8 vo . 4 s. $6 d$.
Elementarbuch des Gesprochenen Englisch. Grammatik, Texte und Glossar. Von Henry Sweet. Extra fcap. 8vo., stiff covers, $2 s .6 d$.

The Ormulum; with the Notes and Glossary of Dr. R. M. White. Edited by R. Holt, M.A. 1878. 2 vols. Extra fcap. 8vo. a1s.
English Plant Names from the Tenth to the Fifteenth Century. By J. Earle, M.A. Small fcap. 8vo. 5 s.
Specimens of Early English. A New and Revised Edition. With Introduction, Notes, and Glossarial Index. By R. Morris, LL.D., and W. W. Skeat. M.A.

Part I. From Old English Homilies to King Horn (A.D. 1150 to A.D. I300). Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 9s.
Part II. From Robert of Gloucester to Gower (A.D. I2gS to A.D. I 393 ). Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
Specimens of English Literature, from the 'Ploughmans Crede' to the 'Shepheardes Calender' (A.D. I 394 to A.D. 1579 ). With Introduction, Notes, and Glossarial Index. By W. W. Skeat, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. $7 \mathrm{~s} .6 d$.

The Vision of William concerning Piers the Plowman, by William Langland. Edited, with Notes, by W. W. Skeat, M.A. Third Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. $6 d$.
Chaucer. I. The Prologue to the Canterbury Tales; the Knightes Tale; The Nonne Prestes Tale. Edited by R. Morris, Editor of Specimens of Early English, \&cc., \&c. Fifty-first Thousand. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. $6 d$.
II. The Prioresses Tale; Sir Thopas; The Monkes Tale ; The Clerkes Tale; The Squieres Tale, \&c. Edited by W. W. Skeat, M.A. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8 vo . 4 f .6 d .

- III. The Tale of the Man of Lawe; The Pardoneres Tale; The Second Noines Tale; The Chanouns Yemannes Tale. By the same Editor. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. $6 d$.
Gamelyn, The Tale of. Edited with Notes, Glossary, \&c., by W. W. Skeat, M.A. Extra fcap. 8 vo . Stiff covers, is. $6 d$.

Spenser's Faery Queene. Books I and II. Designed chiefly for the use of Schools. With Introduction, Notes, and Glossary. By G. W. Kitchin, D.D.

> Book I. Tenth Edition. Extra fcap. $8 v o .2 s .6 d$.
> Book II. Sixth Edition. Extra fcap. 8 vo. $2 s .6 d$.

Hooker. Ecclesiastical Polity, Book I. Edited by R. W. Church, M.A. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2 s .
Marlowe and Greene. Marlowe's Tragical History of Dr. Faustus, and Greene's Honourable History of Friar Bacon and Friar Bungay. Edited by A. W. Ward, M.A. 1878 . Extra fcap. 8vo. 5 s. $6 d$.
Marlowe. Edward II. With Introduction, Notes, \&c. By O. W. Tancock, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3 s.

Shakespcare. Select Plays. Edited by W. G. Clark, M.A., and W. Aldis Wright, M.A. Extra fcap. 8 vo . stiff covers.

| The Merchant of Venice. is. Richard the Second. is. 6 d. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

Edited by W. Aldis Wright, M.A.
The Tempest, is. 6 d. A Midsummer Night's Dream. As You Like It. is. 6 d. Julius Cæsar. $2 s$. Richard the Third. 2s. 6 d . King Lear. is. 6 d. is. 6 d.
Coriolanus. 2s. 6d.
Henry the Fifth. ${ }^{2 s}$.
Twelfth Night. is. $6 d$.
King John. 7 ust Ready.
Shakespeare as a Dramatic Artist; a popular Illustration of the Principles of Scientific Criticism. By Richard G. Moulton, M.A. Crown $8 \mathrm{vo} .5^{5}$.
Bacon. I. Advancement of Learning. Edited by W. Aldis Wright, M.A. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. $6 d$.

- II. The Essays. With Introduction and Notes. By S. H. Keynoids, M.A., Iate Fellow of Brasenose College. In Prefaration.

Milton. I. Areopagitica. With Introduction and Notes. By John W. Hales, M.A. Third Edition.' Extra fcap. 8vo. 3 s.
II. Poems. Edited by R. C. Browne, M.A. 2 vols. Fifth Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s. 6d. Sold separately, Vol. I. 4s.; Vol. II. 3 s.

In paper covers:-
Lycidas, $3 d$. L'Allegro, $3 d$. 11 Penseroso, 4d. Comus, $6 d$. Samson Agonistes, $6 d$.

- III. Samson Agonistes. Edited with Introduction and Notes by John Churton Collins. Extra fcap. 8 vo . stiff covers, Is.
Bunyan. I. The Pilgrim's Progress, Grace Abounding, Relation of the Imprisonment of Mr. John Bunyan. Edited, with Biographical Introduction and Notes, by E. Venables, M.A. 1879. Extra fcap. 8vo. 5 .
II. Holy War, soc. Edited by E. Venables, M.A. In the Press.

Dryden. Select Poems. Stanzas on the Death of Oliver Cromwell; Astrea Redux; Annus Mirabilis; Absalom and Achitophel; Religio Laici ; The Hind and the Panther. Edited by W. D. Christie, M.A. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. $3^{s} .6 d$.
Locke's Conduct of the Understanding. Edited, with Introduction, Notes, \&c., by T. Fowler, M.A. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. as.

Addison. Selections from Papers in the Spectator. With Notes. By T. Arnold, M.A. Extra fcap. 8 vo .4 s .6 d .
Steele. Selections from the Tatler, Spectator, and Guardian. Edited by Austin Dobson. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6id. In white Parchment, 7 s .6 d .
Pope. With Introduction and Notes. By Mark Pattison, B.D.

- I. Essay on Man. Extra fcap. 8vo. is. 6d.
-- II. Satires and Epistles. Extra fcap. 8vo. $2 s$.
Parnell. The Hermit. Paper covers, $2 d$.
Fohnson. I. Rasselas; Lieres of Dryden and Pope. Fdited by Alfred Milnes, M.A. (London). Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. $6 d$.
——Lives of Pope and Dryden. Stiff covers, 2s. 6d.
-_ II. Vanity of Human Wishcs. With Notes, by E. J. Payne, M.A. Paper covers, $4^{d}$.
Gray. Selccted Poems. Fdited by Edmund Gosse, Clark Lecturer in English Literature at the University of Cambridge. Extra fcap. 8 vo . Stiff covers, is. $6 d$. In whitc Parchment, 3 s.
-_ Elegy and Ode on Eton Collcge. Paper covers, $2 d$.
Goldsmith. The Deserted Village. Paper covers, $2 d$.
Cowper. Edited, with Life, Introductions, and Notes, by H. T. Griffith, B.A.
-_I. The Didactic Poems of $17^{82}$, with Selections from the Minor Pieces. A.D. 1:79-1;83. Extra fcap 8ro. 3 s.
- II. The Task, with Tirocintian, and Selections from the Minor Poems. A.D. $1_{7}^{8} 4-1799$. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3 s.
Burke. Select Works. Edited, with Introduction and Notes, by E. J. Payne, M.A.
- I. Thoughts on the Present Discontcnts; the two Speeches on America. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6 d.
- II. Reflections on the French Revolution. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8 vo . $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{s} .}$
__ III. Four Letters on the Propasals for Peace with the Regicide Directory of France. Second Edition, Extra fcap. 8vo. s.s.
Keats. Hyperion, Book I. With Notes by W. T. Arnold, B.A. Paper covers, 4 d.
Byron. Childe Harold. Edited, with Introduction and Notes, by H. F. Tozer, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. Cloth, 35.6 d . In white Parchment, ${ }_{5} s$. Just Published.
Scott. Lay of the Last Minstrel. Introduction and Canto I, with Preface and Notes by W. Minto, M.A. Paper covers, Gd.


## II. LATIN.

Rudimenta Latina. Comprising Accidence, and Exercises of a very Elementary Character, for the use of Beginners. By John Barrow Allen, M.A. Extra fcap. 8 vo . 2 s .
An Elementary Latin Grammar. By the same Author. Forty-second Thousand. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d.
A First Latin Exercise Book. By the same Author. Fourth Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d.
A Second Latin Exercise Book. By the same Author. Extra fcap. 8vo. $3^{s .} 6 d$.
Reddenda Minora, or Easy Passages, Latin and Greek, for Unseen Translation. For the use of Lower Forms. Composed and selected by C. S. Jerram, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. is. $6 d$.
Anglice Reddenda, or Easy Extracts, Latin and Greek, for Unseen Translation. By C. S. Jerram, M.A. Third Edition, Revised and Enlarged. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d .
Passages for Translation into Latin. For the use of Passmen and others. Selected by J. Y. Sargent, M.A. Fifth Edition, Extra fcap. 8vo. 25. 6d.
Exercises in Latin Prosc Composition; with Introduction, Notes, and Passages of Graduated Difficulty for Translation into Latin. By G. G. Ramsay, M.A., LL.D. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. $6 d$.

Hints and Hclps for Latin Elegiacs. By H. Lee-Warner, M.A.. late Fellow of St. John's College, Cambridge, Assistant Master at Rugby School. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d. Just Publishod.
First Latin Reader. By T. J. Nunns, M.A. Third Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 25 .
Caesar. The Commentaries (for Schools). With Notes and Maps. By Charles E. Moberly, M.A.

Part I. The Gallic War. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6 d.
Part II. The Civil War. Extra fcap, 8vo. 3s. 6 d.
The Civil War. Book I. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2 s .
Cicero. Selection of interesting and descriptive passages. With Notes. By Henry Walford, M.A. In three Parts. Extra fcap. 8vo.4s. 6 d . Each Part separately, limp, is. $6 d$.
Part I. Anecdotes from Grecian and Roman History. Third Edition. Part II. Omens and Dreams: Beauties of Nature. Third Edition. Part III. Rome's Rule of her Provinces. Third Edition.
Cicero. Selected Letters (for Schools). With Notes. By the late C. E. Prichard, M.A., and E. R. Bernard, M.A. Second Edition. Extra fcap. Svo. 3 s.

Cicero. Select Orations (for Schools). In Verrem I. De Imperio Gn. Pompeii. Pro Archia. Philippica IX. With Introduction and Notes by J. R. King, M.A. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d.
Cornelius Nepos. With Notes. By Oscar Browning, M.A. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. $2 s .6 d$.
Livy. Selections (for Schools). With Notes and Maps. By H. Lee-Warner, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. In Parts, limp, each is. $6 d$.

Part I. The Caudine Disaster.
Part II. Hannibal's Campaign in Italy.
Part III. The Macedonian War.
Livy. Books V-VII. With Introduction and Notes. By A. R. Cluer, B.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. $3^{s}$. $6 d$.

Ovid. Selections for the use of Schools. With Introductions and Notes, and an Appendix on the Roman Calendar. By W. Ramsay, M.A. Edited by G. G. Ramsay, M.A. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 5 s. $6 \boldsymbol{d}$.
Ovid. Tristia. Book I. The Text revised, with an Introduction and Notes. By S. G. Owen, B.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. $3^{s .} 6 \mathrm{~d}$.
Pliny. Selected Letters (for Schools). With Notes. By the late C. E. Prichard, M.A, and E. R. Bernard, M.A. Second Edition. Extaa feap. $8 \mathrm{vo} .3^{\text {s. }}$.
Tacitus. The Annals. Books I-IV. Edited, with Introduction and Notes for the use of Schools and Junior Students, by H. Furncaux, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. 5 .

Terence. Andria. With Notes and Introductions. By C. E. Freeman, M.A., and A. Sloman, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3 s.

Catulli Veronensis Liber. Iterum recognovit, apparatum criticum prolegomena appendices addidit, Robinson Ellis, A.M. 1878. Demy 8 vo . I 6 s.

- A Commentary on Catullus. By Robinson Ellis, M.A. 1876. Demy 8 vo . x 6 .

Veronensis Carmina Selccta, secundum recognitionem Robinson Ellis, A.M. Extra fcap. 8vo. $3^{s s} 6 d$.
Cicero de Oratore. With Introduction and Notes. By A. S. Wilkins, M.A.

Book I. 1879. 8vo. 6 s . Book II. 1881. 8vo. 5 s.
Philippic Orations. With Notes. By J. R. King, M.A. Second Edition. 1879. 8vo. ros. 6 d.

Ciccro. Select Letters. With English Introductions, Notes, and Appendices. By Albert Watson, M.A. Third Edition. 1881, Demy 8vo. 18s.
——Select Letters. Text. By the same Editor. Second Elition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4 s.
-pro Cluentio. With Introduction and Notes. By W. Ramsay, M.A. Edited by G. G. Ramsay, M.A. Second Edition. Exira fcap. 8 vo . 3 s. $6 d$.
Horace. With a Commentary. Volume I. The Odes, Carmen Seculare, and Epodes. By Edward C. Wickham, M.A. Second Edition. 1877. Demy 8vo. 12 s .

- A reprint of the above, in a size suitable for the use of Schools. Extra fcap. 8 vo . 5 s . 6 d .
Livy, Book I. With Introduction, Historical Examination, and Notes. By J. R. Seeley, M.A. Second Edition. 188r. 8vo. 6 s.
Ovid. P. Ovidii Nasonis Ibis. Ex Novis Codicibus edidit, Scholia Vetera Commentarium cum Prolegomenis Appendice Indice addidit. R. Ellis, A.M. 8vo. ios. $6 d$.

Persites. The Satires. With a Translation and Commentary. By John Conington, M.A. Edited by Henry Nettleship, M.A. Second Edition. 1874. 8vo. 7s. $6 d$.
Plautus. The Trinummus. With Notes and Introductions. Intended for the Higher Forms of Public Schools. By C. E. Freeman, M.A., and A. Sloman, M.A. Extra fcap. 8 vo. 3 .s.
Sallust. With Introduction and Notes. By W. W. Capes, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. $6 d$.

Tacitus. The Annals. Books I-VI. Edited, with Introduction and Notes, by H. Furneanx, M.A. 8vo. iss.
Virgil. With Introduction and Notes. By T. L. Papillon, MA. Two vols. Crown 8 vo. yos. $6 d$.

Nettleship (H., M.A.). Lectures and Essays on Subjects connected with Latin Scholarship and Literature. Crown 8vo. 7 s. $6 d$.
-The Roman Satura: its original form in connection with its literary development. 8vo. sewed, is.
-Ancient Lives of Vergil. With an Essay on the Poems of Vergil, in connection with his Life and Times. 8vo. sewed, 2 s .
Papillon (T. L., M.A.). A Manual of Comparative Philology. Third Edition, Revised and Corrected. 1882. Crown 8vo. 6 s.
Pinder (North, M.A.). Selections from the less known Latin Poets. 1869.8 vo . 15 s.

Sellar (W. Y., M.A.). Roman Poets of the Augustar Age. Virgit. New Edition. 1883. Crown 8vo. gs.

Roman Poets of the Republic. New Edition, Revised and Enlarged. 1881. 8 vo .14 R.
Wordsworth (ㄱ., M.A.). Fragments and Specimens of Early Latin. With Introductions and Notes. 1874. 8vo. 18 s .

## III. GREEK.

A Greck Primer, for the use of beginners in that Language. By the Right Rev.Charles Wordsworth, D.C.L. Seventh Elition. Exira fcap. 8 vo . Is . 6 d.
Graecae Grammaticac Rudimenta in usum Scholarum. Auctore Carolo Wordsworth, D.C.L. Nineteenth Edition, 1882. 12mo. 4s.
A Greek-English Lexicon, abridged from Liddell and Scott's $4^{\text {to }}$. edition, chiefly for the use of Schools. Twenty-first Edition. ${ }^{88} 8_{4}$. Square 12 mo . 7 s .6 d .
Greek Verbs, Irregular and Defcctive; their forms, mcaning, and quantity; embracing all the Tenses used by Greek writers, with refercnocs to the passages in which they are found. By W. Veitch. Fourth Edition. Crown 8vo. ios. 6 d .
The Elements of Greek Accentuation (for Schools): abridged from his larger work by H. W. Chandler, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. $2 s .6 d$.

A Series of Graduated Greek Readers:-
First Greek Reader. By W. G. Rushbrooke, M.L. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d .
Second Greek Reader. By A. M. Bell, M.A. Extra fcap. 8 vo .3 s. 6 d .
Fourth Greek Reader; being Specimens of Gycek Dialects. With Introductions and Notes. Ey W. W. Merry, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. $4^{s}$. 6 d .
Fifth Greek Reader. Selections from Greck Epic anid Dramatic Poetry, with Introductions and Notes. By Evelyn Abbott, M. A. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4 s. 6 w .
The Goiden Treastry of Ancient Greck Poetry: bcing a Ccllection of the finest passages in the Greek Classic Puet. with Introductory Notices and Notes. By R.S. Wrigit M.A. Extra fcap. 8 vo . 8 s , fd.
A Golden Treasury of Greek Prose, being a Collection of the finest passages in the principal Greek Prose Writers, with Introductory Noticcs and Notes. By R. S. Wright, M.A., and J. E. L. Shadwell, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

Aeschylus. Prometheus Bound (for Schools). With Introduction and Notes, by A. O. Prickard, M.A. Second Edition. Extraf fap. 8vo. $2 s$.

- Agamemnon. With Introduction and Notes, by Arthur Sidgwick, M.A. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. $3^{5}$.
——Choophoroi. With Introduction and Notes by the same Editor. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3s.
Aristophanes. In Single Plays. Edited, with English Notes, Introductions, \&c., by W. W. Merry, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo.
I. The Clouds, Second Edition, $2 s$.
II. The Acharnians, $2 s$. III. The Frogs, $2 s$.

Cebes. Tabula. With Introduction and Notes. By C. S. Jerram, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d .
Euripides. Alcestis (for Schools). By C. S. Jerram, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d.

- Helena. Edited, with Introduction, Notes, and Critical Appendix, for Upper and Middle Forms. By C. S. Jerram, M.A. Extra fcap, 8 vo . 3 s .
——Iphigenia in Tauris. Edited, with Introduction, Notes, and Critical Appendix, for Upper and Middle Forms. By C.S. Jerram, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. cloth, 3 s.
Herodotus, Selections from. Edited, with Introduction, Notes, and a Map, by W. W. Merry, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. $6 d$.
Homer. Odyssey, Books I-XII (for Schools). By W. W. Merry, M.A. Twenty-seventh Thousand. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

Book II, separately, is. $6 d$.
——Odyssey, Books XIII-XXIV (for Schools). By the same Editor. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 5 s .
—_Iliad, Book 1 (for Schools). By D. B. Monro, M.A. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. as.
__Iliad, Books I-XII (for Schools). With an Introduction, a brief Homeric Grammar, and Notes. By D. B. Monro, M.A. Extra fcap. 8 vo. 6 s.
lliad, Books VI and XXI. With Introduction and Notes. By Herbert Hailstone, M.A. Extra fcap. 8 vo . Is. $6 d$ d. each.
Lucian. Vera Historia (for Schools). By C. S. Jerram, M.A. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 1s. 6 d.

Plato. Sclections from the Dialogues [including the whole of the Apology and Crita]. With Introduction and Notes by John Purves, M.A., and a Preface by the Rev. B. Jowett, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s. 6 d .

Sophocles. In Single Plays, with English Notes, \&c. By Lewis Campbell, M.A., and Evelyn Abbott, M.A. Extra fcap. Svo. limp.

Oedipus Tyrannus, Philoctetes. New and Revised Edition, 2s. each. Oedipus Coloneus, Antigone, 1s. gd. each.
Ajax, Electra, Trachiniae, $2 s$. each.
——Oedipus Rex: Dindorf's Text, with Notes by the present Bishop of St. David's. Extra fcap. 8 vo. limp, is. 6 d.
Theocritus (for Schools). With Notes. By H. Kynaston, D.D. (late Snow). Third Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6 d.

Xenophon. Easy Selections. (for Junior Classes). With a Vocabulary. Notes, and Map. By J. S. Phillpotts, B.C.L., and C. S. Jerran, M.A. Third Edition. Extra fcap. 8 vo . ${ }^{3}$ s. 6 d .

Selections (for Schools). With Notes and Maps. By J. S. Phillpotts, B.C.L. Fourth Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. $3 s$. $6 u$.

- Anabasis, Book I. Edited for the use of Junior Classes and Private Students. With Introduction, Notes, and Index. By J. Marshall, M.A., Rector of the Ruyal High School, Edinbutgh. Extra fcap. 8vo. 25. 6d. Just Published.
- Anabasis, Book II. With Notes and Map. By C. S. Jerram, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. $2 s$.
-Cyropaedia, Books IV and V. With Introduction and Notes by C. Bigg, D.D. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

Aristotle's Politics. By W. L. Newman, M.A. [In proparation.] Aristotelian Studies. I. On the Structure of the Seventh Book of the Nicomachean Ethics. By J.C. Wilson, M.A. 1879. Mediurn 8vo. stiff, 5 s.
Demosthenes and Aeschines. The Orations of Demosthenes and Æschines on the Crown. With Introductory Essays and Notes. By G. A. Simcox, M.A., and W. H. Simcox, M.A. 1872. 8vo. 12 s .

Geldart (E. M., B.A.). The Modern Greek Language in its relation to Ancient Greek. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6 d .
Hicks (E. L., M.A.). A Manual of Grcek Historical Inscriptions. Demy 8vo. 1os. $6 d$.
Homer. Odyssey, Books I-XII. Edited with English Notes, Appendices, etc. By W. W. Merry, M.A., and the late James Ridell, M.A. 1876. Demy 8vo. I s.

A Grammar of the Homeric Dialect. By D. B. Monro, M.A. Demy 8vo. ios. 6 a.

Sophocles. The Plays and Fragments. With English Notes and Introductions, by Lewis Campbell. M.A. 2 vols.

Vol. I. Oedipus Tyrannus. Oedipus Coloneus. Antigone. Second Edition. 1879. 8vo. 16 s.
Vol. II. Ajax. Electra. Trachiniae. Philoctetes. Fragments. 188 i. 8 vo . 16 s .
Sophocles. The Text of the Seven Plays. By the same Editor. Extra fcap. 8vo. $4^{s}{ }^{\kappa} d$.

## IV. FRENCH AND ITALIAN.

Brachet's Etymological Dictionary of the French Language, with a Preface on the Principles of French Etymology. Translated into English by G. W. Kitchin, D.D. Third Edition. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
—_Historical Grammar of the French Language. Translated into English by G. W. Kitchin, D.D. Fourth Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3s. $6 d$.

Works by GEORGE SAINTSBURY, M.A.
Primer of French Literature. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s.
Short History of French Literature. Crown 8vo. Ios. 6d.
Specimens of French Literature, from Villon to Hugo. Crown 8vo. gs.

Corncille's Horace. Edited, with Introduction and Notes, by George Saintsbury, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. $2 s .6 d$.
Moliere's Les Précieuses Ridicules. Edited, with Introduction and Notes, by Andrew Lang, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. is. $6 d$.
Beaumarchais' Le Barbier de Séville. Edited, with Introduction and Notes, by Austin Dobson. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
Voltaire's Mérope. Edited, with Introduction and Notes, by George Saintsbury. Extra fcap. 8vo. cloth, 2s. Just Publishcd.

Musset's On ne badine pas avec l'Amour, and Fantasio. Edited, with Prolegomena, Notes, etc., by Walter Herries Pollock. Extra fcap. 8 vo . 2 . .

Sainte-Beuve. Selections from the Causeries du Lundi. Edited by George Saintsbury. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2 s .
Quinet's Lettres à sa Mère. Selected and edited by George Saintsbary. Extra fcap. 8vo. cloth, $2 s$.

L'Éloquence de la Chaire et de la Tribune Françaises. Edited by Paul Blouet, B.A. (Univ. Gallic.). Vol. I. French Sacred Oratory Extra fcap. 8vo. 2 s .6 d .

Edited by GUSTAVE MASSON, BA.

Corneille's Cinna, and Molicre's Les Femmes Sarantes. With Introduction and Notes. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. $6 d$.
Louis XIV and his Contemporarics; as described in Extracts from the best Memoirs of the Serenteenth Century. With English Notes, Genealogical Tables, \&cc. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d .
Maistre, Xavier de. Voyage autour de ma Chambre. Ourika, by Madame de Duras; La Dot de Suzette, by Ficoic: Les Jumeaux de l'Hôtel Corneille, by Edmond About; Mésaventures d'un Écolier, by Rodolphe Töpffer. Second Edition. Extra fcap. svo. 2s. 6d.
Moliere's Les Fourberies de Scapin. With Voltaire's Lifc of Molière. Extra fcap. 8vo. stiff covers, $1 s$. 6 d .
Molière's Les Fourberics de Scapin, and Racine's Athalie. With Voltaire's Life of Molière. Extra fcap, 8vo. 2s. $6 d$.
Racine's Andromaque, and Corneille's Le Mentour. With Louis Racine's Life of his Father. Extra fcap. 8 vo. 2 s .6 d .
Regnard's Le Foueur, and Brueys and Palaprat's Le Grondeur. Extra fcap 8 vo . 2s. 6 d .
Sévigné, Madame de, and her chief Contemporaries, Selections from the Correspondence of. Intended more especially for Girls' Schools. Extra fcap. 8 vo . $3^{5}$.

Dante. Selections from the Inferno. With Introduction and Notes. By H. B. Cotterill, B.A. Extra fcap. 8vo, 45. 6 d .
Tasso. La Gerusalemme Liberata. Cantos i, ii. With Introduction and Notes. By the same Editor. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. $6 d$.

## V. GERMAN.

Scherer (W.). A History of German Literature. Translated from the Third German Edition by Mrs.F. Congbeare. Edited by F. Max Müller. 2 vols. 8 vo . 21 s . Just Published.

## GERMAN COURSE. By HERMAN LANGE.

The Germans at Home; a Practical Introduction to German Conversation, with an Appendix containing the Essentials of German Grammar. Second Edition. 8vo. 2s. $6 d$.
The German Manual; a German Grammar, Reading Book, and a Handbook of German Conversation. 8vo. 7s. 6 d.

Grammar of the German Language. 8vo. 3 s. 6 d .
This 'Grammar' is a reprint of the Grammar contained in 'The German Manual,' and, in this separate form, is intended for the use of Students who wish to make themselves acquainted with German Grammar chiefly for the purpose of being able to read German books.
German Composition; A Theoretical and Practical Guide to the Art of Translating English Prose into German. 8vo. 45. 6 d .

Lessing's Laokoon. With Introduction, English Notes, etc. By A. Hamann, Phil. Doc., M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. $6 d$.
Schiller's Wilhelm Tell. Translated into English Verse by E. Massie, M.A. Extra fcap. 8 vo . ${ }^{5}$.

## Also, Edited by C. A. BUCHHEIM, Phil. Doo.

Goethe's Egmont. With a Life of Goethe, \&c. Third Edition. Extra fcap. 8 vo . 3 s.

- Iphigenie auf Tauris. A Drama. With a Critical Introduction and Notes. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8 vo . 3 s.
Heine's Prosa, being Sclections from his Prose Works. With English Notes, etc. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6 d .
Lessing's Minna von Barnhelnn. A Comedy. With a Life of Lessing, Critical Analysis, Complete Commentary, \&c. Fourth Edition. Extra feap. 8vo. 3s. 6 d .
- Nathan der Weise. With Introduction, Notes, etc. Extra fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6 d .
Schiller's Historische Skizsen; Egmont's Leben und Tod, and Belagerung von Antwerfern. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
__ Wilhelin Tell. With a Life of Schiller; an historical and critical Introduction, Arguments, and a complete Commentary, and Map. Sixth Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6 d.

Wilhelm Tell. School Edition. With Map. Extra fcap. Sro. 2 s .

Haln's Griseldis. In Preparation.
Modern German Reader. A Graduated Collection of Extracts in Prose nnd Poetry from Modern German writers:-
Part I. With English Notes, a Grammatical Appendix, and a complete Vocabulary. Fourth Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d .
Part II. With English Notes and an Index. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d. Just Published.
Part III in Preparation.

## VI. MATHEMATICS, PHYSICAL SCIENCE, \&o.

## By LEWIS HENSLEY, M.A.

Figures made Easy: a first Arithmetic Book. (Introductory to 'The Scholar's Arithmetic.') Crown 8vo. 6 d .
Answers to the Examples in Figures made Easy, together with two thousand additional Examples formed from the Tables in the same, with Answers. Crown 8vo. Is.
The Scholar's Arithmetic: with Answers to the Examples. Crown 8vo. $4^{\text {s. }} 6 \mathrm{~d}$.
The Scholar's Algebra. An Introductory work on Algebra. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6 d.

Baynes (R. E., M.A.). Lessons on Thermodynamiss. 1878. Crown 8vo. 7 s .6 d .
Chambers (G. F., F.R.A.S.). A Handbook of Discriptive Astronomy. Third Edition. 1877. Demy 8vo. 28 s.
Clarke (Col.A.R., C.B., R.E.). Geodesy. 1880. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
Cremona (Luigi). Elements of Projective Geometry. Translated by C. Leudesdorf, M.A.. 8vo. 12s. $6 d$.
Donkin (W. F., M.A., F.R.S.). Acoustics. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6 d .
Galton (Douglas, C.B., F.R.S.). The Construction of Healthy Dweelinngs ; namely Houses, Hospitals, Earracks, Asylums, \&c. Demy kvo. ios. 6 d .
Hamilton (Sir R. G. C.), and F. Ball. Book-kecping. New and enlarged Edition. Exira fcap. 8vo. limp cloth, $2 s$.
Harcourt (A. G. Vernon, M.A.), and H. G. Madan, M.A. Exercises in Fractical Chemistry. Vol. I. Elementary Exercises. Third Edition. Crown 8vo. gs. -
Maclaren (Archibald). A System of Physical Education: Theoretical and Practical. Extra fcap. 8vo. $7^{s .6 d}$.
Madan (H. G., M.A.). Tables of Qualitative Analysis. Large 4to. paper, 4 s. 6 d .
Maxwell (F. Clerk, M.A., F.R.S.). A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism. Second Edition. 2 vols. Demy 8vo. 1l. irs. $6 d$.
-An Elementary Treatise on Electricity. Edited by William Garnett, M.A. Demy 8vo. 7s. $6 d$.

Minchin (G. M., M.A.). A Treatise on Statics. Third Edition, Corrected and Enlarged. Vol. I. Equilibrium of Coplanar Forres. 8vo gs. Just Published. Vol. II. In the Press.
——Uniplanar Kinematics of Solids and Fluids. Crown 8vo. $7 s 6 d$.
Rolleston (G., M.D., F.R.S.). Forms of Animal Life. Illustrated by Descriptions and Drawings of Dissections. A New Edition in the Press.
Smyth. A Cycle of Celestial Objects. Observed, Reduced, and Discussed by Admiral W.H. Smyth, R.N. Revised, condensed and greatly enlarged by G.F. Chambers, FR.A.S. 188 I . 8vo. Price reduced to 12 s .
Stewart (Balfour, LL.D., F.R.S.). A Treatise on Heat, with numerous Woodcuts and Diagrams. Fourth Edition. 188ı. Extra fcap. $8 v o$. 7s. 6 d .
Story-Maskclyne (M. H. N., M.A.). Crystallography. In the Press.
Vernon-Harcourt (L. F., M.A.). A Treatise on Rivers and Canals, relating to the Control and Improvement of Rivers, and the Design, Construction, and Development of Canals. 2 vols. (Vol. 1, Text. Vol. I], Plates.) Svo. 21 s .
-Harbours and Docks; their Physical Features, History, Construction, Equipment, and Maintenance ; with Statistics as to their Commercial Development. 2 vols. 8 vo . 25 s .
Watson (H. W., M.A.). A Treatise on the Kinetic Theory of Gases. 1876. 8vo. $3^{5}$. 6 d .
Watson (H. W., D. Sc., F.R.S.), and S. H. Burbury, M.A.

1. A Treatise on the Afplication of Generalised Coordivates to the Kinefics of a Material Systen. 1879. 8vo. 6s.
II. The Mathematical Theory of Electrizity and Magnetism. Vol. I. Electrostatics. 8vo. ros. 6d. Just Published.
Williamson (A. W., Phil. Doc., F.R.S.). Chemistry for Students. A new Edition, with Solutions. 1873. Extra fcap. 8vo. 8s. $6 d$.

## VII. HISTORY.

Bluntschli (7, K.). The Theory of the State. By J. K. Bluntschli, late Professor of Political Sciences in the University of Heidelberg. Authorised English Translation from the Sixth German Edition. Demy 8vo. half-bound, 125 . 6 d. Just Published.
Finlay (Gcorge, LL.D.). A History of Greece from its Conquest by the Romans to the present time, B.C. 146 to A.D. 1864. A new Edition, revised throughout, and in part re-written, with considerable additions, by the Author, and edited by 1i. F. Tozer, M.A. 1877. 7 vols. 8 vo . 3!. 10 .

Fortescue (Sir Fohn, Kt.). The Governance of England: otherwise called The Difference between an Absolute and a Limited Monarchy, A Revised Text. Edited, with Introduction, Notes, and Appendices, by Charles Plummer, M.A. 8vo. half-bound, 125 s. 6 d . Just Published.
Freeman (E.A., D.C.L.). A Short History of the Norman Conquest of England. Second Edition. Extra fcap. Svo. is.od.

- A History of Grecce. In preparation.

George (H.B., M.A.). Genealogical Tables illustrative of Modern History. Second Edition, Revised and Enlarged. Small 4 to. 125.
Hodgkin (T.). Italy and her Invaders. Illustrated with Plates and Maps. Vols. I and II., A.D. $376-476$. 8 vo . 12 . i2s
Vols. III. and IV. The Ostrogothic Invasiont, and The Imperial Restoration. 8vo. 1l. 16s. Just Published.
Kitchinn (G. W., D.D.). A History of France. With numerous Maps, Plans, and Tables. In Three Volumes. Second Edition. Crown 8 vo. each ios. $6 d$.

Vol. I. Down to the Year 1453.
Vol. 2. From 1453-1624. Vol. 3. From 1624-1793.
Payne (E. F., M.A.). A History of the United States of America. In the Press.
Ranke (L. von). A History of England, principally in the Seventeenth Century. Translated by Resident Members of the University of Oxford, under the superintendence of G. W. Kitchin, D.D., and C. W. Boase, M.A. 1875 . 6 vols. 8 vo . 3 l. 3 s .

Rawlinson (Gcorge, M.A.). A Manual of Ancient History. Second Edition. Demy 8vo. 14 s.
Select Clarters and other Illustrations of English Constitutional History, from the Earliest Times to the Keign of Edward I. Arranged and edited by W. Stubbs, D.D. Fifth Edition. 1883 . Crown 8 vo . 8 s. 6 d .
Stubbs (W., D.D.). The Constitutional History of England, in its Origin and Development. Library Edition. 3 vols. demy 8vo. 2l. 8 s .

Also in 3 vols. crown 8 vo . price 12 s . each.
Wellesley. A Selection from the Despatches, Treaties, and other Papers of the Marquess Wellesley K.G., during his Government of India. Edited by S. J. Owen, M.A. 1877. 8vo. il. 4s.
Wellington. A Selection from the Despatches, Treaties, and other Papers relating to India of Field-Marshal the Duke of Wellington, K.G. Edited by S. J. Owen, M.A. 1880. 8vo. 24s.
A History of British India. By S. J. Owen, M.A., Reader in Indian History in the University of Oxford. In preparation.

## VIII. LAW.

Alberici Gentilis, I.C.D., I.C. Professoris Regii, De Iure Belli Libri Tres. Edidit Thomas Erskine Holland, I.C.D. 1877. Small 4 to. half morocco, $21 s$.
Anson (Sir William R., Bart., D.C.L.). Principles of the Engilsh Law of Contract, and of Agency in its Relation to Contract. Second Edition. Demy 8vo. Ios. $6 d$.
Bentham (fercmy). An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation. Crown 8vo. 6s. $6 d$.
Digby (Kenelm E., M.A.). An Introduction to the History of the Lavo of Real Property. Third Edition. Demy 8vo. ios. 6 d .
Gaii Institutionum furis Civilis Commentarii Quattuor; or, Elements of Roman Law by Gaius. With a Translation and Commentary by Edward Poste, M.A. Second Edition. 1875. 8vo. 18s.
Hall (W. E., M.A.). International Law. Second Edition. Demy 8vo. 21 s .
Holland (T. E., D.C.L.). The Elements of $\mathcal{F}$ urisprudence. Second Edition. Demy 8vo, ros. 6 d .

- The Eutopean Concert in the Eastern Question, a Collection of Treaties and other Public Acts. Edited, with Introductions and Notes, by Thomas Erskine Holland, D.C.L. 8vo. i2s. $6 d$.
Imperatoris Iustiniani Institutionum Libri Quattuor; with Introductions, Commentary, Excursus and Tranclation. By J.B. Moyle, B.C.L., M.A. 2 vols. Demy 8 vo . 21 s .

Fustinian, The Institutes of, edited as a recension of the Institutes of Gaius, by Thomas Erskine Holland, D.C.L. Second Edition, 1881. Extra fcap. 8vo. 5 s.

Fustinian, Select Titles from the Digest of. By T. E. Holland, D.C.L., and C. L. Shadwell, B.C.L. 8vo. I4s.

Also sold in Parts, in paper covers, as follows:Part I. Introductory Titles. 2s. 6d. Part II. Family Law. is.
Part III. Property Law. 2s. 6d. Part IV. Law of Obligations (No. 1). 3s, 6d. Part IV. Law of Obligations (No. 2). 4s. $6 d$.
Markby (W., D.C.L.). Elements of Law considered with reference to Principles of General Jurisprudence. Third Edition. Demy 8vo. 12 s .6 d .
Twiss (Sir Travers, D.C.L.). The Law of Nations considered as Independent Political Communities.
Part I. On the Rights and Duties of Nations in time of Peace. A new Edition, Revised and Enlarged. 1884. Demy 8vo. ${ }^{1} 5 \mathrm{~s}$.
Part II. On the Rights and Duties of Nations in Time of War. Second Edition Revised. 1875. Demy 8vo. 2 Is.

## IX. MENTAL AND MORAL PHILOSOPHY, \&c.

Bacon's Novum Organum. Edited, with English Notes, by G. W. Kitchin, D.D. 1855 . 8vo. 9s. 6 d.
_- Translated by G. W. Kitchin, D.D. 1855. 8vo. 9s. 6 d . Berkeley. The Works of George Berkeley, D.D., formerly Bishop of Cloyne; including many of his writings hitherto unpublished. With Prefaces, Annotations, and an Account of his Life and Philosophy, by Alexander Campbell Fraser, M.A. 4 vols. 1871. 8vo. 22. 18 s.

The Life, Letters, \&c. I vol. 16 s.
-- Selections from. With an Introduction and Notes. For the use of Students in the Universities. By Alexander Campbell Fraser, LL.D. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. 7s. $6 d$.
Fowler (T., M.A.). The Elements of Deductive Logic, designed mainly for the use of Junior Students in the Universities. Eighth Edition, with a Collection of Examples. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3 s . 6 d .
_- The Elements of Inductive Logic, designed mainly for the use of Students in the Universities. Fourth Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6 s.

Edited by T. FOWLER, M.A.
Bacon. Novum Organum. With Introduction, Notes, \&c. 1878. 8 vo .145.

Locke's Conduct of the Understanding. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s.

Green (T. H., M.A.). Prolegomena to Ethics. Edited by A. C. Bradley, M.A. Demy 8vo. $12 s .6 d$.

Hegel. The Logic of Hegel; translated from the Encyclopaedia of the Philosophical Sciences. With Prolegomena by William Wallace, M.A. 1874. 8vo. 14 s.
Lotze's Logic, in Three Books; of Thought, of Investigation, and of Knowledge. English Translation; Edited by B. Bosanquet, M.A., Fellow of University College, Oxford. 8vo. clath, 12 s . 6 d .

Metaphysic, in Three Books; Ontology, Cosmology, and Psychology. English Translation; Edited by B. Bosanquet, M.A. 8vo. cloth, $12 s .6 d$.
Martineau (Fames, D.D.). Types of Ethical Theory. 2 vols. 8vo. 245.
Rogers (F. E. Thorold, M.A.). A Manual of Political Economy, for the use of Schools. Third Edition. Exira fcap. 8vo. 4s. $6 d$.
Smith's Wealth of Nations. A new Edition, with Notes, by J. E. Thorold Rogers, M.A. 2 vols. 8 vo . 1880.21 s.

## X. ART, \&c.

Hullah (7ohn). The Cultivation of the Spcaking Voice. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. $6 d$.
Ouseley (Sir F. A. Gore, Bart.). A Treatise on Harmony. Third Edition. 4to. Ios.

- A Treatise on Counterpoint, Canon, and Fugue, based upon that of Cherubini. Second Edition. 4 to. 16 s .
- A Treatise on Musical Form and General Composition. 4to. 10 .
Robinson (F. C.. F.S.A.). A Critical Account of the Drawings by Michel Anstlo and Raffullo in the University Galleries; Oxford. 1870. Crown 8vo. $4^{s}$.
Ruskin (Fohn, M.A.). A Course of Lectures on Art, delivered before the University of Oxford in Hilary Term, 1870. 8vo. 6s.
Troutbeck (F., M.A.) and R. F. Dale, M.A. A Music Primer (for Schools). Second Edition. Crown 8vo. Is.6d.
Tyrwhitt (R. St. 7., M.A.). A Handbook of Pictorial Art. With coloured Illustrations, Photograpbs, and a chapter on Perspective by A. Macdonald. Second Edition. 1875. 8vo. half morocco, 185 .

Vaux (W. S. W., M.A., F.R.S.). Catalogue of the Castellani Collection of Antiquities in the University Galleries, Oxford. Crown 8vo. stiff cover, is.

The Oxford Bible for Tcachers, containing supplementary Helps to the Study of the Bible, including Summaries of the several Books, with copious Explanatory Notes and Tables illustrative of Scripture History and the characteristics of Bible Lands; with a complete Index of Subjects, a Concordance, a Dictionary of Proper Names, and a series of Maps. Prices in various sizes and bindings from 3 s. to $2 l$. 5 s.

Helps to the Study of the Bible, taken from the Oxford Bible for Teachers, comprising Summaries of the several Books, with copious Explanatory Notes and Tables illustrative of Scripture History and the Characteristics of Bible Lands; with a complete Index of Subjects, a Concordance, a Dictionary of Proper Names, and a series of Maps. Crown 8vo. cloth, 3s. 6 d .; 16mo. cloth, is.

## LONDON: HENRY FROWDE,

Oxford University Press Warehouse, Amen Corner,
OXFORD: CLARENDON PRESS DEPOSITORY, inG High Street.

The Delegates of the Press invite suggestions and advice from all persons interested in education; and will be thankful for hints, foc. addressed to the Secretary to the Delegates, Clarendon Press, Oxford.


[^0]:    
    2. 8.

[^1]:    fi $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ ク̉ớv́varo к．т．$\lambda$ ．
    4． 3 ．
    The connexion is as follows：－＿There are not only lifeless but living instruments；for the lifeless instrument cannot execute its purpose without the living．＇

[^2]:    
    
    'In the actual process of creation.'
    
    
    
    
    

[^3]:    
    8. 16.

    The same as the itépa $\pi$ ròretia (§ 4), i. e. the Republic of Plato.

[^4]:    
    
    
    vol. 11.

[^5]:    Tìv $\sigma \tilde{\nu} \nu o \delta o \nu$,
    9. 31.

[^6]:    
    g. 6.

[^7]:    каАа́тєр еірртає тро́тероу.
    3. 14.

    Probably c. 1. § 3, 4.

[^8]:    каѝ èv ’Aдßракía.
    4. 9 .

[^9]:     6. 1.

    With $\delta_{\epsilon i,}$ кatarkevás $\epsilon \nu$ from the previous sentence, or some similar word suitable to the construction, has to be supplied.

[^10]:    
    4. 9.
    'To occupy,' 'engage,' 'employ.'
    
    A musical term and therefore appropriately used in speaking of

[^11]:    ** The Second Series will consist of Twenty-Four Volumes

